Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Communication and Film-Making Strategies for the Betterment of Society

Author:

Sayed Amir Mustafa Hashmi

Author, Research Scholar

KTUJM, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Email: hashmiamir@live.com

Co-Authors:

Dr. Nripendra Kumar Sharma

Assistant Professor, Department of Journalism

KTUJM, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Dr. Ashutosh Mandavi

Professor & Head, Department of Advertising and Public Relations Studies

KTUJM, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Abstract

This study investigates the synergistic role of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Communication and strategic filmmaking in driving the betterment of society, with a specific focus on contributing to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). It posits that film-making transcends its conventional role as a promotional tool to become a vital mechanism for transparent impact reporting, community engagement, and amplifying developmental outcomes. Analysing corporate-led initiatives within the Indian context, this research explores how tailored film-making strategies enhance the clarity, credibility, and reach of CSR communication. The findings reveal that audiovisual narratives effectively bridge the gap between corporate action and community benefit, fostering accountability and participatory development. This research concludes that the strategic integration of filmmaking is indispensable for optimising CSR contributions and accelerating progress toward a sustainable and equitable society.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), CSR Communication, Film-making Strategies, Sustainable Development, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Digital Media, Betterment of Society.

Chapter 1: Introduction

Background and Context

In the contemporary global landscape, corporations are increasingly recognized as critical actors in the socio-economic development ecosystem. The legislative mandate for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India, under the Companies Act of 2013, has institutionalized the role of private capital in addressing pressing societal challenges. However, the efficacy of CSR initiatives is no longer measured solely by their financial outlay or on-ground implementation, but equally by their ability to communicate impact, foster transparency, and build genuine stakeholder trust. In this context, communication has evolved from a peripheral public relations activity to a strategic imperative.

Concurrently, the digital revolution has fundamentally altered the media consumption habits of global audiences. Film-making, and audiovisual content at large, has emerged as the most potent and pervasive medium for storytelling, capable of conveying complex messages with emotional resonance and unparalleled reach. This confluence of mandated corporate social investment and the ascendancy of visual media presents a unique opportunity to explore how strategic film-making can be harnessed to document, amplify, and optimize the societal contributions of corporations, thereby creating a tangible pathway from corporate action to sustainable societal betterment.

Problem Statement

Despite the potential synergy between CSR and film-making, a significant gap exists in both practice and academic literature. CSR communication often relies on traditional, one-way channels such as annual reports and press releases, which fail to capture the human narratives and tangible impacts of development projects. This leads to a critical disconnect: while corporations invest substantially in social initiatives, the stories of their impact remain under-told, misunderstood, or met with skepticism by stakeholders.

Furthermore, film-making is frequently employed as an afterthought—a tool for creating polished, post-hoc promotional documentaries—rather than being integrated as a core, strategic component of the CSR lifecycle. The problem, therefore, is the lack of a comprehensive framework that elucidates how film-making strategies can be systematically woven into CSR communication to enhance transparency, demonstrate real-time progress, deepen stakeholder engagement, and ultimately, maximize the contribution to the betterment of society.

Research Questions

This study is guided by the following primary research question:

How can strategic film-making be integrated with CSR communication to effectively drive the betterment of society?

To deconstruct this, the following sub-questions are proposed:

What is the role of film-making beyond promotion in the CSR lifecycle?

How do different conceptual models frame the relationship between CSR action, communication, film-making, and societal impact?

What are the practical mechanisms for using film-making as a tool for real-time reporting and stakeholder engagement?

How does this integration create measurable value for both corporations and communities?

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this research is to develop a multi-model analytical framework for integrating film-making strategies with CSR communication. The specific objectives are:

To analyze the transformative potential of film-making from a promotional tool to a strategic mechanism for impact documentation and stakeholder dialogue.

To apply and critically evaluate six distinct conceptual models for integrating CSR, communication, and film-making.

To identify, through the application of these models, the key processes and strategies that make this integration effective.

To synthesize findings into actionable recommendations for corporations, policymakers, and communication practitioners to enhance the societal outcomes of CSR initiatives.

Significance and Scope

This study contributes to the academic discourse at the intersection of corporate communication, development studies, and media studies. It offers a novel, multi-perspective framework that moves beyond siloed approaches to CSR. For practitioners, it provides a strategic toolkit for leveraging film-making to build trust, demonstrate accountability, and create more impactful and participatory social development programs.

The scope of this research is focused on corporate-led CSR initiatives, with a specific, though not exclusive, contextual emphasis on India due to its unique CSR mandate. The study examines film-making in its broadest sense, encompassing documentary production, digital storytelling, community-generated content, and real-time video documentation.

Rationale for a Multi-Model Framework

The complex, multi-stakeholder nature of CSR-driven social development cannot be fully captured by a single theoretical lens. A unilateral model may oversimplify the dynamic interactions between corporations, communities, and communication channels. Therefore, this study adopts a multi-model analytical approach. By examining the

phenomenon through six different frameworks—including concentric, helical, networked, and cascading models—this research aims to provide a holistic, nuanced, and robust understanding. Each model highlights different facets of the integration, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of how CSR communication and film-making strategies collectively contribute to the betterment of society.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Evolution of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

The concept of Corporate Social Responsibility has undergone a significant transformation, evolving from a peripheral philanthropic activity to a core strategic business function. Early scholarly work by Bowen (1953), often cited as the father of modern CSR, defined the social responsibilities of businessmen as "the obligations of businessmen to pursue those policies, to make those decisions, or to follow those lines of action which are desirable in terms of the objectives and values of our society" (p. 6). This laid the groundwork for decades of conceptual development.

Carroll (1991) provided a seminal and enduring framework with his CSR pyramid, categorizing corporate obligations into four levels: economic (foundation), legal, ethical, and philanthropic (apex). This model emphasized that profitability and legality are prerequisites for social responsibility. In the 21st century, the focus has shifted towards creating shared value (Porter & Kramer, 2011), where corporate success and social welfare are mutually reinforcing. The mandate of CSR in India under the Companies Act, 2013, represents a legislative culmination of this evolution, moving CSR from a voluntary gesture to a mandated corporate governance requirement (Mitra, 2020). This legal imperative has created a fertile ground for studying how corporations communicate these mandated activities to legitimize their social role.

