

An Analysis of the Relation Between Employee and the Management.

MR.MUKESH KUSHWAHA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT

DR.MAHADEO PANDAGRE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT

MS.RESHMI PILLAI DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMNET

Abstract - Employees are undoubtedly an organization's most significant asset; without them, it would

Be difficult to achieve its core goals. In order to get more out of your workforce, you need

to create a work environment that meets the needs of both the management and each

Individual employee. Through an examination of several employee relationship

Management components, including communication, participative leadership, shared goals

and values, mutual trust, motivation, and conflict management, this conceptual paper aims

to investigate the fundamental ideas of employee relations and how they affect employee

performance. Additionally, a thorough examination of the connection between employee

relations and performance is conducted. The fundamental idea and performance metrics

related to employee performance are also included in the study. From an extensive analysis

of the literature on previous investigations, it was discovered that the earlier studies didn't

make a thorough effort to discuss how employee relations affect workers' productivity. A

positive relationship with a manager is associated with higher motivation and performance,

whereas a negative relationship is associated with lower performance. The current

bureaucratic management style that managers use in their organization has had a bad overall

impact and a significant level of unhappiness among employees. As a result, people become

less productive and perform less at work. Lastly, it was proposed that future investigators

should conduct in-depth investigation to produce noteworthy empirical findings.

Key words: Effects, Employee Relation and performance

1. INTRODUCTION

The influence of the relationship between managers and employees on employee

performance and productivity can never be underestimated, as this also affects

organizational performance. Employee performance can thus be viewed as a driving force

in any organization. Employee performance can be described as the degree to which a person can complete the critical obligations required to hold a position in an organization (Conway, 2006). Employee job performance is critical, especially in terms of maintaining and increasing organizational productivity (Conway, 2006). Tsitmideli et al. (2016) agree with Conway (2006) that work performance for an employee is one of the most important aspects for organisations to sustain and increase productivity. However, a bad connections with supervisors, on the other hand, can lead to employee stress, demotion, counter-productivity, and bad performance. When employees combine other resources like cash, technology, information, and production system to attain an organization's competitive edge, they become the deciding factor in whether an Organisation succeeds or fails. Together with having positive working relationships with their employers, employees must collaborate for this to occur. Therefore, the attainment of organizational objectives depends heavily on employee relationship management. Given this, it is imperative that there exist robust relationships between managers and staff, as well as between staff and the Organisation, as these foster productivity, motivation, and exceptional performance. Conversely, a number of studies have shown that low devotion and job discontent among employees negatively affect the caliber of goods and services that the company provides to its target market. Businesses today operate in a dynamic and chaotic environment, necessitating the need for highly competent and adaptable labor that can provide higher-quality services and achieve high customer retention rates. Companies should have had a strong staff retention rate in order to accomplish this. Employee retention is the process of keeping happy workers, which leads to devoted clients. Since they need the same degree of satisfaction for the services they provide to the corporation as do clients of corporate services or corporate products, employees are seen as internal customers. Retaining employees is a difficult endeavor that calls for a robust employee relationship management system to fully utilize their skills, which in turn improves organizational performance. Employee motivation, training, succession planning, incentive schemes, pay and benefit structures, promotions, and job satisfaction all affect how well they perform. In addition, companies that use sophisticated technology, current methods, and tools for employee

relations have a competitive edge over rivals. Employee performance management is a multifaceted and intricate process that involves creating a shared understanding among staff members about the goals of the Organisation and coordinating corporate objectives with metrics such as job-specific skills and competencies, employee development plans, and the final outputs of these initiatives. Actually, this study's objective is to compile and evaluate information from many publications in order to understand the dynamics among coworkers and how such dynamics impact output. It also makes recommendations for more research in an effort to address the shortcomings of earlier investigations on these subjects.

2. Research Methodology

This academic study provides a thorough qualitative analysis of the literature on employee relations and how worker productivity is impacted by it. Books, conference proceedings, and articles from reputable journals were among the scholarly works that were the focus of this study of the literature. The basic concepts of employee relations and the factors that drive them have been examined, along with pertinent literature from the past. It additionally incorporates the most recent and relevant information from multiple studies, which has been assessed and presented in a descriptive manner. Compiling the latest information and research on the subject under investigation was the aim of this.

