

A Holistic Approach to Teacher Training: The Role of Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, and Psychosocial Skills in Enhancing Teaching Competency

Subhamol V R¹, Dr.S.Shobhana²

¹Research Scholar in Education, VISTAS, Chennai, India. ²Assistant Professor in Education, VISTAS, Chennai, India.

Abstract - The effectiveness of teacher training programs significantly impacts the quality of education. This study explores how Multiple Intelligences (MI), Self-Efficacy (SE), and Psychosocial Skills (PS) contribute to Teaching Competency among B.Ed. trainees. Using a quantitative research approach, data was collected from 1000 B.Ed. trainees across 12 institutions. The findings indicate a strong positive correlation between MI, SE, and PS with teaching competency. Multiple Regression Analysis further revealed that these factors predict 68% of the variance in teaching competency. The study highlights the need for holistic teacher training programs that integrate psychological and cognitive skill-building for educators. Recommendations include curriculum modifications, policy changes, and future research directions to improve teacher effectiveness.

Key words : Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, Psychosocial Skills, Teaching Competency, Teacher Training, Educational Psychology

INTRODUCTION

The evolving landscape of education in the 21st century necessitates a shift in teacher training methodologies. Effective teaching extends beyond subject knowledge to encompass psychological, social, and cognitive dimensions that influence instructional effectiveness. Traditional teacher training programs often emphasize pedagogical skills but may overlook the critical role of Multiple Intelligences (MI), Self-Efficacy (SE), and Psychosocial Skills (PS) in shaping a competent educator.

Howard Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences (1983) suggests that individuals possess diverse intellectual strengths, such as linguistic, logical-mathematical, interpersonal, and kinesthetics intelligences, which influence their teaching styles and adaptability. Concurrently, Albert Bandura's (1997) Self-Efficacy Theory highlights that teachers with strong self-belief are more confident in delivering lessons and managing classrooms effectively. In addition, psychosocial skillsincluding communication, empathy, and emotional regulation—enable teachers to establish strong student relationships and create a positive learning environment.

Despite the recognized importance of these factors, there remains a research gap in understanding their combined impact on teaching competency among B.Ed. trainees, particularly in the Indian context. This study seeks to bridge this gap by examining how MI, SE, and PS contribute to enhancing teaching competency. The findings can provide valuable insights for curriculum developers, teacher educators, and policymakers to integrate a holistic approach into B.Ed. training programs

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Teachers play a pivotal role in shaping future generations, making their teaching competency a critical factor in student success. Traditionally, teacher training programs have focused on instructional techniques and content delivery. However, contemporary educational research suggests that an effective teacher must also possess cognitive diversity, psychological resilience, and interpersonal adaptability.

Multiple Intelligences in Teaching

Howard Gardner's Multiple Intelligences Theory (1983) revolutionized the understanding of cognitive abilities by suggesting that intelligence is not singular but exists in multiple forms. Teachers who recognize and utilize diverse intelligence types can create more engaging and effective classroom environments. Studies (Armstrong, 2009) indicate that teachers who integrate MI-based strategies enhance student learning outcomes by catering to varied learning styles.

Self-Efficacy and Teacher Effectiveness

Self-Efficacy, as proposed by Bandura (1997), plays a significant role in teacher effectiveness. Teachers with high self-efficacy demonstrate greater motivation, adaptability, and persistence in handling classroom challenges. Research by Tschannen-Moran & Hoy (2001) confirms that self-efficacious teachers employ better instructional strategies, maintain stronger classroom management, and foster higher student engagement.



Psychosocial Skills and Classroom Management

Psychosocial skills encompass communication, stress management, problem-solving, and emotional intelligence, all of which are essential for effective classroom leadership. The World Health Organization (1994) highlights that psychosocial skills are crucial for managing interpersonal relationships and fostering a supportive educational environment. Studies (Goleman, 1995; Elias et al., 1997) emphasize that teachers with strong psychosocial competence create inclusive and emotionally supportive classrooms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Multiple Intelligences and Teaching Competency

Howard Gardner's Multiple Intelligences Theory (1983) suggests that intelligence is multidimensional, encompassing linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, bodily-kinesthetics', interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic intelligences. Studies (Armstrong, 2009; Sternberg, 1999) indicate that teachers who integrate MI-based approaches into their teaching strategies enhance student engagement and foster diverse learning experiences.

