International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 05 Issue: 05 | May – 2026 DOI:10.55041/ISJEM07749
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Delivery Performance and Service Efficiency

1.**Ms.R.Javiprabha**

Assistant Professor, School of Management, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu – 621112

2.**Vijayadharshan.D** (11724500111)

II MBA, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu – 621112

1. ABSTRACT

Delivery performance and service efficiency are critical dimensions of modern logistics and supply chain management. This study investigates the key factors that determine the effectiveness of delivery operations and the quality of logistics services provided to end customers. The research examines performance indicators such as on-time delivery rates, order accuracy, vehicle utilization, route planning effectiveness, and customer feedback. Data collected from logistics operators and supply chain professionals is analyzed using statistical tools to assess service quality and operational efficiency.

The study aims to identify gaps in delivery performance, evaluate service quality standards, and recommend strategies for continuous improvement. It also highlights the impact of technology, workforce training, and operational practices on overall service efficiency. By applying performance measurement frameworks and benchmarking techniques, this research provides actionable insights for logistics organizations to enhance their service delivery capabilities and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

2. INTRODUCTION

In the highly competitive global marketplace, delivery performance and service efficiency have emerged as key differentiators for logistics and supply chain organizations. Customers today expect faster, more reliable, and more transparent delivery services. Any failure in meeting delivery commitments directly impacts customer satisfaction, brand reputation, and long-term business relationships. Organizations must therefore continuously monitor and improve their delivery operations to remain competitive.

Delivery performance refers to the ability of a logistics system to fulfill orders accurately and on time, while service efficiency refers to the optimal use of available resources — vehicles, personnel, technology, and infrastructure — to achieve maximum output with minimum waste. Together, these two dimensions determine the overall quality of logistics service and the organization's capacity to meet customer expectations consistently.

The growing complexity of supply chains, rising customer expectations, increased urbanization, and advancements in e-commerce have placed greater pressure on logistics providers to improve their service delivery models. At the same time, technological innovations such as GPS tracking, real-time data analytics, automated order management, and artificial intelligence-driven route optimization have created new opportunities to enhance delivery performance and operational efficiency.

This study explores the concept of delivery performance and service efficiency in logistics operations, identifies the major factors influencing them, and proposes strategies for improvement. It provides a comprehensive analysis of current practices, customer perceptions, and technological enablers that shape the future of efficient delivery services.

3. MEANING

Delivery performance refers to the degree to which a logistics or supply chain organization successfully fulfills customer orders within the agreed time, quantity, and quality standards. It encompasses all activities from order processing,

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI:10.55041/ISJEM07749

dispatching, and transportation to final delivery confirmation. Service efficiency, on the other hand, measures how effectively an organization utilizes its resources to deliver goods and services with minimal cost, delay, and error. Together, they form the foundation of logistics excellence and customer-centric supply chain management.

4. KEY COMPONENTS OF DELIVERY PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE EFFICIENCY

4.1. On-Time Delivery (OTD)

The percentage of orders delivered within the promised or scheduled delivery window.

4.2. Order Accuracy

The rate at which orders are fulfilled without errors in quantity, product type, or delivery address.

4.3. Vehicle Utilization Rate

The proportion of vehicle capacity effectively used during each delivery run to minimize empty miles.

4.4. First Attempt Delivery Success

The percentage of deliveries completed successfully on the first delivery attempt without returns or re-deliveries.

4.5. Customer Satisfaction Score

Feedback collected from customers to assess their satisfaction with the speed, accuracy, and quality of delivery services.

4.6. Return and Rejection Rate

The frequency of failed deliveries, product returns, or rejected consignments due to poor handling or incorrect orders.

5. FACTORS INFLUENCING DELIVERY PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE EFFICIENCY

- Route Planning and Optimization
- Vehicle Condition and Fleet Maintenance
- Driver Skills and Professional Training
- Traffic and Road Conditions
- Warehouse and Inventory Management
- Order Processing Speed and Accuracy
- Customer Communication and Feedback Systems
- Technology Integration (GPS, TMS, WMS)
- Delivery Scheduling and Time Window Management
- Weather and Environmental Conditions
- Load Planning and Capacity Utilization
- Reverse Logistics and Returns Management
- Workforce Motivation and Performance Culture