3. Discussion

In the 20th century, western scholars replaced the notion of industrial relations with that of employee relations, which is a type of interpersonal relationship. It is influenced by the sociocultural background, legal system, economy, and technology of a particular community. It centers on the right and responsibility, management, and obeying caused by the interest between the organization and the employees as a whole of cooperating, conflict, strengthen, and power relations (Yongia, 2010). The term "employee relations" refers to the interaction between managers and staff members with the aim of fostering a work environment that is conducive to achieving organizational goals and boosting staff morale, dedication, and trust (Bajaj et al., 2013). An effective employee relation involves creating and cultivating a motivated and productive workforce.

A company's ability to fulfil its goals depends on its ability to build strong employee

relations. In order for this to occur, companies must establish strong employee relationships, which entail inspiring workers, including them in decision-making processes, facilitating the free exchange of information within the company, and resolving conflicts or disagreements as they emerge at work. This situation encourages workers to have a sense of ownership in the company, which motivates them to put in extra effort. Good employee relations results in more productive, efficient, and effective workers, which in turn raises the organization's output level. Studies have indicated that companies with a favorable work environment and harmonious working relationships with their employees are more productive, which translates into higher profits (Gills, 2008). But according to Byton's (2008) research, employees don't give their all when they are unhappy with management, the government, or even their coworkers. It is poor working relationships that lead to employee dissatisfaction and strikes, which reduce productivity inside the Organisation. Performance, in the words of Gentry and Shanock (2008), is the outcome or impact of actions taken over a specific time period. Evaluating a worker's productivity and skill level is essential to the organization's overall plan. According to Arnolds et al. (2010), productivity is the same as output rate and efficiency. Productivity, according to Moletsane et al. (2019), is the number of products and services produced with the least amount of work. Productivity was defined as an economic outcome per contributing element by Sturman and Park (2016). It is safe to presume, from the definitions given above, that productivity is the result of an employee's ongoing efforts to competently and sustainably convert inputs into outputs in order to satisfy organizational goals. Thus, an organization's production and success are related to the performance of its employees (McLarty et al., 2021). But this can vary depending on the kind of supervisors and how they interact with staff members (Sturman & Park, 2016).

4. Employee Relationship Management

Ever since the start of the industrial revolution, managing the relationships between employers and employees has been more important than ever. No matter when an employee is hired, how long they stay there, or when they decide to part ways, the workplace needs to have effective employee relationship management (Rose, 2008). A

more modern definition of employee relationship management is a method for managing staff members well so they can achieve organizational goals (Gren and Anderson, 2013). relationships that are associated with employee job performance. A company's management may execute a variety of initiatives under the umbrella of employee relationship management in order to foster cordial and cooperative working relationships with its workforce. It aids According to Coyle-Shapiro and Conway (2005), the function of a manager is viewed as the most important in promoting employee job performance and allowing individuals to reach their maximum potential. Human capital is also regarded as one of the most important resources for organizational success. As a result, managers should prioritize employee satisfaction (Tsitmideli et al., 2016). Given this, managers must promote strong employee connections while also recognizing the types of employee-manager in the development of a cohesive workplace where all staff members cooperate to guarantee the achievement of the organization's objectives. According to Bajaj et al. (2013), it also fosters dedication, helps workers accomplish organizational goals, reduces friction at work, and builds trust. When managers are aware of their staff members' requirements and make an effort to meet those needs out of concern for them, employees are more willing to contribute to the achievement of organizational objectives. On the other hand, no goal can be achieved when staff members argue and misunderstand management. In order to reduce productivity gaps and boost employee morale, employers should cultivate positive employee relations. When managers work hard to build relationships, employees are more inclined to deliver high-quality goods and services for the organization's target market, which in turn boosts employee effectiveness and productivity

(Chapman and Goodwin, 2001).

Employee relationship management, according to Kumar and Manjula (2017), fosters positive working relationships with the organization, moral principles, and self-assurance to motivate staff to perform better. It also treats all workers equally and without discrimination, increases productivity and effectiveness at work, and inspires staff to follow their inner passions and create new things.

4.1. Components of Employee Relationship Management

Within an organization, communication refers to the process of sharing information among staff members so they are aware of key organizational components, such as institutional policies, strategies, procedures, and manuals that explain expectations and how to carry out tasks. It is essential to fostering better employee relations because it serves as a key to facilitate communication within the company. Building trust amongst employees of various divisions within an organization is one way that communication fosters relationships and promotes harmony (Chinomona and Sandata, 2013). Employee performance and communication have a significant relationship, according to a study conducted by Abduwarda (2010). Furthermore, research by Kumar and Manjula (2017) demonstrates that open communication is essential for a positive employee-employer dynamic since it fosters group activities at work and makes it easier for employees to get along with their coworkers. Thus, the research conducted by Sequeira and Shriti (2015) supports the idea that employees with greater knowledge perform significantly better than those with less knowledge. In addition, Hasen and Salman's (2016) study found that effective communication has a positive and significant impact on worker performance because it is a crucial strategy that can draw out the best in workers and help the organisations and workers reach their ultimate objectives.