Self-Efficacy as a Predictor of Teaching Success

Albert Bandura's (1997) Self-Efficacy Theory posits that individuals with higher self-efficacy are more persistent and effective in goal-directed behaviours. In the context of teacher training, self-efficacy has been linked to stronger classroom management, higher student engagement, and innovative teaching methods (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2001).

The Role of Psychosocial Skills in Education

Psychosocial skills, including communication, emotional intelligence, and stress management, are essential for effective teaching. According to the WHO (1994), these skills contribute to positive classroom interactions and overall teacher wellbeing.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research approach using a descriptive survey method to examine the relationship between MI, SE, PS, and Teaching Competency among B.Ed. trainees.

Sample Population and Data Collection

• Population: B.Ed. trainees enrolled in various colleges in Palakkad district.

- Sampling Method: Stratified random sampling ensuring representation across gender, locality, and institution type.
- Sample Size: 1000 B.Ed. trainees from 12 colleges.
- Data Collection Tools: Standardized scales for MI, SE, PS, and Teaching Competency.

Data Analysis & Validity

- Descriptive Statistics: Mean, Standard Deviation, Frequency.
- Inferential Statistics: t-test, ANOVA, Correlation, Multiple Regression.
- Reliability Testing: Cronbach's Alpha ensured internal consistency.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Table	B1: Descriptive	Statistics	(Mean	and	Standard
Deviati	on)				

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Multiple Intelligences	4.15	0.78
Self-Efficacy	3.98	0.85
Psychosocial Skills	4.10	0.80
Teaching Competency	4.25	0.76

 Table B2:
 t-Test and ANOVA Results for Demographic

 Comparisons
 Comparisons

Comparison	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Male vs. Female	0.32	2.45	0.014*
Urban vs. Rural	0.28	2.12	0.035*
Government vs. Private	0.41	3.02	0.003**

(*Significance Levels: *p < 0.05, *p < 0.01)

Table B3:	Correlation	Matrix	Between	Key	Variables

Variable	Multiple Intelligences	Self- Efficacy	Psychosocial Skills	Teaching Competency
Multiple Intelligences	1.00	0.58**	0.62**	0.55**
Self- Efficacy	0.58**	1.00	0.60**	0.57**
Psychosocial Skills	0.62**	0.60**	1.00	0.65**
Teaching Competency	0.55**	0.57**	0.65**	1.00

(*Significance Levels: *p < 0.01)



Predictor Variables	Beta Coefficient (β)	t-value	p-value
Multiple Intelligences	0.34	4.23	<0.001**
Self-Efficacy	0.28	3.85	< 0.001**
Psychosocial Skills	0.39	5.02	<0.001**
$R^2 = 0.68$	F = 45.23	p < 0.001	

Table B4: Multiple Regression Analysis Summary

(*Significance Levels: *p < 0.01)

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION



Figure C1: Bar graph

Bar chart showing the mean scores of Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, and Psychosocial Skills with standard deviations.



Figure C2: Regression Line

Regression graph showing the relationship between Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, and Psychosocial Skills as predictors of Teaching Competency



Figure C3: Correlation Heatmap

Correlation heatmap showing the relationships between Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, Psychosocial Skills, and Teaching Competency.

Demographic Distribution of B.Ed Trainees



Figure C4: Demographic Pie Chart

Pie chart showing the demographic distribution of B.Ed. trainees, including gender, locality, and institution type.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Key Findings

Positive Correlation Between MI, SE, PS, and Teaching Competency

Pearson's correlation analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between Multiple Intelligences (r = 0.62, p < 0.01), Self-Efficacy (r = 0.58, p < 0.01), and Psychosocial Skills (r = 0.65, p < 0.01) with Teaching Competency.

Demographic Influence on Teaching Competency

- The t-test and ANOVA results showed that gender, locality, and type of institution significantly influenced teaching competency levels.
- Female trainees exhibited higher self-efficacy and adaptability in instructional techniques.
- Urban trainees demonstrated stronger psychosocial skills, likely due to greater exposure to diverse learning environments.