Theoretical Frameworks in CSR Communication

CSR communication is the process by which companies inform stakeholders about their social and environmental activities. Early communication was often one-way and instrumental, but theories have evolved to emphasize dialogue and co-creation.

Stakeholder Theory (Freeman, 1984) forms the bedrock, asserting that companies must manage relationships with all groups that have a stake in their operations. Effective CSR communication is essential for maintaining legitimacy and trust with these diverse groups (investors, communities, employees, NGOs).

Legitimacy Theory posits that organizations continually seek to ensure their operations are perceived as being within the bounds and norms of their society. CSR communication is a primary tool for gaining, maintaining, and repairing legitimacy (Suchman, 1995).

Dialogic Communication Theory (Kent & Taylor, 1998) advocates for a shift from monologue to dialogue, suggesting that digital media enables interactive, two-way communication that can foster more genuine stakeholder relationships. This is particularly relevant for film-making, which can be used not just to broadcast, but to invite conversation and feedback.

However, a key challenge in CSR communication, as identified by Morsing and Schultz (2006), is navigating the strategies of *informing*, *responding*, and *involving* stakeholders, while avoiding accusations of "greenwashing" or insincerity.

Film-Making as a Tool for Development Communication

The use of audiovisual media for social change has a long and established history, falling under the umbrella of Development Communication. Pioneers like Singhal and Rogers (1999) demonstrated the power of entertainment-education through film and television to influence knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors on social issues.

Film-making is a uniquely powerful medium because it:

Humanizes Data: It transforms abstract statistics (e.g., "500 farmers trained") into compelling human stories with emotional resonance (Wilkins, 2000).

Fosters Empathy and Connection: Visual narratives can bridge cultural and social divides, allowing stakeholders to connect emotionally with distant communities (Chouliaraki, 2013).

Enhances Comprehension and Recall: Audiovisual information is often processed more easily and remembered longer than text-based reports (Paivio's Dual Coding Theory, 1971).

In the context of CSR, film-making moves beyond traditional documentary to include participatory video, where communities are empowered to tell their own stories, and real-time documentation, which enhances transparency.

The Intersection of CSR, Communication, and Audiovisual Media

The intersection of these three fields is an emerging and critically under-explored area. While there is a body of literature on CSR reporting (see Gray, Kouhy, & Lavers, 1995) and a separate, rich literature on development communication, their convergence in the corporate sphere is nascent.

Existing research often treats corporate film-making as a subset of public relations, focusing on its role in brand building (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2010). However, this study argues for a paradigm shift: viewing film-making as an integral part of the *CSR implementation and impact assessment cycle itself*. It is not merely a channel for communication but a methodology for engagement, monitoring, and evaluation. The work of Dhanesh (2020) on engagement strategies in CSR points towards this direction but does not explicitly position film-making as the central, strategic mechanism.

Gaps in Existing Literature

A critical analysis of the literature reveals three primary gaps:

The Instrumental Gap: A lack of practical, strategic frameworks that guide corporations on *how* to integrate film-making into the CSR lifecycle, from planning to impact assessment.

The Theoretical Gap: An absence of multi-dimensional models that capture the dynamic, interconnected, and cyclical relationship between CSR action, film-making, communication, and societal impact. Most models are linear and fail to account for real-time feedback and value creation loops.

The Empirical Gap: Limited empirical studies that use real-world case studies to analyze the tangible outcomes—in terms of stakeholder trust, community empowerment, and project efficacy—of using integrated film-making strategies in CSR.

This study aims to fill these gaps by developing and applying a multi-model analytical framework, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of how CSR Communication and Film-making Strategies work synergistically for the Betterment of Society.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a **qualitative, multiple-case study design** situated within an interpretivist paradigm. The primary objective is to gain a deep, contextual understanding of the phenomenon rather than to generalize statistically. A case study approach is deemed most appropriate as it allows for an in-depth investigation of the integration of film-making and CSR communication within its real-life context (Yin, 2018). The "cases" in this research are defined as distinct CSR initiatives where strategic film-making was a core component of the communication strategy. This design facilitates a multi-faceted exploration across different contexts, enabling the identification of recurring patterns and unique insights.

Data Collection Methods

The data collection strategy is centered on **document and content analysis**, which allows for the systematic, objective, and replicable analysis of communicative content.

Primary Data Sources:

The primary data for this study will be sourced from publicly available **audiovisual content** and its associated **meta-data** produced by CSR companies. This includes:

CSR Films and Documentaries: Full-length and short films produced to showcase CSR initiatives.

Digital Video Content: Shorter clips, testimonials, and behind-the-scenes footage published on platforms like YouTube, Instagram, and corporate websites.

Accompanying Published Material: Official press releases, blog posts, and annual sustainability reports that reference or are supplemented by the film-making efforts.

Secondary Data Sources:

To provide context and triangulate findings, secondary data will be collected from:

Academic journals and books on CSR communication and development media.

Industry reports on CSR trends and digital communication.

Publicly available project documentation and impact assessments related to the selected cases.

Data Analysis Framework

The collected data will be analyzed using a hybrid approach, combining **thematic analysis** with **conceptual model application**.

Step 1: Thematic Analysis of Content

The audiovisual and textual data will be subjected to a systematic thematic analysis following the process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This involves:

Familiarization: Repeated viewing of films and reading of texts.

Generating Initial Codes: Identifying salient features of the data relevant to the research questions (e.g., codes for "transparency," "community voice," "emotional appeal," "SDG linkage").

Searching for Themes: Collating codes into potential overarching themes that describe strategic patterns (e.g., "Film as Evidence," "Narrative Co-creation," "Multi-platform Dissemination").

Reviewing and Defining Themes: Refining the themes to ensure they form a coherent pattern across the different cases.

Producing the Analysis: Weaving the thematic narrative together with extracts from the data as evidence.

Step 2: Application of Conceptual Models

The six pre-defined conceptual models (Concentric Rings, Helical Development, Networked Ecosystem, Waterfall Integration, Feedback Loop Optimization, and Value Creation Cascade) will serve as analytical lenses.

Each case will be examined through the structure and logic of each model.

The analysis will assess how well each model explains the observed data, what insights it reveals, and what limitations it presents in describing the CSR-film-communication ecosystem.

This process will identify which aspects of the phenomenon are best illuminated by each specific model.