4.2. Participative Leadership

The performance of the organization is significantly impacted by a critical factor: leadership. Although they still have the last say, participative leadership, according to House and

Mitch (1974), exhibits consultative behavior by consulting staff members before making decisions. This is essential for attaining high employee performance since it makes followers feel valued and appreciated, which in turn leads to high employee commitment. Leadership can be characterized as a leader's ability to inspire, involve their followers in decision-making, and provide ongoing training in order to steer them towards achieving organizational goals. Because subordinates are capable of generating creative ideas, participatory leadership solicits comments and recommendations from them before granting authority to make decisions (Chaudhry, 2013). This allows the leader to make well-informed decisions. Because their involvement puts people at ease and improves their performance, it also helps to strengthen the relationship between leaders and subordinates and fosters a sense of ownership in the organisations.

Comparably, a study by Pradeep and Prabhu (2011) found that there was a positive correlation between employee performance and transformational leadership. This is because transformational leaders are able to persuade their subordinates to work harder, create a more positive work environment, and demonstrate greater job satisfaction than transactional leaders. Sequeira and Dhriti (2015) also demonstrate in their study that when an organization's management fosters equal opportunity and treats all employees equally and without bias, this fosters a positive attitude towards the organization. Additionally, constructive criticism and guidance help employees understand what is expected of them by the organization, as they feel that they are mutually benefiting. Per Anwar et al. (2014), a participative leadership style is beneficial for employees' performance and is most effective over the long run. Poor employee performance can also be linked to a lack of skills, incompetence, or knowledge on the part of the personnel (Pothos et al., 2014). Managers should be able to notice such variations in the performance of their employees and make suitable plans (Rehman et al., 2020). Furthermore, failing to construct successful teams can result in dysfunctional teams, which in turn leads to poor employee performance (Noe et al., 2017). Today's managers must be able to develop teams, according to Maddux (2016) and Raub et al. (2021). Employees' capacity to operate both as a team and independently is crucial to the

success of a department and the entire firm. Managers must practice disciplined leadership and maintain a good attitude in order to build a cohesive team that is motivated towards common goals. Managers that practice these skills will witness improved employee performance and a more efficient and productive workplace (Raub et al., 2021).

4.3. Shared Goals and Values

The notion of shared aim refers to the communality among employees and management of an organization towards a primary objective that the organization expects to achieve (Kontabutra and Avery, 2009). This coherence aids in understanding how work is related across all organizational levels as well as matching employees' work with the organization's strategic direction (Pulakos and O'Leary, 2011). Sharing a shared aim allows employees to focus all of their efforts on achieving the organization's specific goal. However, this can be realized when employees are interdependent and collaborate.

Employee performance and organizational outcomes benefit from shared goals (Chinomana & Jandata, 2013).

Employees are directed to enhance productivity by shared goals. Many experts believed that setting clearly feasible and mutually agreed-upon goals that correspond to the organization's vision inspires people to achieve it (Nelson, 1997; Sax, 2012). This raises team members' knowledge of the desired aims and promotes them to share a feeling of common purpose (Dina, 2010; Holmes, 2005). Furthermore, (Richards and et al., 2012) indicate that precise goals drive personnel to achieve them, which develops commitment.

4.4. Mutual Trust

Trust is a vital characteristic that influences organizational performance, effectiveness, and efficiency (Driks and Ferrin, 2002). Over the course of a relationship, trust may increase, drop, or reappear. As the relationship grows, people have more opportunities to observe and learn about each other, and the judgement over the provided conduct can be determined as either trustworthy or untrustworthy (Huang and Guo, 2009). Trust encourages cooperative behavior, reduces conflict, and develops employees' good

impressions of their supervisors, which in turn increases employee job satisfaction in the organization (Gills, 2008). It also fosters a positive work atmosphere, which leads to improved employee job performance in the organization. The majority of scholars see trust as having two components: integrity and reliability. Trust in an employee-employer relationship is the level of reliance one may place on information received from another person and confidence in the relationship partner (Hering, 2009). Deniel, 2003) believes that when employees fail to trust their supervisors, the flow of upward communication is hampered; similarly, when managers mistrust their employees who work with them, the downward flow of information is hampered. Abushawish (2013), advocates that there is a favorable association between employee transparency and organizational trust. Managers' trust may have a bigger influence on managerial decision-making. Furthermore, according to Hasen and Salman (2016), the level of trust has a positive and significant relationship with employee performance because building trust is building confidence in each other's competencies and reliabilities. Thus, the presence of trust among employees constructs synergy in the organization and a better performance of its employees because trust is based on reliability and competencies.

