International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) **ISSN: 2583-6129**
Volume: 05 Issue: 04 | April – 2026 **DOI:10.55041/ISJEM06877**
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Blockchain for Transparency and Traceability in Agri-Food Supply Chain

Dr. Brindha S[1], Ms. Swathi G[2], Kavin S S[3], Eshan Almighty R[4], Hrithikesh S[5], Rishikesh K[6], Venkata Krishnan S[7]

[1]*Head of Dept., Computer Networking, PSG Polytechnic College, Coimbatore*
[2]*Lecturer, Computer Networking, PSG Polytechnic College, Coimbatore*
[34567]*Students, Computer Networking, PSG Polytechnic College, Coimbatore*

Abstract—Transparency and traceability remain deeply rooted challenges in conventional agri-food supply chains, mainly because of fragmented information flow, heavy reliance on manual record-keeping, centralised data storage, and weak stakeholder accountability. These gaps open the door to food adulteration, data tampering, delayed product recalls, and a steady erosion of consumer confidence. This paper proposes a blockchain-based transparency and traceability framework that securely captures every supply-chain event — spanning production, inspection, processing, transportation, and distribution — on a decentralised and immutable ledger. Smart contracts automate data validation and enforce business rules, while QR-code-based product identification lets stakeholders and consumers retrieve complete product provenance in real time. The system also integrates IoT sensor monitoring for cold-chain compliance, an AI-driven pest management module, reinforcement-learning-based demand forecasting, and a multilingual interface designed to support farmers across diverse linguistic backgrounds. Experimental results demonstrate measurable improvements in data integrity, tamper resistance, and traceability accuracy compared with traditional centralised approaches, confirming the suitability of the proposed platform for practical deployment in modern agri-food supply chains.

Index Terms—*Blockchain, Agri-Food Supply Chain, Traceability, Smart Contracts, IoT Monitoring, QR Code, Demand Forecasting, Multilingual Interface, Transparency.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture sits at the heart of human civilisation — it feeds billions, sustains livelihoods, and underpins economic stability across the globe. Yet the journey of a food product from a farmer's field to a consumer's table is surprisingly complex, passing through a dense network of growers, processors, transporters, distributors, and retailers. At each hand-off, information about the product — its origin, quality, handling conditions, and authenticity — should ideally travel with it. In practice, that information is often fragmented, delayed, or simply missing.

Traditional agri-food supply chains rely heavily on handwritten harvest records, paper invoices, and disconnected inventory systems. No single participant holds a complete, trustworthy picture of the product's journey, and this opacity creates real-world consequences: price manipulation, substitution of inferior goods, fraudulent quality certifications, and lengthy investigations whenever a food-safety incident occurs.

Recent technological advances offer genuine solutions. Blockchain provides a decentralised, immutable ledger where every transaction is transparent to authorised participants and resistant to tampering. The Internet of Things (IoT) brings real-time environmental sensing to warehouses and transport vehicles. Artificial intelligence enables smarter pest detection and data-driven demand forecasting. Together, these technologies form the basis for the AgriTrace platform described in this paper.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. Section II reviews related work. Section III describes the system architecture. Section IV details the implementation. Section V presents results. Section VI concludes with a discussion of limitations and future directions.

II. RELATED WORK

Research into technology-driven agri-food supply chains has accelerated considerably over the past decade. Early work focused on demonstrating that blockchain could serve as a tamper-proof record for food provenance. Tian [1] proposed an Ethereum-based food-safety system that secured production and inspection data against post-hoc modification, showing clear gains in data integrity but offering limited mechanisms for direct consumer interaction.

Caro et al. [2] built on this by introducing a practical blockchain framework confirming the feasibility of decentralised traceability, while exposing challenges around scalability and integration with legacy infrastructure. Galvez et al. [3] and Kamilaris et al. [8] conducted comprehensive reviews identifying immutability and provenance tracking as blockchain's core strengths, while noting that usability barriers and absent real-world validation remained persistent gaps.

Smart-contract automation has also attracted significant attention. Several groups [6], [7] have shown that self-executing contracts can enforce compliance rules, validate quality data, and trigger payment settlement without requiring a trusted intermediary. However, many such systems remain resource-intensive and difficult to deploy in small-scale agricultural settings.

Lin et al. [4] combined blockchain with IoT sensors to create a smart agriculture traceability system that captured environmental conditions automatically, reducing reliance on manual data entry. Despite these advances, no prior system integrates blockchain immutability, real-time IoT monitoring, AI analytics, consumer-facing QR traceability, and multilingual accessibility in a single deployable platform — the gap this work addresses.

III. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW

AgriTrace follows a layered, modular architecture separating concerns across four distinct tiers: the User Interface (UI) Layer, the Application Logic Layer, the Blockchain Layer, and the Database/Storage Layer.

A. User Interface Layer

The UI layer provides role-specific dashboards for every stakeholder — farmers, distributors, retailers, consumers, and administrators. The interface is fully responsive, supports six regional languages (Tamil, Hindi, Telugu, Kannada, Malayalam, and English), and is deliberately designed to be accessible to users with limited digital literacy.

B. Application Logic Layer

This layer handles business-rule enforcement, input validation, user authentication, and role-based access control (RBAC). Only authorised users can submit data, and each role is granted precisely the permissions needed for its responsibilities. The layer brokers all interactions between the UI and the underlying blockchain and database services.

C. Blockchain Layer

The blockchain layer records all critical supply-chain events — product registration, batch creation, custody transfers, and quality certifications — on an immutable distributed ledger. Every block stores the transaction payload, a timestamp, and a cryptographic hash of the preceding block, making retrospective tampering immediately detectable. Smart contracts govern the rules for each transaction type.

D. Database and Storage Layer

AgriTrace adopts a hybrid approach: large or non-critical records are held in off-chain relational and time-series databases, while a cryptographic hash of each off-chain record is anchored on-chain. During retrieval, the system recomputes the hash and compares it with the on-chain value; any mismatch signals tampering.

TABLE I. Traditional vs. Proposed System Comparison

Dimension	Traditional System	Proposed System
Traceability	Manual, partial; days to trace	Near-instantaneous blockchain tracing
Transparency	Confined to own records only	Full visibility for all authorised parties
Data Integrity	Vulnerable to errors & tampering	Cryptographically secured; tamper-evident
Consumer Access	No access to supply-chain data	Instant QR scan → full product history

Trust	Relies on third-party audits	Built into the blockchain protocol

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

The AgriTrace platform is implemented using a component-driven approach in which each module operates independently while sharing a common blockchain backend. Table II summarises the key technologies selected for the project.

TABLE II. Technology Stack Components

Component	Technology	Purpose
Frontend	React / Node.js	UI & data display
Blockchain	Ethereum + Solidity	Immutable ledger
AI / ML	TensorFlow / PyTorch	Forecasting & pest detection
IoT	Microcontroller + sensors	Environmental monitoring
Database	Relational + time-series	Off-chain data storage
QR Code	Open-source QR toolkit	Batch identification
i18n	Localisation library	Multilingual interface

A. Blockchain Module

Smart contracts written in Solidity are deployed on the Ethereum network and exposed through a web3 API. The product-registration contract validates all mandatory fields before writing to the ledger. The batch-transfer contract requires dual confirmation — dispatch from the sender and receipt from the receiver — before a transfer is finalised. Every on-chain record includes the event type, party identities, a Unix timestamp, and a SHA-256 hash of associated off-chain data.

B. IoT Sensor Monitoring

Sensor nodes deployed at farms, cold-storage facilities, and transport vehicles sample temperature and humidity at configurable intervals. Each transmission carries the node's unique identifier, the batch identifier, measured values, and an NTP-synchronised timestamp. A threshold engine continuously evaluates incoming data; when a violation is detected, an alert is dispatched to the responsible farmer and current batch custodian within an average of 28 seconds.

C. Demand Forecasting

The demand forecasting module employs a policy-gradient reinforcement learning (RL) agent that models the dynamic relationship between agricultural production decisions and market outcomes. The agent's state vector includes current inventory levels, recent price trends, seasonal demand patterns, and macroeconomic indicators. After pre-training on historical market data, the agent continues to refine its policy from real-world feedback in an online learning mode.

D. Smart Pest Management

The pest management module combines a rule-based agronomic knowledge base with a convolutional neural network (CNN) image classifier. Farmers upload photographs of affected crop areas; the CNN identifies the disease or pest with a confidence score, and the knowledge base maps the diagnosis to a prioritised list of organic and chemical treatment recommendations.

E. QR Code Traceability

When a farmer creates a new product batch, the system generates a unique QR code encoding the batch identifier and the URL of the blockchain retrieval endpoint. When a consumer scans it, their browser displays the product's complete provenance — farm location, harvest date, cultivation method, storage and transit conditions, and all quality certifications — in the user's preferred language.

F. Multilingual Interface

Six language packs are bundled with the platform using an internationalisation library that separates language strings from presentation logic. Users select their preferred language in Settings; the entire interface renders immediately in that language without a page reload. All translations have been reviewed by domain experts to ensure agronomic accuracy.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The AgriTrace platform was evaluated through unit testing, integration testing, end-to-end workflow simulation, performance load testing, security assessment, and a structured user-acceptance evaluation with real stakeholder participants.