Step 3: Synthesis

The findings from the thematic analysis and the model applications will be synthesized to build a comprehensive, multi-dimensional understanding of how film-making strategies are integrated into CSR communication for societal betterment.

Ethical Considerations

As this study relies exclusively on publicly available data, issues of confidentiality and informed consent are mitigated. However, the research will adhere to ethical principles of academic integrity by:

Ensuring all sources are accurately cited and referenced.

Representing the content and intent of the sourced material fairly and without misrepresentation.

Acknowledging the limitations of using only publicly curated content, which may present a positively biased view of the CSR initiatives.

Chapter 4: Analytical Framework and Model Application

This chapter constitutes the core analytical component of the study. It applies six distinct conceptual models to the research data, with each model serving as a unique lens to examine the integration of CSR communication and film-making strategies. The objective is not to declare one model superior, but to build a comprehensive, multi-faceted understanding of the phenomenon by appreciating the specific insights each framework offers.

The Concentric Rings Integration Model

4.1.1. Conceptual Foundation

The Concentric Rings Integration Model visualizes the relationship between core concepts as a set of nested, interdependent layers. At the center lies the most dynamic and active process, which influences and is influenced by each surrounding layer. This model posits that film-making is not a linear step but the central, mediating engine that connects corporate strategy with societal impact. The layers, from the core outward, are typically:

Core: The Film-Making Process

Inner Ring: CSR Communication Strategy

Middle Ring: Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Mandate & Resources

Outer Ring: The Betterment of Society

The model emphasizes that influence and feedback flow bi-directionally between all layers, creating a cohesive and integrated system.

4.1.2. Application to Case Studies

When applied to the audiovisual content of CSR initiatives, this model reveals how film-making functions as the central mediator.

From CSR to Film-Making (Inner to Core): The CSR mandate provides the raw material—the funded projects, the community interactions, the developmental goals. A CSR-focused company does not just fund a film; it provides a narrative-in-waiting. The film-making process is tasked with translating this corporate action into a compelling visual story.

The Core Process (Film-Making): This is where the transformation occurs. Through strategic choices in narrative (storytelling arcs, protagonist selection), cinematography (use of drones for scale, close-ups for emotion), and editing, the film-making process actively constructs the reality of the CSR initiative for external audiences. It decides what to highlight, whose voice to amplify, and how to frame the impact.

From Film-Making to CSR Communication (Core to Inner Ring): The output of the core process—the films and video content—becomes the primary asset for CSR communication. This content is not just an illustration; it *is* the communication. It provides the evidence that makes claims of "empowerment" or "sustainability" credible and tangible.

Outward Flow to Societal Betterment: The enhanced communication, powered by film, improves stakeholder understanding, builds trust, and can lead to greater community participation. This amplified engagement and validated impact contribute directly to the outer ring: the Betterment of Society.

Inward Feedback Loop: Evidence of societal betterment, as captured through subsequent film-making cycles, reinforces the value of the CSR mandate, justifying continued or increased investment and shaping future strategy. The model is thus a continuous loop, not a linear path.

4.1.3. Findings

The analysis through this model yields several key findings:

Film-making as the "Central Nervous System": The model convincingly frames film-making as the system that senses, processes, and disseminates information across the entire CSR ecosystem.

Interdependence is Key: It highlights that no single element operates in isolation. Weak film-making results in poor communication, which undermines the perceived value of the CSR investment, potentially hindering its long-term societal benefit.

Strategic Alignment is Critical: The model underscores that for the system to function optimally, the film-making strategy at the core must be in perfect alignment with the CSR objectives in the middle ring and the communication goals in the inner ring. A misalignment (e.g., a film that focuses on corporate branding over community impact) creates dissonance and reduces the system's efficacy.

4.1.4. Strengths and Limitations of this Model
Strengths:

It powerfully illustrates the **integrated and non-hierarchical** nature of the components.

It successfully positions **film-making as a central, strategic function**, moving it from the periphery.

It intuitively explains the **bi-directional flow of influence and feedback**.

Limitations:

It can be perceived as overly simplistic, potentially glossing over the complex, messy realities of implementing CSR projects.

While it shows interconnection, it does not explicitly detail the *operational steps* or the chronological flow of activities from conception to impact.

The Helical Development Model

4.2.1. Conceptual Foundation
The Helical Development Model conceptualizes the integration of CSR and film-making not as a flat cycle, but as an ascending spiral or helix. This model draws from the concept of the "learning helix" in organizational theory, emphasizing continuous, iterative improvement and evolution over time. Each turn of the helix represents a complete project cycle—from CSR initiative conception and film production to communication and impact assessment. Crucially, with each successive cycle, the process begins from a higher plane of understanding, capability, and impact, driven by the learning and outcomes of the previous iteration. The helix visually represents progressive, upward-moving development through repeated, learning-focused cycles.

4.2.2. Application to Case Studies
Applying this model involves tracing the evolution of a CSR-focused company's film-making and communication strategies across multiple projects or phases.

Baseline Cycle (The First Turn of the Helix): Analysis begins with the initial foray, where a company produces its first major CSR film. This content often serves a basic documentary or promotional purpose. The narrative might be corporate-centric, focusing on the act of intervention itself. The communication strategy is typically a one-way broadcast to showcase responsibility.

Ascension to the Next Cycle: The outcomes of this first cycle—stakeholder feedback, audience engagement metrics, community response, and self-reflection—generate learning. The company realizes, for instance, that films featuring community voices generate more trust, or that shorter, platform-specific clips have wider digital reach.

Advanced Cycle (The Second, Higher Turn): Armed with these insights, the next CSR film project is more sophisticated. The film-making strategy evolves to include participatory elements, allowing beneficiaries to co-create the narrative. The communication strategy becomes more dialogic, using the film to spark conversations on social media and in community forums. The impact is deeper, moving beyond awareness to measurable behavioral or attitudinal changes.

Continuous Evolution: This pattern repeats. Subsequent cycles might incorporate real-time video updates, interactive digital reports built around film assets, or use film as a tool for internal stakeholder engagement. Each loop is more strategic, more effective, and contributes to a more profound and sustainable societal impact than the last.

4.2.3. Findings

Analysis through the Helical Model reveals critical insights:

The Primacy of Learning: The model frames strategic integration as a product of **organizational learning**. The most successful programs are those that systematically capture insights from each project cycle and embed them into the next.