4.5. Motivation

Motivation, according to Duica (2008), is the sum of all internal and external stimuli that urge a person to act, create the dimensions and forms of that behaviour, and provide direction for activities carried out in pursuit of certain goals. Motivation is critical for an organization's effectiveness because it aids in the continuity of work (Omollo, 2015). Motivated employees are a significant asset to any organisation. Financial benefits, meaningful work, leadership, empowerment, growth and development, meaningful work, reward, and good working conditions are all considered best practises for fostering positive employee relations and having motivated staff members, according to Handon (2000) and Werbler and Harris (2009). Several studies have found a link between motivation and performance (Deci and Gangne, 2005). Similarly, Nabi and colleagues (2015) discovered that motivation has a consistent influence on employee performance; when people get a little extra drive and

exertion, their standard performance is bound to improve. Furthermore, Shahzadi et al (2014) conducted a study to evaluate the effect of employee motivation on employee performance, and they discovered that motivated employees outperform unmotivated employees.

4.2. Conflict Handling / Management /

Conflict is a dispute that occurs when interests, goals or values of different individuals or groups are incompatible with each other (Agwu, 2013). Maltreatment of employees, poor reward system of the organization, lack of group cohesiveness and favoritism are responsible for organizational conflicts; with the consequential impact on employee job commitment, service quality, customer satisfaction & organizational performance, whereas outcomes generate influence on job performance, thus creating turnover intentions and further generating organizational conflict (Ahmed, 2015; Nwokorie, 2017). Sometimes conflict can also arise on the style of interaction with colleague or ethics and principles can also be the reason for conflict among workers. When employees' working together conflict is inevitable or natural but the existence of conflict is not a matter rather how to resolve in win- win approach. It has been evidenced that the most separations are because of conflict over communication style and personalities and moreover these separations take place in case of conflicts are managed effectively (Chaudhry and et al., 2013). Integration of all stakeholders' interest will go a long way in reducing conflict in organization and enhancing employee performance. To substantiate this, Agwu (2013) confirmed that there is a significant relationship between conflict management strategies and employee's performance.

5. Employees Performance

Performance involves both what must be completed and how it can be accomplished. Organizational performance can be assessed in a variety of ways. According to Coulter (2006), employee performance is defined as the whole or aggregate output of an employee's activities and actions in an organization. She goes on to say that employee performance can be divided into three categories: low performance, moderate performance, and high performance. She agrees that employee performance in an

organization is typically measured using attributes such as effectiveness, efficiency, quality, innovation, creativity, commitments, satisfaction, cohesiveness, flexibility, customer relations, communication patterns, and employee efforts towards an organization's goals. However, the dominating one that is often employed in many organisations is assessing actual performance against projected results, which include financial results (profitability) or productivity (Armstrong, 2009).

Many organisations recognize that their key sources of competitive advantage are their employees, and hence employees contribute to the organization's performance.

According to Hayward (2005), employee performance has a major impact on organizational goal achievement. Managing employee performance is essential for achieving organizational goals. Assessing an employee's potential and monitoring his or her productivity is critical in the organization's overall strategy. It is difficult to improve an organization's output level when it fails to measure its employees' potential and capacity to perform the activities allocated to them. Employee performance is critical to measuring because it is directly related to organizational productivity and success because each employee produces results that primarily comprise the correlation between the quality of production and the dedication of employees at work (Sarmad et al., 2011).

6. The Effects of Employees Relations on Employee Performance

A positive work environment fosters good employee relations, which can boost motivation. Enhanced morale among employees might also result in improved performance. Companies looking at employee relations initiatives may see an improvement in performance, which boosts revenue for the company (Kelchner, 2017).

