International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 04 Issue: 04 | April – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02705
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Challenges in Learning with Traditional Alphabet & Math Puzzle Sets

Harson SG, Keerthana U, Hamdan Mohamed Palakkal, A L S Prasanna Varma, Krishnapriya Prasad, Himanshu Verma

MBA Students, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School,
Jain Deemed to be University, Bengaluru
Dr.Vinoth S
Professor, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School,
Jain Deemed to be University, Bengaluru

ABSTRACT

This report examines the potential of Augmented Reality (AR)-enabled alphabet and math puzzle sets to enhance early childhood education for children aged 3 to 8. Traditional physical puzzles, which is beneficial for developing cognitive and motor skills, which often lacks adaptability and engagement in today's technology-driven environment. This study explores how integrating AR technology can address these limitations by creating interactive and immersive learning experiences. While physical puzzles foster hands-on engagement and develop essential skills, they can be limited by repetitive content, lack of real-time feedback, and difficulty adapting to individual learning needs. Studies indicate that traditional puzzles, while fostering logical thinking and problem-solving, may not always cater to diverse learning styles or provide the comprehensive background needed for deeper understanding, particularly in mathematical concepts. Moreover, the static nature of physical puzzles can lead to disengagement and accessibility issues for children with specific needs. The transition to digital learning, particularly AR-enabled tools, presents opportunities to personalize learning, offer immediate feedback, and increase engagement through visual and auditory stimuli. Research suggests that interactive learning environments, such as AR puzzles, significantly enhance motivation and knowledge retention. These digital tools can adapt to individual learning paces, provide real-time feedback, and offer a wide range of educational resources. However, challenges remain, including concerns about excessive screen time, data privacy, and the need for adequate teacher training.

Keywords

Augmented Reality (AR), Early Childhood Education, Cognitive Development, Technology Integration, Interactive Learning.

1.Introduction

For generations, alphabet and math puzzles have been essential tools in early childhood education, aiding in the development of cognitive and problem-solving skills. Traditionally made from materials such as wood, plastic, or cardboard, these puzzles encourage young learners to recognize letters, numbers, and basic words through hands-on interaction. Over time, their design has evolved, incorporating vibrant visuals, textured surfaces, and phonetic guidance to improve learning experiences. However, despite their benefits, conventional puzzles often lack adaptability to a child's individual learning pace. With the advancement of technology, particularly Augmented

Reality (AR), there is an opportunity to enhance these learning tools by combining physical engagement with interactive digital elements, making education more immersive and tailored to each child's needs.

Early learning tools play a crucial role in fostering cognitive, motor, and social development, laying the groundwork for language comprehension, numeracy, and critical thinking. The early years of a child's life are a pivotal period for brain growth, and exposure to stimulating educational activities can significantly impact future academic success. Research has shown that children exposed to well-designed learning resources during their formative years often develop stronger literacy and numeracy skills. AR-enabled puzzles can enhance this learning process by incorporating visual, auditory, and kinaesthetic elements, making education more engaging and effective. These tools transform passive learning into an interactive experience, using animations, storytelling, and sound effects to capture children's attention and encourage active participation in their learning journey.

This study aims to examine the impact of AR-enabled alphabet and math puzzles on early childhood education. It seeks to assess how integrating AR technology with traditional puzzle formats can enhance children's learning experiences, engagement, and overall educational development. Additionally, the research will explore the feasibility of implementing AR-based learning tools in diverse environments, such as homes, preschools, and daycare centres. The scope of the study includes evaluating user interaction, cognitive benefits, and the perspectives of parents and educators regarding AR-assisted learning. By delving into these aspects, this research will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of emerging educational technologies and their potential role in shaping early childhood education. The findings will assist educators, parents, and developers in making informed decisions about integrating AR tools into learning curricula, ensuring young learners receive a dynamic and engaging educational foundation.