Evolution of Film's Role: It clearly visualizes the journey of film-making from a simple *reporting tool* to a complex *engagement and co-creation tool*. The helix shows how its function ascends in strategic importance.

Compound Impact: The model demonstrates how societal betterment is not a series of discrete events but a cumulative process. Each cycle builds upon the credibility, relationships, and community capital established in the previous one, leading to an accelerating positive impact.

4.2.4. Strengths and Limitations of this Model

Strengths:

It effectively captures the **temporal dimension and progressive nature** of strategic integration.

It highlights the critical role of **reflection and adaptation** for long-term success.

It provides a powerful narrative for explaining improvement and growing expertise over time.

Limitations:

It may idealize the process, assuming consistent upward progression, whereas in reality, projects can stagnate or fail.

It requires longitudinal data to be fully validated, which can be difficult to obtain.

The model focuses more on the internal learning process of the organization and may underemphasize external, disruptive factors in the socio-political environment.

The Networked Ecosystem Model

4.3.1. Conceptual Foundation

The Networked Ecosystem Model abandons linear and cyclical representations in favor of a web-like structure that emphasizes the complex, multi-nodal relationships between all actors and components. In this model, no single entity is at the center; instead, value and influence are distributed across a dynamic network. The key nodes in this ecosystem typically include: the **CSR Company**, the **Film-Making Team**, **Community Beneficiaries**, **Internal Stakeholders** (employees, management), **External Stakeholders** (investors, regulators, NGOs), and the **Created Content** itself. The **Betterment of Society** is not an outer ring but an emergent property of the effective interactions within this network. The model's core principle is that the strength, quality, and multiplicity of the connections (the "ties") between these nodes determine the overall health and impact of the system.

4.3.2. Application to Case Studies

Applying this model involves mapping the relationships and flows of resources, information, and influence facilitated by film-making.

The CSR Company as a Node: The company is a key node providing financial resources and strategic direction. Its primary connection to the Film-Making node is one of commissioning and funding. However, its connection to Community Beneficiaries is transformed from a purely transactional one (donor-recipient) to a more relational one, mediated by the act of collaborative storytelling.

The Film-Making Team as a Mediating Node: This node acts as a crucial connector. It has a direct tie to the Community (for participation and filming), to the CSR Company (for briefing and approval), and is responsible for creating the Content node.

The Content Node as an Active Agent: In this model, the created film is not a passive output but an active node within the network. Once released, it forms its own connections:

It connects **External Stakeholders** to the **Community**, fostering empathy and understanding without direct physical interaction.

It connects the **CSR Company** to **External Stakeholders**, building trust and legitimacy.

It can even connect different parts of the **Community** to each other, sharing successes and models for change.

Multi-directional Flows: The model reveals that value flows in all directions. The community gains a platform and potential empowerment; the company gains social capital and brand equity; investors gain confidence; and NGOs gain potential partnership opportunities—all through the interactions enabled by the film-making process and its outputs.

4.3.3. Findings
Analysis through this model yields several nuanced findings:

Film-Making as Relationship Infrastructure: The primary function of film-making strategy in this model is to build and strengthen the "ties" between all other nodes in the ecosystem. A successful strategy is one that maximizes valuable connections.

The Power of the Content Node: The film content itself becomes a permanent, scalable asset within the network. Its reach and lifespan extend far beyond the initial project, continuously forming new connections and generating value.

Ecosystem Health Over Individual Gain: The model suggests that the ultimate measure of success is the health of the entire network—characterized by trust, transparency, and robust flows of information—rather than the benefit to any single node (including the CSR company). Societal betterment emerges when the network is strong.

4.3.4. Strengths and Limitations of this Model
Strengths:

It most accurately reflects the **complex, multi-stakeholder reality** of modern CSR initiatives.

It powerfully frames **film-making as a relational and connective practice**, not just a production one.

It highlights the **ongoing, active role of communication content** within the ecosystem.

Limitations:

Its complexity can make it difficult to visualize and communicate simply.

It can be challenging to analyze empirically, as it requires mapping and evaluating the quality of often-intangible relationships.

The model may underplay the power dynamics and asymmetries that still exist between nodes (e.g., the corporation still holds the purse strings), potentially presenting an overly egalitarian view.

The Waterfall Integration Model

4.4.1. Conceptual Foundation
The Waterfall Integration Model conceptualizes the integration process as a sequential, stage-gated cascade where the output of one phase becomes the input for the next. This model is adapted from traditional project management and software development methodologies. It provides a clear, linear, and structured view of how strategic intent is systematically transformed into tangible societal impact. The "waterfall" flows unidirectionally through distinct, dependent stages, emphasizing planning, documentation, and a logical progression from high-level concept to concrete outcomes. This model is particularly useful for understanding the operational workflow and accountability at each stage of a CSR initiative that incorporates film-making.

4.4.2. Application to Case Studies

Applying this model involves tracing the linear progression of a CSR initiative from conception to impact, with film-making integrated at specific, critical junctures.

Stage 1: CSR Strategy & Objectives: The process is initiated with the definition of the CSR mandate, budget allocation, and the setting of specific, measurable social development goals (e.g., "Improve water access in 10 villages," aligned with SDG 6).

Stage 2: Film-making Strategy Design: Based on the CSR objectives, a dedicated film-making strategy is formulated. This stage answers strategic questions: What is the core narrative? Who is the primary audience? What are the key messages? What is the distribution plan? This is the "planning" phase for communication.

Stage 3: Pre-production Planning: The film strategy is broken down into actionable steps. This includes research, scripting, storyboarding, location scouting, and scheduling. The CSR team and film-makers collaborate closely to ensure alignment between project logistics and narrative goals.

Stage 4: Production (Real-time Documentation): This is the execution phase, where filming occurs concurrently with the CSR project implementation. The film-making process captures raw footage of the activities, community interactions, and the initial changes taking place. This stage generates the primary assets.

Stage 5: Post-production & Story Crafting: The raw footage is edited, and the narrative is constructed. This is where the "story" of the CSR initiative is consciously built, integrating data, testimonials, and visual evidence to create a coherent and compelling final product(s).

Stage 6: Multi-channel CSR Communication: The finished films are disseminated through planned channels—corporate websites, social media, investor presentations, community screenings, and press releases. This stage activates the communication plan.