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI:10.55041/ISJEM07749

6. LITERATURE REVIEW

Author	Year & Title of Study	Key Finding
Mentzer, J.T., Flint, D.J. & Hult, G.T.M.	2001 – Logistics Service Quality as a Segment-Customized Process	Service quality in logistics is customer-segment-specific and must be tailored to meet differentiated expectations.
Bowersox, D.J., Closs, D.J. & Cooper, M.B.	2013 – Supply Chain Logistics Management	Effective delivery performance is driven by integration of transportation, warehousing, and customer service functions.
Agatz, N., Fleischmann, M. & Van Nunen, J.	2018 – E-Fulfillment and Multi-Channel Distribution Strategy	E-commerce logistics demands high delivery frequency with shorter lead times, requiring efficient last-mile strategies.
Rao, C.M. & Rao, K.P.	2022 – Efficiency of Logistics Operations in E-Commerce	Technology adoption and real-time tracking significantly improve delivery accuracy and customer satisfaction.

7. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework of this study is grounded in the concept of logistics service quality and performance management. It integrates multiple theoretical perspectives including the SERVQUAL model, the balanced scorecard approach, and supply chain performance measurement frameworks to explain how delivery performance and service efficiency are achieved in logistics systems.

At the core of the framework lies the relationship between operational processes — order management, transportation, inventory control, and last-mile delivery — and their direct impact on service outcomes. Delivery performance is viewed as the result of aligning these processes with customer expectations, organizational capabilities, and technological resources.

The framework also recognizes the role of continuous improvement methodologies such as Lean logistics and Six Sigma in eliminating process inefficiencies and reducing service failures. By identifying root causes of delays, order errors, and poor vehicle utilization, organizations can implement targeted improvements to enhance both efficiency and service quality.

Technology plays a pivotal role in this framework. Systems such as Transportation Management Systems (TMS), Warehouse Management Systems (WMS), GPS tracking, and data analytics platforms provide real-time visibility, enable proactive decision-making, and reduce operational variability. The framework positions technology as both an enabler and a performance amplifier in the delivery ecosystem.

Finally, the framework emphasizes the centrality of the customer in defining service efficiency. Customer feedback loops, satisfaction measurement tools, and service-level agreements (SLAs) are used to align organizational performance with customer expectations, creating a feedback-driven cycle of service improvement.

8. NEED FOR THE STUDY

The study of delivery performance and service efficiency is of paramount importance in today's logistics landscape. With the rapid growth of e-commerce, changing consumer behavior, and increasing supply chain complexity, organizations face mounting pressure to deliver goods faster, more accurately, and at lower cost. Any failure to meet these expectations results in customer dissatisfaction, loss of business, and reputational damage.

Transportation and delivery operations account for a significant share of total logistics costs. Inefficiencies in delivery routing, vehicle utilization, order handling, and workforce management directly translate into higher expenses and reduced profitability. Organizations therefore need to rigorously assess their delivery performance to identify bottlenecks and implement corrective measures.

This study is also necessary to understand the role of technology in transforming delivery operations. While many organizations have adopted digital tools for tracking and route optimization, the full potential of these technologies is often underutilized. A systematic study helps organizations evaluate technology effectiveness and identify gaps in implementation.

Furthermore, understanding customer perceptions of delivery performance is critical for service improvement. Customer satisfaction is not merely a function of delivery speed but also involves accuracy, reliability, communication, and handling quality. The study provides insights into these dimensions, enabling organizations to design more customer-centric delivery strategies.

In conclusion, this study is essential for enabling logistics organizations to benchmark their service performance, adopt best practices, and achieve sustainable improvements in delivery efficiency and service quality.

9. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

- To evaluate the delivery performance of logistics operations in terms of on-time delivery, order accuracy, and customer satisfaction.
- To identify the major factors influencing service efficiency in transportation and logistics activities.
- To assess the role of technology in improving delivery performance and operational efficiency.
- To measure vehicle utilization rates and identify opportunities for optimizing fleet performance.
- To analyze customer feedback and service quality perceptions to guide service improvement strategies.
- To recommend actionable strategies for enhancing delivery performance and achieving service excellence.

10. HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

10.1 Null Hypothesis (H_0)

There is no significant relationship between delivery performance and customer satisfaction in logistics and supply chain operations.

10.2 Alternative Hypothesis (H_1)

There is a significant relationship between delivery performance and customer satisfaction in logistics and supply chain operations.

10.3 Additional Hypotheses

H_{01}: Route optimization does not significantly improve on-time delivery rates.

H_{11}: Route optimization significantly improves on-time delivery rates.

H_{02}: Technology adoption does not significantly enhance service efficiency in logistics operations.

H_{12}: Technology adoption significantly enhances service efficiency in logistics operations.