2.Review of Literature

2.1 Overview of Traditional Puzzle-Based Learning

A popular approach for teaching young children the fundamentals of reading and numeracy is traditional puzzle-based learning. Usually constructed from sturdy materials like wood or plastic, math and alphabet puzzles offer a hands-on learning experience that promotes the development of cognitive and motor skills. Piaget's theory of cognitive development states that interactive, hands-on learning experiences that let kids explore letters and numbers at their own pace are beneficial for kids in the preoperational and concrete operational phases. Traditional puzzles improve fine motor skills, memory retention, and problem-solving ability, according to several studies. They also encourage self-directed learning while fostering communication with parents or teachers. But even with these benefits, in a world where technology is king, conventional puzzles might not necessarily suit kids' changing learning styles. To elaborate, traditional puzzles also call for controlled learning settings where children must frequently be supervised by adults while they solve problems. Although they do a good job of introducing fundamental ideas, they might not be flexible enough to meet the needs of each individual student, which makes them less appropriate for kids who need customized instruction or have more complex cognitive problems. Another important factor is that because children must keep trying to fit the pieces together, traditional puzzles help them acquire patience and tenacity. However, youngsters who are accustomed to the instantaneous replies offered by digital learning aids may become frustrated by the lack of fast pleasure in traditional puzzles. Additionally, although puzzles help children develop their hand-eye coordination and spatial awareness, their static nature prevents them from adapting to their changing educational demands. Children may swiftly outgrow these learning resources if new challenges aren't presented, which would lead to their eventual disuse. These puzzles' physical characteristics are advantageous for kinesthetic learning, but they also pose maintenance and storage issues for parents and teachers, which further compromises their long-term sustainability.

2.2 Studies on Effectiveness & Limitations

The results of numerous research assessing the efficacy of conventional puzzle-based learning have been conflicting. According to research, puzzles enhance logical thinking, pattern recognition, and spatial reasoning, all of which have a good impact on early childhood education. Young students who were exposed to practical mathematical puzzles shown superior problem-solving abilities in comparison to those who used conventional rote learning techniques, according to a 2009 study by Sarama and Clements. But there are also restrictions. Engagement is a major issue since youngsters frequently become disinterested in repetitious material, little variety, and little interaction. Furthermore, the long-term usefulness of physical puzzle pieces is diminished by their susceptibility to loss or damage. Furthermore, because traditional puzzles don't provide real-time feedback, it might be challenging to monitor learning progress and pinpoint specific difficulties. Due to these drawbacks, parents and educators are now looking at alternate digital solutions that can improve learning results. Additionally, children's attention spans may shorten as they grow used to fast-paced, visually engaging digital content, which will make them less inclined to use static, non-digital teaching resources. Given this change in learning habits, puzzle design must be re-examined in order to preserve its instructional usefulness in a technologically advanced culture. Furthermore, while though puzzles help improve cognitive skills, they do not always offer the background information needed for deeper learning, especially when it comes to mathematical ideas that call for methodical approaches to problem-solving. Additionally, research indicates that because traditional puzzles do not take into account alternate learning methods including kinesthetic and auditory modalities, they may not be as inclusive for kids with learning difficulties. Traditional puzzles may present accessibility issues for kids with visual impairments or movement limitations, exacerbating educational gaps. These elements emphasize the necessity of puzzle design advances that serve a wider range of learners.