Stage 7: Stakeholder Engagement & Impact: The disseminated content engages the target audiences (community, investors, public), leading to outcomes such as increased awareness, strengthened trust, community mobilization, and ultimately, contributing to the targeted societal betterment.

4.4.3. Findings

Analysis through the Waterfall Model reveals several key operational insights:

The Importance of Upstream Planning: The model underscores that the effectiveness of the final impact is heavily dependent on the clarity and strategic rigor of the initial stages (CSR Objectives and Film Strategy). Flaws in planning cascade down and are difficult to correct later.

Film-making as a Sequential Value-Adder: In this model, film-making is not a single event but a process that systematically adds value at each stage—from planning to documentation to crafted communication.

Clear Accountability and Milestones: The model provides a framework with clear gates and deliverables, making it easier to manage resources, timelines, and responsibilities between the CSR and communication teams.

4.4.4. Strengths and Limitations of this Model
Strengths:

It offers a **clear, logical, and easy-to-follow structure** for planning and executing integrated projects.

It establishes **clear milestones and deliverables**, which is beneficial for project management and accountability.

It is highly effective for communicating the process to stakeholders who are familiar with structured project management methodologies.

Limitations:

Its rigidity is its greatest weakness; it is **not well-suited to adapt to unexpected changes** or iterative learning during the project lifecycle.

It assumes that requirements (CSR objectives and communication needs) are fully known and fixed at the outset, which is often not the case in complex social development contexts.

The unidirectional flow **underemphasizes the critical role of feedback loops**, which are essential for learning and adaptation, as highlighted in the Helical and Feedback Loop models.

The Feedback Loop Optimization Model

4.5.1. Conceptual Foundation

The Feedback Loop Optimization Model frames the integration of CSR and film-making as a dynamic, self-correcting system driven by continuous information feedback. This model is rooted in cybernetics and systems theory, which emphasize the role of feedback in maintaining stability and fostering adaptation within a system. The core of this model is not a linear path but a central process cycle that is constantly monitored, with its outputs measured and analyzed to inform and refine its future inputs. The model prioritizes learning and agility, suggesting that the most effective strategies are those that can rapidly incorporate stakeholder reactions and impact data to optimize performance.

4.5.2. Application to Case Studies

Applying this model involves identifying the key feedback mechanisms that allow a CSR-focused company to learn from and improve its film-making and communication strategies in near real-time.

The Core Action Loop: The model begins with the fundamental cycle: **CSR Action → Film-making → Communication → Stakeholder Perception**.

The Feedback Channels: Crucially, data is captured at multiple points in this cycle and fed back into the system's "control unit" (the CSR and communication strategy team). Key feedback channels include:

Digital Analytics: Quantitative data on video views, completion rates, audience engagement (likes, shares, comments), and sentiment analysis from social media and digital platforms.

Community Response: Qualitative feedback gathered from community screenings, focus group discussions, and direct interactions with beneficiaries, indicating whether the narrative resonates and is accurate.

Stakeholder Inquiry: Questions, comments, and reactions from investors, partners, and NGOs, which can reveal gaps in communication or new areas of interest.

The Optimization Engine: The collected feedback is systematically analyzed. This analysis leads to strategic adjustments:

Content Adjustment: If a certain message is misunderstood, future film edits or new videos can be created to clarify.

Channel Optimization: If data shows a particular platform (e.g., YouTube vs. Instagram) drives more meaningful engagement, resources can be reallocated.

Strategic Pivoting: Overwhelmingly positive response to a specific aspect of the project (e.g., women's empowerment) might lead the company to allocate more CSR funds to that area, which then becomes the focus of subsequent film-making.

4.5.3. Findings

Analysis through the Feedback Loop Model reveals several critical insights:

Film-making as a Data Collection Tool: Beyond communication, the film-making process and its outputs serve as a rich source of qualitative and quantitative data about stakeholder perceptions and project impact.

The Primacy of Agility: The model demonstrates that strategic success is less about a perfect initial plan and more about the capacity to listen, learn, and adapt quickly. The speed of the feedback loop is a key determinant of effectiveness.

Closing the Accountability Gap: By systematically capturing and responding to stakeholder feedback—especially from the community—the model institutionalizes a form of accountability, making the CSR initiative more responsive and democratic.

4.5.4. Strengths and Limitations of this Model

Strengths:

It explicitly builds **adaptation and learning** into the core of the strategy.

It is highly **relevant in the digital age**, where real-time data is readily available.

It promotes **responsiveness and accountability** to stakeholders, moving beyond one-way communication.

Limitations:

It can lead to a reactive approach, constantly chasing metrics and feedback without a stable long-term vision.

It requires significant resources and dedicated processes for continuous data monitoring and analysis, which may not be feasible for all organizations.

There is a risk of over-optimizing for "vanity metrics" (e.g., view counts) that do not necessarily correlate with deep societal impact.

The Value Creation Cascade Model

Conceptual Foundation

The Value Creation Cascade Model conceptualizes the integration process as a sequential flow where value is not merely transferred but amplified and transformed at each stage. This model is inspired by value chain analysis and theory of change frameworks. It moves beyond measuring activity to tracking how different forms of capital are invested, transformed, and multiplied through the integrated process of CSR and film-making. The "cascade" illustrates how tangible and intangible inputs are converted through specific activities into outputs, which then create outcomes of increasing significance, culminating in broad societal value. The model emphasizes that each stage unlocks a new, more impactful form of value.

Application to Case Studies

Applying this model involves tracing how value evolves from a financial input into a multifaceted societal impact.

Stage 1: Input of Financial Capital: The process is initiated by the CSR company's investment of financial capital, dedicated to a social project.

Stage 2: Creation of Social & Human Capital (CSR Action): The financial capital is deployed, creating initial value in the form of **Social Capital** (strengthened community networks, trust) and **Human Capital** (new skills, improved health, knowledge) within the target community. This is the primary, on-ground value created by the CSR initiative itself.

Stage 3: Transformation into Symbolic Capital (Film-making): The film-making process captures the newly created social and human capital. Through narrative and visual storytelling, it transforms these abstract developments into a tangible asset: **Symbolic Capital**. This refers to the legitimizing stories, visual evidence, and emotional narratives that represent the project's success and integrity.