The study carried out by Sequeira and Dhriti (2015) revealed that the performance of employees inside the organization was directly impacted by the employee relations practices that were implemented. Workers who are more content with the way the company operates as it is now are more productive and less likely to want to see changes made. The study also showed that enhancing an organization's employee relations procedures can boost worker performance and, in turn, raise overall productivity,

supported by Kuzu and Ozihan (2014) and Al-khozondar (2015), who demonstrated a robust correlation between employee relations and job performance.

7. Conclusion

The evaluated article investigated the influence of employee relationships on employee performance. It was established that good employee relations drive people to perform better and contribute to the overall success of the organisation. It also revealed that employee relations management components like as communication, participative leadership, shared goals and values, mutual trust, motivation, and conflict management had a substantial effect on employee performance. Organisations are realizing that employee relationship management helps them develop good relationships with their staff. Employee relationship management improves employee performance, which in turn increases organisational effectiveness. According to an extensive review of previous research, although it was discovered that employee relationships have a direct effect on employee performance, the studies did not conduct an in-depth investigation on the issue because they failed to notice several variables such as trade union, collective agreement, employee health, safety, and security, and flexible work schedule, which are essential factors for strengthening employee relationship management in the everchanging dynamic world that stipulates Furthermore, because the majority of the studies focused on service-oriented organisations, the researchers were unable to explore the nature of work relationships in other industries.

To fill the existing gap, a reliable comprehensive empirical investigation into the effects of employee relation variables (labour union, collective agreement, employee safety and health) on improving employee performance is required, based on the information provided in previous studies. Furthermore, it is advised that further research be conducted on analysing the effects of employees' relationship on quality improvement in manufacturing and public sector organisations, which spans numerous work forces in today's world of work. Furthermore, it is advised that study be conducted on the impacts of work-life balance on building employee relations in order to improve employee performance, which is an emerging movement of the century's workforce in

order to meet their ever-increasing demands.

References

[1] Al-Khozondar, N.(2015). Employee Relationship Management and its effect on employeePerformance at Telecommunication and Banking Sectors

[2] Abushawish, N. (2013). Antecedents and Consequences of Organizational Trust AppliedStudy onUNRWA Employees in Gaza Field Office

[3] Abuwarda, M. (2010). Communication and its impact on Work Performance at UNRWAGaza Field Office: Case Study

[4] Amarjit, S. Gill, (2008) "The role of trust in employee-manager relationship",

International

[5] Armstrong, M. (2009). Armstrong's Handbook of Performance Management: An evidence-based guide to delivering high performance (Fourth Edition). Kogan Page

[6] Arnolds, C., Boshoff, C., & Mazibuko, N. (2010). The Motivational Impact of Job Security, Recognition, Monetary Incentives and Training on the Job Performance of Blue-Collar Employees. South Africa Journal of Labour Relations, 34(1).

[7] Bajaj, R. (2013). Suggestions to Implement Human Relations and Its Determinants in Public Sectors. American Journal of Engineering Research, 02(12), 91-97.

[8] Bajaj, R., Sinha, S., & Tiwari, V. (2013). Crucial Factors of Human Resource Management for Good Employee Relations: A Case Study. International Journal of Mining, Metallurgy & Mechanical Engineering, 1(2), 90-92.

[9] Conway, J. (2006). Effects of Supervisor-Employee Relationship on Job Performance. Applied Psychology Opus. Retrieved from

https://wp.nyu.edu/steinhardt-ap psych_opus/effects-of-supervisor-employee-relationship-on-job-performance/

[10] Coyle-Shapiro, J., & Conway, N. (2005). Exchange Relationships: Examining Psychological Contracts and Perceived Organizational Support. Journal of Applied Psychology, 90(4), 774-781. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.4.774>

[11] Chaudhry, M ;Sohail, F and Riaz (2013). Impact of Employee Relations on Employee Performance in Hospitality Industry of Pakistan. Entrepreneurship and

InnovationJournal. ISSN 2310 . Volume 1 , ISSU1.

[12] Chinomona, R., & Sandada, M. (2013). Shared Goal, Communication and Absence of Damaging Conflicts as Antecedents of Employee Relationship Strength at

Institutions of Higher Learning in South Africa. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(3), 137-145

[13] Dewydar,S.(2015). The Optimum Relationship between managers and employees. *International Journal of business and social Science* Volume 6 No 8.

[14] Daniela, I., Mihaela, B. C., & Ionel, M. (2008). The Impact of Manager Employee Relationship on Improving Performances in Tourist Industry. *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Science Series*, 17(4), 54-60.