2.3 Transition from Physical to Digital Learning

Technological developments and shifting educational paradigms have expedited the transition from traditional classroom instruction to digital learning. Puzzles based on digital and augmented reality (AR) have become interactive substitutes that combine conventional learning concepts with improved engagement through visual and aural cues. According to studies, digital learning resources can accommodate a variety of learning preferences, offer immediate feedback, and adjust to different learning speeds. According to research by Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2015), interactive learning environments—like puzzles with augmented reality capabilities—significantly increase motivation and knowledge retention. AI-driven personalization is another feature of contemporary learning systems that enables kids to have individualized educational experiences. Notwithstanding the encouraging advantages, there are still certain obstacles, such as worries about excessive screen time, the high expense of digital learning materials, and unfamiliarity with AR-based instruction. However, integrating hybrid learning approaches—which combine digital and physical components—seems to be the next evolutionary step in early childhood education as digital tools become more widely available and reasonably priced. Another crucial factor to take into account is how digital learning affects children's social connection, since an over-reliance on screen-based resources may restrict peer collaboration and experiential learning—two things that conventional puzzles inherently promote. Therefore, to optimize the advantages of both approaches, striking a balance between digital and physical education is essential. Adaptive difficulty settings are another feature of AR-based learning tools that let students progress at their own speed while still getting guided instruction. Digital platforms can offer countless educational resources, but they can also cause diversions because kids may start focusing on non-educational digital activities. Data privacy is another urgent issue because digital learning systems gather user data for performance monitoring, which raises concerns about how this data is handled and maintained. Furthermore, sufficient teacher training is still required to successfully use digital learning solutions in classrooms,

even with the benefits of integrating AR and AI. Digital technologies may become less effective without the right direction, which would reduce their influence on learning objectives.

3.Research Methodology:

3.1 Data Collection Methods

This study examines the difficulties children face while learning with traditional alphabet and math puzzle sets using surveys, interviews, and secondary research. Data is primarily gathered through Google Forms, where parents and teachers share their insights on children's learning experiences. Interviews with educators and caregivers help explore specific challenges, such as engagement levels and ease of use. Secondary research involves analyzing educational reports, studies on early childhood learning, and existing literature on traditional learning tools. By combining these methods, the research aims to provide a balanced perspective on the effectiveness and limitations of conventional puzzle-based learning.

3.2 Sampling Method & Target Audience

A purposive sampling approach is applied, selecting parents, teachers, and young learners as key respondents. Parents participate in Google Form surveys, offering observations on how children interact with alphabet and math puzzles at home. Teachers contribute their expertise on the role of these learning tools in classroom settings. While young children may not directly answer surveys, their learning progress and challenges are assessed through parental and teacher feedback. The study focuses on children aged 3 to 8, a critical period for foundational learning. This targeted sampling ensures that data is relevant to early education and cognitive development.

3.3 Frequency Analysis Approach

To interpret survey results, frequency analysis is used to identify common challenges and patterns in learning. Responses from Google Forms are categorized based on recurring themes such as engagement, comprehension difficulties, and overall effectiveness. The percentage of participants reporting similar issues is analyzed to highlight key concerns. Visual aids like graphs and tables present these trends for clarity. Additionally, qualitative data from interviews is examined, with responses grouped into common categories to complement numerical findings. This structured analysis helps in understanding how often specific problems occur, providing insights into the limitations of traditional puzzle-based learning for young children.

4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

4.1 Previous Usage of Traditional Puzzles

Table.1: Frequency of using traditional puzzles at home & schools

Frequency of use	Count
Daily	31
1-2 Times week	22
1-2 Times monthly	22
3-4 times Weekly	18

Rarely	11

Analysis: Daily and frequent users (49 total) show that traditional puzzles still have a strong presence in early education.

Occasional or rare users (33 total) indicate a shift in preference, possibly towards digital or AR-based learning tools**.**

Table.2: Age groups using alphabet & math puzzles

AGE GROUP	**Count**
2-3 Years	23
4-5 Years	30
6-7 Years	38
8+	13

Analysis: Traditional puzzles are most effective for children aged 4–7 years, making this the ideal target audience for puzzle-based learning products.

Engagement drops significantly after age 8, indicating a shift in learning preferences toward digital and interactive tools.

Opportunity for innovation: Adding Augmented Reality (AR) features or adaptive difficulty levels could help extend engagement beyond age 8.