Stage 4: Conversion to Reputational & Relationship Capital (CSR Communication): The Symbolic Capital (the films) is deployed through strategic CSR communication. This process converts it into **Reputational Capital** (enhanced brand trust, public goodwill, legitimacy) and **Relationship Capital** (strengthened bonds with investors, NGOs, and government bodies).

Stage 5: Outcome of Sustained Societal Betterment: The accumulated capital—Social, Human, Reputational, and Relationship—creates a powerful ecosystem effect. This leads to the final stage: sustainable **Societal Betterment**. This includes empowered communities, more resilient local economies, and accelerated progress on SDGs, which is a more profound and durable outcome than the initial project outputs.

Stage 6: Reinvestment & Flywheel Effect: The demonstrated societal betterment and enhanced reputational capital justify and catalyze further financial investment (a return to Stage 1), either from the original company or new partners, creating a self-reinforcing "flywheel" of value creation.

Findings

Analysis through the Value Creation Cascade yields several strategic findings:

Film-making as a Value Transformer: The model's core insight is that film-making's primary function is to *transform* latent, localized value (Social/Human Capital) into transferable, scalable value (Symbolic Capital) that can be leveraged for broader impact.

The Multi-capital Outcome: Successful integration creates a portfolio of value, not a single outcome. The societal betterment is a result of the interplay between community-level capital and corporate-level capital.

The Business Case for Integration: This model makes a powerful business case by clearly articulating how financial investment in CSR and film-making cycles back to create Reputational and Relationship Capital, which are critical intangible assets for any modern corporation.

Strengths and Limitations of this Model
Strengths:

It provides a sophisticated, multi-dimensional view of **"impact" that goes beyond simple metrics**.

It clearly **articulates the return on investment** for both the corporation and society, bridging a critical communication gap.

It powerfully illustrates the **virtuous cycle or "flywheel effect"** of sustained investment in integrated strategies.

Limitations:

It can be complex to measure and quantify the different forms of "capital" in a standardized way.

The linear, cascading presentation can, like the Waterfall model, oversimplify the interconnectedness of these value forms.

There is a risk of over-emphasizing the value captured by the corporation (Reputational Capital) versus the value accrued by the community (Social Capital), potentially framing the community's benefit as a means to a corporate end.

Chapter 5: Synthesis and Comparative Analysis

This chapter synthesizes the findings from the application of the six distinct conceptual models to construct a holistic and nuanced understanding of how Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) communication and film-making strategies integrate for the betterment of society. By comparing and contrasting the insights from each model, we can identify overarching themes, resolve apparent contradictions, and propose a comprehensive framework.

Cross-Model Comparative Analysis

The six models, while focusing on different aspects, reveal a consistent narrative about the strategic role of film-making when viewed collectively. The following table provides a high-level comparison of their perspectives:

Model	Primary Focus	View of Film-making	Key Insight
Concentric Rings	Integration & Interdependence	Central Mediating Engine	Success depends on the alignment and bidirectional influence between all elements.
Helical Development	Progressive Learning & Evolution	A Tool that Evolves in Sophistication	Long-term impact is built through iterative learning and adaptation across project cycles.
Networked Ecosystem	Multi-stakeholder Relationships	A Connective Tissue & Active Node	Impact is an emergent property of the strength and quality of relationships within the network.
Waterfall Integration	Operational Sequence & Planning	A Sequential Value-Addition Process	Rigorous upfront planning in film-making strategy is crucial for downstream impact.
Feedback Loop	Adaptive Management & Agility	A Data Source for Optimization	The capacity to listen, learn, and adapt in real-time is critical for effectiveness.
Value Creation Cascade	Capital Transformation & Amplification	A Value Transformer	Film-making converts social outcomes into strategic assets (e.g., trust, reputation) that fuel further impact.

Table 1 Cross-Model Comparative Analysis

Despite their different entry points, the models are not mutually exclusive but are, in fact, complementary. For instance:

The Waterfall Model provides the essential initial *planning structure* that the Helical Model then iterates upon.

The Feedback Loop Model provides the *adaptive mechanism* that allows the system described in the Concentric Rings Model to function dynamically.

The Value Creation Cascade explains the *economic and strategic rationale* for why the complex network of relationships in the Ecosystem Model is worth investing in.

An apparent tension exists between the structured, linear Waterfall Model and the adaptive, cyclical Feedback Loop and Helical Models. This tension is resolved by understanding their temporal application: the Waterfall logic is ideal for the *initial project design and launch*, while the Feedback Loop and Helical logic are essential for the *ongoing management and evolution* of the initiative.

Integrated Framework Proposal

Based on the synthesis, we propose an Integrated Dynamic Framework for CSR-Film Strategy. This framework does not discard the previous models but incorporates their core strengths into a multi-layered understanding:

The Strategic Foundation (The Plan): Drawing from the Waterfall and Value Creation models, the process must begin with a clear strategy that defines CSR objectives, the intended value creation pathway, and the corresponding film-making plan.

The Core Dynamic Engine (The Process): At the heart of the framework lies the integrated process, best visualized by the Concentric Rings Model. Here, CSR action, film-making, and communication are simultaneous and interdependent activities.

The Connective Tissue (The Relationships): Surrounding the core engine is the Networked Ecosystem, comprising all stakeholders. The film-making process actively works to strengthen the ties within this network.

The Adaptive Nervous System (The Feedback): Integrated throughout is the Feedback Loop, constantly monitoring the ecosystem and the core engine's outputs, providing data on what is working and what is not.

The Progressive Trajectory (The Evolution): The entire system operates on a Helical path. The learnings from the feedback loops are incorporated back into the strategic foundation, leading to more sophisticated strategies, stronger networks, and greater value creation with each cycle.

In this integrated view, film-making is simultaneously a planning tool (Waterfall), a mediating engine (Concentric), a relationship builder (Network), a learning enabler (Feedback Loop), a value transformer (Cascade), and an evolving capability (Helix).

Theoretical Contributions

This multi-model analysis makes several key theoretical contributions:

- It moves beyond siloed theories to provide a multi-theoretical lens for analyzing complex CSR communication phenomena.
- It formally theorizes film-making not as a channel, but as a strategic management function within CSR, encompassing roles in monitoring, evaluation, stakeholder engagement, and value creation.
- It reconciles the need for structure and agility in CSR management, showing they are complementary rather than contradictory.
- It provides a clear theoretical link between communicative action (film-making) and the creation of tangible and intangible forms of capital that drive sustainable development.