[15] Dirks, K., & Ferrin, D. (2002). Trust in Leadership: Meta-Analytic Findings and Implications for Research and Practice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 611-628.

[16] Daniel, T. (2003). Tools for Building a Positive Employee Relations Environment. *Employment Relations Today*, 30(2), 51-64.

[17] Daniela, I., Mihaela, B. C., & Ionel, M. (2008). The Impact of Manager – Employee Relationship

[18] Dirks, K., & Ferrin, D. (2002). Trust in Leadership: Meta-Analytic Findings and Implications

[19] Gentry, W. A., & Shanock, L. R. (2008). Views of Managerial Derailment from Above and Below: The Importance of a Good Relationship with Upper Management and Putting People at Ease. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38(10), 2469-2494. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559.1816.2008.00400.x>

[20] Hayward, B. A. (2005). Relationship between Employee Performance, Leadership and Emotional Intelligence in A South African Parastatal Organization. Rhodes University Master Thesis.

[21] Herington, C., Johnson, L., & Scott, D. (2009). Firm–employee relationship strength—Aconceptual model. *Journal of Business Research*, 1096–1107

[22] Huang, Y. K., & Guo, L. (2009). Trust Factors in a Manager-Employee Relationship overTime. *International CHRIE Conference-Refereed Track Journal of*

- Contemporary Hospitality Management, Vol. 20 Issue: 1, pp.98-103,
- [23] Kantabutra, S., & Avery, G. (2009). Shared Vision In Customer And Staff Satisfaction: Relationships And Their Consequences. The Journal of Applied Business Research, 25(4),9-22.
- [24] Kuzua , Ö. H., & Ozilhan, D. (2014). The Effect of Employee Relationships and Knowledge Sharing on Employees' Performance: An Empirical Research on Service Industry: An Empirical Research on Service Industry. Social and Behavioral Sciences 109, 1370 – 1374.
- [25] Lagergren, N., & Andersson, V. (2013). Becoming the CFO's best friend by gaining a deeper customer understanding. Master Thesis
- [26] Maddux, R. (2016). Team Building: An Exercise in Leadership. Kogan Page Publishers.
- [27] Mani,A; Lohith. K and Manjula, K. (2017). The study on Employee Relationship Management. (Conference Proceedings)
- [28] McLarty, B. D., Muldoon, J., Quade, M., & King, R. A. (2021). Your Boss Is the Problem and Solution: How Supervisor-induced Hindrance Stressors and LMX Influence Employee-Job Neglect and Subsequent Performance. Journal of Business Research, 130, 308-317.
- [29] Moletsane, M., Tefera, O., & Migiro, S. (2019). The Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Productivity of Sugar Industry in South Africa: The Employees' Perspective. African Journal of Business & Economics Research (AJBER), 14(1), 113-134.
- [30] Ngari, J. M., & Agusioma, N. L. (2013). Influence of Employee Relations on Organization Performance of Private University in Kenya. International Journal of Innovative Research and Studies, 2(8), 183-210.on Improving Performances in Tourist Industry. Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic
- [31] Noe, R., Hollenbeck, J. R., Gerhart B., & Wright P. M. (2017). Human resource management: Gaining a competitive advantage. McGraw Hill.
- [32] Onyango, O. A. (2014). Perception Of the Effectiveness of Employee Relationship

Management

[33] Pothos, N., Skordoulis, M., & Chalikias, M. (2014). Contemporary Industrial and Organisational Psychology. South Africa.

[34] Pradeep, D. D., & Prabhu, N. (2011). The Relationship between Effective Leadership and Employee Performance. International Conference on Advancements in Information Technology, 198-207

[35] Raub, S., Borzillo, S., Perret ten, G., & Schmitt, M. (2021). New Employee Orientation, Role-related Stressors and Conflict at Work: Consequences for Work Attitudes and Performance of Hospitality Employees. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 94, 102857.

[36] Rehman, S. U. R., Shahzad, M., Farooq, M. S., & Javaid, M. U. (2020). Impact of leadership behavior of a project manager on his/her subordinate's job attitudes and job outcomes. Asia Pacific Management Review, 25(1), 38-47.

[37] Tsitmideli, G., Skordoulis, M., Chalikias, M., Sidiropoulos, G., & Papagrigoriou, A. (2016). Supervisors and subordinates' relationship impact on job satisfaction and efficiency: The case of obstetric clinics in Greece. International Journal of Strategic Innovative Marketing. Retrieved from <https://www.academia>.