4.2 Satisfaction Levels with Traditional Puzzle Sets

Table3: Overall satisfaction ratings by parents & teachers

Satisfaction Level	**Count**
Neutral	43
Satisfied	30
Very satisfied	21
Dissatisfied	10

Analysis: Most parents and teachers (39%) are neutral, which signals a potential lack of excitement about traditional puzzles.

Nearly half (46%) are satisfied or very satisfied, meaning puzzles are still a valuable tool in early education**.**

4.3 Challenges Faced in Traditional Puzzle Learning

Table4: Common difficulties in using alphabet puzzles:

Challenge Faced	Count
Child loses interest quickly	33
Lack of interactive features	28
Letters are too small/big	20
Pieces get lost easily	16
Not enough variation in puzzles	7

Analysis:

Over 57% of users (challenges 1 & 2) want more engaging and interactive puzzle sets.

Physical limitations (size issues & lost pieces) affect 34% of users, highlighting usability concerns

Opportunity for innovation: AR-based puzzles could address interactivity concerns, while modular or digital hybrid puzzles could prevent lost pieces and add variety.

4.4 New Expectations in the Digital Learning Era

Table5: Parental expectations from modern learning tools:

Parental Expectations	Count
AI/AR-enabled engagement	34
Self-learning capabilities	28
Visual & sound-based learning	20
More interactive learning experiences	13
Affordable & easy-to-use products	9

Analysis:

57% of parents & teachers expect AI, AR, or self-learning capabilities, showing a shift toward digital, tech-driven learning.

31% prioritize visual, sound-based, and interactive features, indicating a need for engaging, multisensory experiences.

Only 8% emphasize affordability, suggesting that functionality and effectiveness matter more than price.

Table6: Preferred learning formats (AR, interactive apps, hybrid models):

Preferred Learning Format	**Count**
Hybrid (physical + digital)	37
AR-based puzzles	29
Digital apps only	17
Physical puzzles only	14
Not sure	7

Analysis:

59% of parents & teachers (Hybrid + AR) favor a mix of traditional and technology-enhanced learning.

Only 12% prefer purely physical puzzles, indicating a decline in traditional-only approaches.

Standalone digital apps (15%) are less preferred than AR and hybrid formats, suggesting that blended learning is more effective**.**

Table7: Willingness to switch to AR-based learning tools

Willingness to Switch to AR	**Count**
Neutral	29
Probably	26
Definitely	24
Unlikely	20
No	5

Analysis: 48% of respondents (Probably + Definitely) are open to adopting AR-based learning tools.

28% remain neutral, meaning they may need more information before deciding.

Table8: Perceived benefits of digital learning over traditional puzzles:

Perceived Benefit	**Count**
Provides personalized learning experiences	31
Helps children learn faster	20
More variety in activities	13
More engaging & interactive	11

Tracks progress & gives feedback	2
Multiple responses (combination of benefits)	22

Analysis:

81% of respondents see major benefits in digital learning over traditional puzzles.

Personalized learning (30%) and faster learning (19%) are the most valued features.

Table9: Barriers to adopting AR-based puzzle learning:

Barriers to AR Adoption	Count
Prefer hands-on learning over digital	43
Screen-time concerns	22
Lack of familiarity with AR technology	18
High cost of AR learning tools	16
Not widely available in local markets	5

Analysis:

40% of respondents prefer hands-on learning, meaning AR tools should enhance, not replace, traditional methods.

20% worry about screen time, highlighting the need for balanced digital learning experiences.

17% lack familiarity with AR, suggesting that education and awareness campaigns are necessary.

15% find AR tools expensive, indicating a need for cost-effective solutions.

Opportunities for Adoption:

1-Time-controlled, interactive AR experiences can address screen-time concerns.
2-Educational campaigns, free trials, and demos can improve AR familiarity.
3-More affordable pricing models can make AR learning tools accessible to all.

5. Findings and Recommendations

5.1 Key findings

Traditional puzzles still have a presence but show signs of declining engagement:

While daily and frequent use of traditional puzzles is reported by 49 respondents, a significant portion (33 respondents) use them occasionally or rarely, indicating a potential shift towards digital or AR-based learning tools.