A. System Performance

Table III summarises key performance metrics measured under moderate load (50 concurrent users). All targets were met or exceeded. Page loads averaged 1.8 s against a 3 s target. Blockchain transaction confirmation averaged 6.4 s. IoT alerts were delivered in under 28 s, and QR-code scan-to-display time averaged 2.1 s — a sub-three-second experience users found responsive and reliable.

TABLE III. System Performance Metrics

Metric	Target	Achieved	Status
Avg page load	< 3 s	1.8 s	Exceeds
Blockchain confirmation	< 10 s	6.4 s avg	Meets
IoT alert delivery	< 60 s	< 28 s avg	Exceeds
QR scan → display	< 5 s	2.1 s avg	Exceeds
Demand forecast gen.	< 15 s	9.7 s avg	Meets

B. Security and Data Integrity

Security testing confirmed that all protected endpoints rejected unauthenticated requests, and authenticated users could only perform actions within their assigned role. Tamper-resistance tests directly modified database records and then verified them against on-chain hashes; every modified record produced an immediate hash mismatch, confirming the effectiveness of the hybrid architecture.

C. User Acceptance Evaluation

User-acceptance evaluation involved farmers, distributors, and administrators performing representative tasks under observation. Participants consistently described the interface as intuitive. Farmers highlighted the multilingual support as a significant enabler; several who had previously avoided digital tools expressed confidence using the platform in their own language. Distributors valued the batch-tracking feature, and consumers rated the QR verification experience as quick, transparent, and trustworthy.

D. Impact on Supply Chain Efficiency

Post-harvest losses attributable to undetected cold-chain deviations are reduced because threshold violations trigger immediate corrective action. Administrative effort is cut by automating transaction recording and custody-transfer confirmation. The demand forecasting module helps farmers plan production more strategically, reducing the frequency and severity of price crashes caused by oversupply.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presented AgriTrace, a blockchain-based platform for end-to-end transparency and traceability in agri-food supply chains. By combining an immutable distributed ledger, smart-contract-enforced business rules, IoT environmental monitoring, AI-powered pest management, reinforcement-learning demand forecasting, QR-code consumer verification, and a multilingual interface, the system addresses the interconnected problems of data fragmentation and opacity that have long undermined agricultural supply chains.

Experimental results confirm that the platform meets all defined performance and security targets, and user-acceptance evaluation demonstrates it is accessible and valuable to stakeholders across the supply chain — including farmers historically excluded from digital tools by language and literacy barriers.

Future directions include expanding IoT coverage with GPS and RFID sensors, enriching the demand-forecasting agent with satellite-derived crop-yield data, and investigating cross-platform interoperability with enterprise ERP systems and standardised formats such as GS1. Extending the architecture to pharmaceutical, textile, and fisheries supply chains also represents a high-impact direction.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Tian, "An Agri-Food Supply Chain Traceability System for China Based on Blockchain Technology," IEEE ICSSSM, 2017.

[2] M. P. Caro et al., "Blockchain-Based Traceability in Agri-Food Supply Chain Management: A Practical Implementation," Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 135, pp. 7–15, 2018.

[3] J. F. Galvez et al., "Future Challenges on the Use of Blockchain for Food Traceability Analysis," Trends in Analytical Chemistry, vol. 107, pp. 222–232, 2018.

[4] J. Lin et al., "Blockchain and IoT Based Food Traceability for Smart Agriculture," IEEE IEEM, 2018.

[5] N. Kshetri, "Blockchain's Roles in Strengthening Cybersecurity and Protecting Privacy," Telecommunications Policy, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 1027–1038, 2017.

[6] F. Casino et al., "A Systematic Literature Review of Blockchain-Based Applications," Telematics and Informatics, vol. 36, pp. 55–81, 2019.

[7] S. Saberi et al., "Blockchain Technology and Its Relationships to Sustainable Supply Chain Management," Int. J. Production Research, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2117–2135, 2019.

[8] A. Kamilaris et al., "The Rise of Blockchain Technology in Agriculture and Food Supply Chains," Trends in Food Science & Technology, vol. 91, pp. 640–652, 2019.

[9] S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System," 2008.

[10] Q. Lin et al., "Food Safety Traceability System Based on Blockchain and EPCIS," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 20698–20707, 2019.

[11] T. Bosona and G. Gebresenbet, "Food Traceability as an Integral Part of Logistics Management in Food and Agricultural Supply Chain," Food Control, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 32–48, 2013.