Practical Implications for CSR Managers

For practitioners, this synthesis offers actionable guidance:

- **Develop a Film-Making Strategy, Not Just a Film:** The strategy should be designed during the CSR planning phase (Waterfall), with clear objectives aligned to create specific value (Cascade).
- **Build Feedback Mechanisms into the Budget:** Allocate resources for analyzing engagement data and community feedback (Feedback Loop) to enable adaptation.
- **Focus on Building Relationships, Not Just Broadcasting:** Use film-making to connect with and between stakeholders (Network), not just to talk at them.
- **Plan for the Long Term:** View each project as a learning cycle in a longer journey (Helical). Capture lessons to improve the next initiative.
- **Measure Holistic Value:** Track a portfolio of metrics that reflect the different capitals created—social, human, symbolic, and reputational—not just financial outlay or media reach.
- By adopting this integrated perspective, CSR managers can transform film-making from a cost center for production into a strategic center for value creation, thereby profoundly enhancing their contribution to the betterment of society.

Chapter 6: Findings, Discussion, and Implications

This chapter serves as the culmination of the research, synthesizing the detailed findings from the multi-model analysis, discussing their broader significance in relation to the existing literature and research questions, and outlining the consequent theoretical, practical, and policy implications. It provides a conclusive answer to how strategic film-making can be integrated with CSR communication to effectively drive the betterment of society.

6.1 Consolidated Summary of Findings

The application of six distinct conceptual models to the case study data yielded a cohesive, multi-faceted understanding of the phenomenon. The findings collectively demonstrate that film-making, when strategically integrated, transcends its traditional promotional role to become a central, dynamic engine for the CSR lifecycle.

- **From the Concentric Rings Model:** Film-making emerged not as a peripheral step, but as the central mediating engine that bi-directionally connects corporate CSR strategy with on-ground action and societal outcomes. Its success is contingent on its strategic alignment with both CSR objectives and communication goals.

- **From the Helical Development Model:** The role of film-making is not static but evolutionary. It progresses from a basic documentation tool in initial projects to a sophisticated mechanism for engagement, co-creation, and impact assessment in subsequent cycles, driven by organizational learning and iterative refinement.

- **From the Networked Ecosystem Model:** Film-making functions as the **connective tissue** within a complex stakeholder network. Its primary value lies in building and strengthening relationships between the corporation, communities, film-makers, and other actors, with societal impact emerging as a property of a healthy, well-connected ecosystem.

- **From the Waterfall Integration Model:** The integration requires structured, upfront planning to be effective. A clearly defined film-making strategy, established during the CSR planning phase, is crucial for guiding downstream activities, ensuring accountability, and achieving the intended impact.

- **From the Feedback Loop Optimization Model**: Continuous adaptation based on real-time data is critical. Film-making serves as a dual-purpose tool for both communication and data collection, enabling organizations to listen, learn, and optimize their strategies responsively, thereby closing the accountability gap with stakeholders.

- **From the Value Creation Cascade Model:** The integration process acts as a value-creation cascade. It transforms financial capital into social and human capital, which film-making then converts into symbolic capital (legitimizing stories), ultimately yielding reputational and relationship capital that benefits both society and the corporation, creating a virtuous "flywheel" effect.

6.2 Discussion

This discussion interprets the consolidated findings, weaving them together to address the research questions and situate the study's contributions within the broader academic discourse.

6.2.1 Addressing the Research Questions

The primary research question was: How can strategic film-making be integrated with CSR communication to effectively drive the betterment of society?

The answer, revealed through the multi-model analysis, is that effective integration requires a holistic, systemic approach where film-making is embedded as a core strategic function throughout the CSR lifecycle. It is not a single activity but a multifaceted capability. This integration drives societal betterment by:

- Making impact visible and verifiable (as shown in the Concentric and Value Cascade models), thereby enhancing transparency and moving beyond claims to evidence.

- Fostering trust and accountability through dialogic processes and feedback loops (as per the Feedback Loop and Networked Ecosystem models), building legitimacy with stakeholders.

- Empowering communities by giving them a platform and a voice, often through participatory film-making, transforming them from beneficiaries to co-creators (highlighted in the Helical and Networked models).

- Creating a compelling business case for sustained investment by demonstrating the creation of tangible and intangible capital (as outlined in the Value Creation Cascade), aligning corporate and social interests.

- Film-making's role beyond promotion encompasses impact documentation, stakeholder dialogue facilitation, community mobilization, real-time monitoring, and the creation of strategic symbolic and reputational assets.

- The conceptual models collectively provide a comprehensive framework, with each model—Concentric (integration), Helical (evolution), Networked (relationships), Waterfall (process), Feedback (adaptation), Cascade (value)—illuminating a different but essential dimension of the relationship between CSR, communication, film-making, and impact.

- Practical mechanisms include participatory video, digital multi-channel dissemination, leveraging analytics for optimization, and using film as evidence in sustainability reports and stakeholder briefings.

- Measurable value is created through the accumulation of a portfolio of capitals: social capital (community networks), human capital (skills/wellbeing), symbolic capital (authentic narratives), and reputational capital (trust/goodwill), benefiting both communities and corporations.

6.2.2 Theoretical Reconciliation and Contribution

This research directly addresses the gaps identified in the literature review. It fills the theoretical gap by providing a multi-dimensional, dynamic framework that moves beyond linear, siloed models. The proposed Integrated Dynamic Framework reconciles the apparent tension between the need for structure (Waterfall Model) and the need for agility (Helical and Feedback Loop Models) by positioning them as complementary phases in a managerial process: planning and adaptation.

Furthermore, the study answers the instrumental gap by offering a clear, actionable framework for practitioners. It formally theorizes film-making not merely as a communication *channel*, but as a strategic *management function* within CSR, a significant paradigm shift from existing literature that often confines it to public relations (Du et al., 2010). This aligns with and extends the work of Dhanesh (2020) on engagement by positioning film-making as a central methodology for its execution.

6.3 Implications

The findings of this study carry significant implications for various stakeholders.

6.3.1 Theoretical Implications

- **A Multi-Theoretical Lens:** The study advocates for and demonstrates the value of using multiple conceptual models to analyze complex communication phenomena, providing a more holistic and robust understanding than a single-theory approach.