Satisfaction levels with traditional puzzles are mixed, with the largest group of parents and teachers (43 respondents) expressing neutrality.

There is a clear desire for more engaging and interactive learning tools:

Challenges with traditional alphabet puzzles include children losing interest quickly (33 respondents) and a lack of interactive features (28 respondents).

A majority of parents and teachers (57%) expect AI, AR, or self-learning capabilities in modern learning tools.

Hybrid learning models are preferred:

59% of parents and teachers favor hybrid models (physical + digital) or AR-based puzzles, indicating a preference for blended learning approaches.

Only 12% prefer purely physical puzzles.

AR-based learning is viewed positively but faces adoption barriers:

48% of respondents are open to adopting AR-based learning tools.

Perceived benefits of digital learning over traditional puzzles include personalized learning experiences (31 respondents) and faster learning (20 respondents).

Barriers to AR adoption include a preference for hands-on learning (43 respondents), screen-time concerns (22 respondents), lack of familiarity with AR technology (18 respondents), and the high cost of AR learning tools (16 respondents).

5.2 Recommendations

Develop Hybrid AR-Enhanced Puzzle Products: Design educational puzzles that effectively blend the tactile experience of traditional physical puzzles with the interactive and engaging features of Augmented Reality (AR). This approach caters to the expressed preference for hybrid learning models and addresses the limitations of traditional puzzles by incorporating digital enhancements.

Incorporate Interactive and Adaptive AR Features: Integrate AR technology to provide interactive experiences, real-time feedback, and adaptive difficulty levels within puzzle-based learning. This will address the issue of disengagement with traditional puzzles and meet the demand for more dynamic and personalized learning tools.

Prioritize User-Friendly and Accessible AR Implementation:

Ensure that AR-enhanced learning tools are designed to be affordable, easy to use, and accessible to a wide range of learners. This includes addressing potential barriers to adoption, such as the cost of AR technology and lack of familiarity with it.

Promote Awareness and Provide Guidance on AR Benefits: Implement educational campaigns, demonstrations, and free trials to increase awareness and understanding of the benefits of AR-enhanced learning. This will help to overcome resistance to adopting AR-based tools and encourage their effective use in early childhood education.

Emphasize Balanced Integration of Digital and Physical Learning: Advocate for a balanced approach that complements traditional hands-on learning with digital enhancements, ensuring that AR tools enrich rather than replace physical interaction and social engagement. This will help to mitigate concerns about excessive screen time and promote a well-rounded learning experience.

6. Conclusion

The integration of Augmented Reality (AR) into traditional alphabet and math puzzles represents a transformative opportunity to address the evolving needs of early childhood education. While conventional puzzles have long been valued for fostering cognitive development, motor skills, and problem-solving abilities, this study highlights their limitations in an increasingly digital world. Static designs, repetitive content, and the absence of real-time feedback often lead to disengagement, particularly as children grow older. By merging the tactile benefits of physical puzzles with AR's interactive capabilities, educators and developers can create dynamic, adaptive learning tools that cater to diverse learning styles and sustain children's interest beyond early childhood.

Key findings from this research underscore a shifting preference toward blended learning models. A majority of parents and educators (59%) expressed a preference for hybrid formats that combine physical interaction with digital enhancements, reflecting a desire to preserve the hands-on benefits of traditional puzzles while leveraging AR's ability to personalize learning and provide immediate feedback. Notably, engagement with traditional tools declines significantly after age 8, signaling a critical window for innovation. AR-enabled puzzles, with features like adaptive difficulty levels, multisensory stimuli, and progress tracking, offer a solution to extend educational relevance into later childhood.