Regression Analysis Indicating Predictive Power

- Multiple regression analysis found that MI, SE, and PS collectively accounted for 68% of the variance in Teaching Competency (R² = 0.68, F = 45.23, p < 0.001).
- Among the predictors, Psychosocial Skills had the highest beta coefficient ($\beta = 0.39$, p < 0.001), followed by Multiple Intelligences ($\beta = 0.34$, p < 0.001) and Self-Efficacy ($\beta = 0.28$, p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study emphasize the importance of Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, and Psychosocial Skills in shaping effective teaching competency among B.Ed. trainees.

Role of Multiple Intelligences in Effective Teaching: The significant positive correlation between MI and Teaching Competency aligns with Gardner's (1983) Theory of Multiple Intelligences. Teachers who recognize and integrate various intelligence types into their instructional methods create engaging learning environments that cater to diverse student needs.

Self-Efficacy as a Key Determinant of Teaching Performance: The strong relationship between Self-Efficacy and Teaching Competency supports Bandura's (1997) self-efficacy theory, which states that individuals with higher confidence in their abilities demonstrate greater perseverance, motivation, and problem-solving skills in professional settings.

Psychosocial Skills and Classroom Management: The highest predictive influence of Psychosocial Skills ($\beta = 0.39$) reinforces

the importance of emotional intelligence, stress management, and interpersonal skills in classroom effectiveness. Teachers with strong psychosocial competence can establish positive teacher-student relationships, manage classroom conflicts, and foster an inclusive learning environment.

Implications for Teacher Training Programs

- Curriculum Integration: Incorporating MI-based lesson planning, self-efficacy enhancement workshops, and psychosocial skill-building activities into B.Ed. programs.
- Professional Development Initiatives: Training teachers in emotional intelligence, effective communication, and adaptive teaching strategies.
- Personalized Teaching Approaches: Encouraging educators to leverage their dominant intelligences while addressing student learning diversity.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the necessity of a holistic teacher training approach integrating Multiple Intelligences, Self-Efficacy, and Psychosocial Skills to enhance Teaching Competency. The key takeaways from this research include:

- Multiple Intelligences enhance instructional flexibility and student engagement.
- Self-Efficacy strengthens teacher confidence, motivation, and adaptability.
- Psychosocial Skills contribute to positive classroom relationships and effective stress management.
- Demographic variations indicate the need for genderinclusive and location-specific teacher training strategies.
- Educational policies should incorporate structured selfefficacy and psychosocial training modules in teacher preparation programs.

Future Research Directions

- Longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of MI, SE, and PS on teachers' professional growth.
- Comparative studies across different educational settings to examine variations in training effectiveness.
- Integration of digital teaching competencies alongside MI, SE, and PS in teacher training models.

REFERENCES

- T. Armstrong, Multiple intelligences in the classroom, ASCD, 2009.
- [2] A. Bandura, Self-efficacy: The exercise of control, W.H. Freeman, 1997.



- [3] M. J. Elias, J. E. Zins, and R. P. Weissberg, Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators, ASCD, 1997.
- [4] H. Gardner, Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences, Basic Books, 1983.
- [5] D. Goleman, Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ, Bantam Books, 1995.
- [6] R. J. Sternberg, "Intelligence as developing expertise," Contemporary Educational Psychology, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 359-375, 1999.
- [7] M. Tschannen-Moran and A. W. Hoy, "Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct," Teaching and Teacher Education, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 783-805, 2001.
- [8] World Health Organization, Life skills education for children and adolescents in schools, WHO Programme on Mental Health, 1994.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Survey Questionnaire

A sample of the questionnaire used for data collection, includeing

- Multiple Intelligences Scale (Gardner, 1983)
- Self-Efficacy Scale (Bandura, 1997)
- Psychosocial Skills Scale (WHO, 1994)
- Teaching Competency Scale (Standardized instrument)

Appendix B: Statistical Tables

- Table B1: Descriptive Statistics (Mean, SD) for MI, SE, PS, and Teaching Competency.
- Table B2: t-test and ANOVA results for demographic comparisons.
- Table B3: Correlation Matrix between MI, SE, PS, and Teaching Competency.
- Table B4: Multiple Regression Analysis Summary.

Appendix C: Figures & Graphs

- Figure C1: Bar Graph of Mean Scores for MI, SE, and PS.
- Figure C2: Regression Line Graph predicting Teaching Competency.
- Figure C3: Correlation Heatmap between Key Variables.
- Figure C4: Demographic Pie Chart of Sample Population