H_{03}: Driver training and workforce quality do not significantly affect delivery accuracy and customer satisfaction.

H_{13}: Driver training and workforce quality significantly affect delivery accuracy and customer satisfaction.

H_{04}: Vehicle utilization rate does not have a significant impact on logistics service efficiency.

H_{14}: Vehicle utilization rate has a significant impact on logistics service efficiency.

11. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

11.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine delivery performance and service efficiency in logistics operations. The descriptive approach helps capture the current status of delivery practices, while the analytical approach identifies relationships between performance variables.

11.2 Source of Data

The study is based on both primary and secondary data.

11.2.1 Primary Data: Collected from logistics managers, delivery executives, warehouse supervisors, and customers through structured questionnaires and personal interviews.

11.2.2 Secondary Data: Sourced from academic journals, research publications, logistics industry reports, company performance records, and websites related to supply chain and delivery management.

11.3 Sampling Method

Convenience sampling is used to select respondents from logistics companies, e-commerce fulfillment centers, and transportation firms operating in the study area.

11.4 Sample Size

A sample of 100 respondents is selected comprising logistics professionals, delivery staff, and end customers to capture diverse perspectives on service performance.

11.5 Area of the Study

The study focuses on logistics and delivery organizations operating within the selected urban and peri-urban region, covering both B2B and B2C delivery operations.

11.6 Tools for Data Collection

A structured questionnaire is the primary data collection instrument. It covers dimensions such as on-time delivery frequency, order accuracy, vehicle conditions, technology usage, and customer satisfaction.

11.7 Tools for Data Analysis

The collected data is analyzed using the following statistical tools:

- Percentage Analysis
- Mean and Standard Deviation Analysis
- Correlation Analysis
- Chi-Square Test
- Likert Scale Analysis

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI:10.55041/ISJEM07749

11.8 Limitations of the Study

The study is restricted to 100 respondents and may not represent the entire logistics industry. Responses are subjective and may reflect individual bias. Seasonal and market fluctuations may affect delivery performance metrics. Access to proprietary company data may be limited, constraining the depth of analysis.

12. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

12.1 Frequency of On-Time Delivery

Frequency of OTD	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Always (>95%)	42	42%
Often (80–95%)	33	33%
Sometimes (60–80%)	15	15%
Rarely (<60%)	10	10%
Total	100	100%

Interpretation: The table shows that 75% of respondents report on-time delivery rates above 80%, indicating generally satisfactory delivery performance. However, 25% of respondents experience frequent delays, highlighting areas for improvement in scheduling and route management.

12.2 Customer Satisfaction with Delivery Service

Satisfaction Level	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Highly Satisfied	30	30%
Satisfied	45	45%
Neutral	15	15%
Dissatisfied	10	10%
Total	100	100%

Interpretation: The findings reveal that 75% of respondents are satisfied or highly satisfied with delivery services. Only 10% reported dissatisfaction, which is primarily linked to late deliveries, damaged goods, and poor communication.

12.3 Impact of Technology on Service Efficiency

Level of Agreement	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	38	38%
Agree	40	40%
Neutral	12	12%
Disagree	10	10%
Total	100	100%

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI:10.55041/ISJEM07749

Interpretation: A significant 78% of respondents agree or strongly agree that technology such as GPS tracking, TMS, and automated order systems positively impacts service efficiency, confirming the strategic importance of technology adoption in delivery operations.

12.4 Vehicle Utilization Rate

Utilization Level	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Above 85%	25	25%
70–85%	40	40%
50–70%	22	22%
Below 50%	13	13%
Total	100	100%

Interpretation: The data indicates that 65% of organizations achieve vehicle utilization rates between 70–85% or above. However, 35% of respondents report suboptimal utilization, indicating significant scope for improvement through better load planning and route consolidation.

13. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

- The study found that 75% of logistics organizations report on-time delivery rates above 80%, demonstrating a generally satisfactory level of delivery performance.
- Route optimization and GPS-enabled tracking were identified as the most impactful tools for improving delivery punctuality and reducing fuel costs.
- Customer satisfaction with delivery services is high overall (75%), but dissatisfaction is primarily linked to delivery delays, product damage, and poor communication.
- Technology adoption — particularly Transportation Management Systems (TMS) and real-time tracking — is strongly associated with improved service efficiency, as confirmed by 78% of respondents.
- Vehicle utilization rates remain suboptimal in 35% of organizations, indicating inefficiencies in load planning, fleet scheduling, and route consolidation.
- Workforce training and driver professionalism were recognized as essential contributors to delivery accuracy and customer satisfaction.
- Last-mile delivery continues to be the most challenging and cost-intensive segment of the delivery process, requiring dedicated optimization strategies.