However, the transition to AR is not without challenges. Concerns about screen time, cost barriers, and limited familiarity with AR technology emerged as significant adoption hurdles. Nearly 40% of respondents emphasized the importance of maintaining hands-on learning experiences, suggesting that AR should complement—not replace—physical interaction. To address these challenges, the study proposes practical strategies: implementing time-controlled AR sessions to mitigate screen-time worries, launching educational campaigns to demystify AR technology, and developing affordable pricing models to ensure accessibility.

The implications of this research extend beyond technological innovation. By aligning with Piaget's theory of cognitive development, AR-enhanced tools can deepen foundational skills like spatial reasoning and logical thinking while introducing new dimensions of interactivity. Educators and parents stand to gain from tools that adapt to individual learning paces, track progress, and reignite children's curiosity through immersive storytelling and gamification. Moreover, the study's emphasis on hybrid models acknowledges the irreplaceable value of social interaction and tactile exploration, ensuring that digital advancements enrich rather than overshadow traditional methods.

In conclusion, this research advocates for a balanced, child-centered approach to early education. AR-enabled puzzles hold immense potential to bridge the gap between tradition and innovation, creating inclusive, engaging learning environments that prepare children for a digital future. By addressing adoption barriers and prioritizing collaborative design between educators, developers, and families, AR can evolve from a novel tool into a cornerstone of modern pedagogy. Ultimately, the goal is not to discard the past but to reimagine it—crafting educational experiences that nurture lifelong curiosity, adaptability, and joy in learning.

7. References

1. Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2007). Tools of the mind: The Vygotskian approach to early childhood education (2nd ed.). Pearson/Merrill-Prentice Hall.

2. Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2014). Learning and teaching early math: The learning trajectories approach (2nd ed.). Routledge.

3. Cook, S. W., Duffy, R. G., & Fenn, K. M. (2013). Consolidation and transfer of learning after observing hand gesture. Child Development, 84(6), 1863–1871.

4. Dinehart, L. H., & Manfra, L. (2013). Association between early fine motor development and later math and reading achievement. Early Education and Development, 24(2), 138–161.

5. Fisher, K. R., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., & Gryfe, S. G. (2008). Conceptual split? Parents' and experts' perceptions of play in the 21st century. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 29(4), 305–316.

6. Gelman, R., & Gallistel, C. R. (1986). The child's understanding of number. Harvard University Press.

7. Ginsburg, H. P. (2009). Early mathematics education and how to do it. In A. Reynolds et al. (Eds.), Educational success in high-risk settings (pp. 223–243). Cambridge University Press.

8. Golinkoff, R. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Singer, D. G. (2006). Play = Learning: How play motivates and enhances children's cognitive and social-emotional growth. Oxford University Press.

9. Hsin, C.-T., Li, M.-C., & Tsai, C.-C. (2014). The influence of young children's use of technology on their learning: A review. Educational Technology & Society, 17(4), 85–99.

10. Jones, L., & Patel, M. (2020). Interactive learning tools in early education. Early Childhood Review, 35(2), 89–105.

11. Kamii, C., & Ewing, J. K. (1996). Young children reinvent arithmetic: Implications of Piaget's theory (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.

12. Linder, S. M. (2012). Interactive mathematical storybooks for young learners: A powerful tool to support early mathematical development. Young Children, 67(3), 74–79.

13. McClure, E. R., Guernsey, L., Clements, D. H., Bales, S. N., Nichols, J., Kendall-Taylor, N., & Levine, M. H. (2017). STEM starts early: Grounding science, technology, engineering, and math education in early childhood. Joan Ganz Cooney Center.

14. National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). (2020). Developmentally appropriate practice in early childhood programs. NAEYC.

17. Plowman, L., Stephen, C., & McPake, J. (2010). Growing up with technology: Young children learning in a digital world. Routledge.

19. Sarama, J., & Clements, D. H. (2009). Early childhood mathematics education research: Learning trajectories for young children. Routledge.

20. Smith, R. (2018). The role of manipulatives in cognitive development. Education Insights Journal, 22(4), 45–59.