- **Reconceptualization of Film-making:** It elevates the theoretical status of film-making in CSR literature from a tactical tool to a strategic, value-creating management function integral to implementation, monitoring, and evaluation.

- **Linking Communication to Capital Formation:** The study provides a clear theoretical link between communicative action (strategic film-making) and the creation of tangible and intangible forms of capital (social, human, symbolic, reputational), bridging communication theory with strategic management and development studies.

6.3.2 Practical Implications for Corporations and CSR Managers

- **Develop an Integrated Film-Making Strategy, Not Just a Film:** CSR managers must integrate film-making strategy into the initial project planning phase (Waterfall), with clear objectives tied to specific value creation (Cascade).

- **Budget for Feedback and Analytics:** Allocate dedicated resources for monitoring digital analytics and gathering qualitative community feedback (Feedback Loop) to enable data-driven adaptation and demonstrate responsiveness.

- **Prioritize Relationship Building over Broadcasting:** Use film-making as a tool to connect *with* and *between* stakeholders (Networked Ecosystem), fostering dialogue and co-creation rather than one-way messaging.

- **Adopt a Multi-Capital Measurement Framework:** Move beyond reporting financial outlay and output metrics. Develop KPIs that track the creation of social, human, symbolic, and reputational capital to capture the full value of CSR initiatives.

- **Foster a Culture of Learning:** View each CSR project as a turn in a learning helix (Helical). Institutionalize processes for capturing lessons learned to ensure continuous improvement in both social impact and communication effectiveness.

6.3.3 Policy Implications

- **Refine CSR Reporting Guidelines:** Policymakers (e.g., India's Ministry of Corporate Affairs) should consider encouraging or mandating the inclusion of audiovisual evidence in annual CSR reports. This would standardize and enhance transparency, moving beyond textual descriptions to verifiable impact documentation.

- **Promote Media Literacy and Capacity Building:** Government bodies and industry associations should develop training programs for CSR professionals and NGO partners on leveraging digital media and ethical film-making for effective communication, monitoring, and evaluation.

- **Incentivize Cross-Sectoral Collaboration:** Policy frameworks could be designed to incentivize partnerships between corporations, film institutes, and development organizations. This would foster higher-quality, more authentic storytelling and build a skilled ecosystem for development communication.

6.4 Limitations and Avenues for Future Research

While this study provides a comprehensive framework, its limitations point toward fruitful future research.

6.4.1 Limitations

- **Methodological Generalizability:** The qualitative, case-study approach offers rich, contextual insights but does not allow for statistical generalizability.

- **Source Bias:** The reliance on publicly available content means the analysis is based on the curated, public-facing narrative of corporations, which may not capture internal challenges, failures, or unintended negative consequences.

- **Theoretical Validation:** The proposed Integrated Dynamic Framework, while derived from empirical analysis, is a conceptual model that requires further empirical validation through broader application.

6.4.2 Directions for Future Research

- **Quantitative Validation:** Future research could develop and test scales to quantitatively measure the impact of integrated film-making strategies on specific outcomes like stakeholder trust, brand perception, and social ROI.

- **Longitudinal Studies:** Tracking the evolution of CSR initiatives over 5-10 years would provide robust empirical evidence to validate the progressive stages of the Helical Development Model.

- **Cross-Cultural Comparative Analysis:** Investigating how the integration of film-making and CSR communication differs in effectiveness and approach across various cultural and regulatory environments (e.g., comparing India, the EU, and the US) would be highly valuable.

- **Sector-Specific Analysis:** Exploring the unique dynamics, challenges, and best practices within specific sectors such as healthcare, education, or environmental conservation could yield tailored insights.

6.5 Conclusion

This research demonstrates that the fusion of CSR communication and strategic film-making represents a significant paradigm shift. It is a powerful confluence where corporate responsibility meets compelling narrative. By reconceptualizing film-making as a central, strategic engine for value creation—rather than a mere promotional afterthought—corporations can unlock a more transparent, engaging, and impactful model of social investment. This study provides the framework and the imperative for corporations to not only *do* good but to *demonstrate* it meaningfully and accountably, thereby accelerating the collective journey toward a more equitable and sustainable society.

Bibliography

- Abdulrahman, A., & Goddard, A. (2018). Narrative accountability: The role of storytelling in corporate social responsibility reporting. *Accounting Forum*, 42(2), 167-185.

- Agarwal, S., & Singh, P. (2021). CSR communication in the digital age: A study of Indian corporations. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, 31(3), 345-362.

- Bowen, H. R. (1953). *Social responsibilities of the businessman*. Harper & Row.

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.

- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48.

- Carroll, A. B. (2016). Carroll's pyramid of CSR: Taking another look. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 1(1), 1-8.

- Chouliaraki, L. (2013). *The ironic spectator: Solidarity in the age of post-humanitarianism*. John Wiley & Sons.

- Colleoni, E. (2013). CSR communication strategies for organizational legitimacy in social media. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 18(2), 228-248.

- Dhanesh, G. S. (2020). Who cares about organizational purpose and corporate social responsibility, and how can organizations adapt? A strategic communication perspective. *Business Horizons*, 63(4), 493-504.

- Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 8-19.

- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

- Gray, R., Kouhy, R., & Lavers, S. (1995). Corporate social and environmental reporting: A review of the literature and a longitudinal study of UK disclosure. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(2), 47-77.

- India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2013). *The Companies Act, 2013*. New Delhi: Government of India.

- Kent, M. L., & Taylor, M. (1998). Building dialogic relationships through the World Wide Web. *Public Relations Review*, 24(3), 321-334.

- Mitra, R. (2020). The Indian CSR mandate: A critical analysis of the challenges and opportunities for corporations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 162(1), 103-119.

- Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility communication: Stakeholder information, response and involvement strategies. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15(4), 323-338.

- Paivio, A. (1971). *Imagery and verbal processes*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62-77.

- Servaes, J. (Ed.). (2008). *Communication for development and social change*. SAGE Publications India.

- Singhal, A., & Rogers, E. M. (1999). *Entertainment-education: A communication strategy for social change*. Routledge.

- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571-610.

- Wilkins, K. G. (2000). Accounting for power: The role of evaluation in development communication. *Journal of Development Communication*, 11(2), 1-14.

- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.