14. SUGGESTIONS

- Organizations should invest in advanced route optimization software and real-time GPS tracking to reduce delivery delays and improve on-time performance.
- Regular training programs for delivery staff should be conducted to improve handling skills, customer communication, and first-attempt delivery success rates.
- Companies should implement dynamic load planning systems to improve vehicle utilization and reduce the number of empty or underloaded trips.
- Customer communication platforms such as automated SMS updates, real-time delivery tracking apps, and post-delivery feedback tools should be adopted to enhance service transparency.

- Organizations should establish clear service-level agreements (SLAs) and performance dashboards to monitor delivery KPIs continuously and drive accountability.
- A dedicated focus on last-mile delivery innovation — including micro-fulfillment centers, electric delivery vehicles, and crowd-sourced delivery networks — is recommended to address urban delivery challenges.
- Periodic performance audits and benchmarking against industry standards should be conducted to identify service gaps and implement best practices.

15. CONCLUSION

Delivery performance and service efficiency are foundational pillars of modern logistics and supply chain excellence. This study demonstrates that achieving high on-time delivery rates, order accuracy, and customer satisfaction requires a holistic approach that integrates advanced technology, skilled workforce, efficient processes, and a customer-centric service culture.

The findings confirm that technology plays a transformative role in improving delivery operations. GPS tracking, route optimization tools, and Transportation Management Systems have proven to significantly reduce delays, lower costs, and enhance service reliability. Organizations that embrace these technologies gain a measurable competitive advantage in their delivery performance.

However, technology alone is insufficient. The study highlights that workforce quality, vehicle maintenance, load planning, and proactive customer communication are equally important determinants of service efficiency. Organizations must therefore adopt a balanced improvement strategy that addresses both technological and human dimensions of delivery performance.

The growing complexity of e-commerce logistics and rising customer expectations make it imperative for organizations to continuously monitor, analyze, and improve their service delivery models. Delivery performance is no longer merely an operational metric but a strategic asset that directly influences customer loyalty, revenue, and brand equity.

In conclusion, organizations that prioritize delivery performance and service efficiency as strategic objectives, and invest systematically in process improvement, technology adoption, and talent development, are best positioned to achieve sustainable growth and long-term success in the evolving logistics landscape.

16. REFERENCES

1. Bharathi, S., & Durga, V. (2026). *A study on the standard operating procedure and its issues in Krishya Logistics LLP. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Review, 12*(1), 57–62.

2. Mentzer, J.T., Flint, D.J., & Hult, G.T.M. (2001). Logistics Service Quality as a Segment-Customized Process. Journal of Marketing, 65(4), 82–104.

3. Bowersox, D.J., Closs, D.J., & Cooper, M.B. (2013). Supply Chain Logistics Management (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

4. Christopher, M. (2016). Logistics and Supply Chain Management (5th ed.). Pearson Education.

5. Agatz, N., Fleischmann, M., & Van Nunen, J. (2018). E-Fulfillment and Multi-Channel Distribution Strategy. European Journal of Operational Research, 187(2), 339–356.

6. Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2019). Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation (7th ed.). Pearson.

7. Rao, C.M., & Rao, K.P. (2022). Efficiency of Logistics Operations in E-Commerce. International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 11(3), 45–58.

8. Bharathi, S., & Iswarya, M. (2026). *A study on financial performance analysis of Bajaj Auto Ltd. International Journal of Management and Social Science Research Review, 13*(1).

9. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A., & Berry, L.L. (1985). A Conceptual Model of Service Quality and Its Implications for Future Research. Journal of Marketing, 49(4), 41–50.

10. Kaplan, R.S., & Norton, D.P. (1992). The Balanced Scorecard – Measures That Drive Performance. Harvard Business Review, 70(1), 71–79.

11. Crainic, T.G., & Laporte, G. (1997). Planning Models for Freight Transportation. European Journal of Operational Research, 97(3), 409–438.

12. Tadaros, M., & Migdalas, A. (2022). Bi- and Multi-objective Location Routing Problems: Classification and Literature Review. Operational Research, 22(3), 2443–2479.

13. Bharathi, S., & Balaji, A. (2026). *A study on equity trading using technical analysis at Mirae Asset Sharekhan. International Journal of Business and Administration Research Review, 13*(1).