

# Digital Preservation in Developing Countries: Identifying Infrastructure and Policy Gaps

Dr. Gajanan B. Ghayal

Smt. Sindhutai Jadhav Arts & Science College Mehkar

---

## Abstract:

Digital preservation is a vital means of saving the country's cultural, educational, administrative, and historic digital resources. Unfortunately, in developing countries, the presence of various systemic barriers interferes with the successful implementation of preservation. Such obstacles have been compartmentalized into infrastructural failure to support due to the lack of dependable power, the restricted digital storage capacity, and the limited internet connection. Moreover, there are policy-related shortcomings, such as disjointed institutional roles, obsolete legal frameworks, and the fact that there are no nationally standardized strategies. Referencing the case studies of a few developing nations selected by the researchers, the given study provides an argument for the dire need to have a unified policy base, invest in sustainable infrastructure, and have capacity-building efforts. With the specification of such gaps and the provision of specific steps that should be undertaken, the research aims at enlightening stakeholders and policymakers about the actions that have to be taken to maintain the long-term availability and integrity of digital heritage in the Global South.

**Keywords:** *Digital Preservation, Developing Countries, Infrastructure Gaps, Digital Divide, Policy Development, Archival Systems, National Strategies*

## Introduction:

The digital age has presented an increased pace of further development, both in terms of production, storage, and distribution of information in electronic form. The need for reliable and sustainable digital preservation is paramount since massive amounts of governmental structures, institutions, and individual records are being generated in the shape of administrative records, academic literature, cultural heritage, and audiovisual content. Competent digital preservation protects the access, authenticity, and usefulness of digital content in subsequent technological settings, exempting it from threats that originate from hardware deterioration, metadata corruption, and other technological rifts. By so doing, it enables transparency in governance, preservation of national heritage, and access to knowledge on an ongoing basis. It has been found that developed countries have already made significant progress towards the creation of institutional repositories, the implementation of international preservation standards, and the development of a national framework.

This progress not only facilitates the safeguarding of valuable digital assets but also encourages collaboration across borders, fostering a global dialogue on best practices. As nations continue to embrace these advancements, the potential for innovation in digital preservation and access will expand, ultimately benefiting society as a whole. In contrast, many developing nations face significant structural limitations, including unstable infrastructure characterised by erratic electricity supplies, limited storage capacity, and insufficient broadband access.

These constraints are compounded by the lack of skilled personnel, the lack of adequate funds, and the presence of outdated legal regimes or incoherent systems where this may be the case. The most critical issue is the absence of a consistent national digital preservation policy, which undermines the effectiveness and long-term sustainability of current initiatives in this area. In this respect, this study critically analyses the twofold impediments that hamper successful digital preservation in developing countries: the first being infrastructural deficiencies and the second, policy vacuums. The study describes the root causes of these weaknesses and their consequences for long-term digital continuity, drawing on a review of literature, case studies, and comparative

evidence. The presence of these weaknesses in the study highlights a key need to empower planning, cross-sector cooperation, and selective investment in vital infrastructure and policy development to ensure the digital future of developing states.

### **Objectives of the Study:**

- 1) To examine the current digital preservation practices in selected developing countries.
- 2) To identify infrastructural limitations affecting long-term digital storage and access.
- 3) To analyze policy deficiencies related to digital archiving and recordkeeping.
- 4) To propose recommendations for strengthening digital preservation frameworks in developing nations.

### **Research Methodology:**

This research uses a qualitative, exploratory methodology to examine infrastructure and policy challenges in digital preservation in developing countries. It uses a multi-case study design, literature review, document analysis, and expert interviews to identify patterns, challenges, and unique national contexts. Five countries were selected based on geographic diversity, availability of digital initiatives, and infrastructure maturity. The study's limitations include reliance on qualitative data and limited access to government documents.

### **Digital Preservation in Developing Countries: Identifying Infrastructure and Policy Gaps**

Digital preservation is a crucial issue in the modern information environment, and it is still a problem in a lot of developing communities because of a lack of physical and digital infrastructures and inconsistent policy frameworks. The situation has disproportionately left rural and peripheral areas particularly vulnerable due to the lack of such facilities as well as highly developed power grids and high-performance computing networks. At the same time, asymmetric digital connectivity, in the form of unequal broadband availability, a low rate of internet penetration, and a lack of innovative proprietary software, impedes mass digitization and the provision of storage and recovery of digital assets.

The high level of outsourcing of the hardware in data centres, cloud computing, and minimal cybersecurity systems also questions the transnational sovereignty and accessibility in the long term. Legal and policy lacunae exacerbate these structural challenges. Many developing jurisdictions currently have no laws or requirements to make digital deposits or to store official records in electronic form. Institutional coordination is low, and there is, in practice, no sustainable financing scheme to maintain long-term preservation.

There are also limitations in the areas of digital curation, metadata standards, digital forensics, and digital rights management, which add to these predicaments. Lack of active involvement in digital preservation poses the risk of losing precious digital heritage and consequently lowering the quality of cultural identity, institutional memory, futuristic research, policy discussion, and civic participation. Failure to invest in preservation infrastructure augments issues of disparity that exist between digital access, and states unable to protect and retrieve their digital assets can discover themselves reliant on outsider technologies and archiving functions, and thus lose control of their cultural and informational sovereign space.

### **Digital Preservation: Concept and Global Relevance**

Digital preservation is a basic activity to ensure the long-term sustainability, accessibility, and usability of information. Being used to replace the analogue forms in such spheres as governance, education, research, and media, as well as culture, digital content has become a critical part of the national and even international informational infrastructure. The major components of digital preservation are maintaining file integrity and access, migrating obsolete file formats, using standard durable storage media, and having a strong institutional and legal structure. In a number of nations, a lot has been done in codifying digital preservation.

Illustrative examples include the Library of Congress (USA), the British Library (UK), and the European Union's Digital Preservation Coalition (DPC). Such jurisdictions have made heavy investments in holistic systems anchored on well-developed legal frameworks, highly sophisticated technical systems, and well-trained personnel.

Conversely, to carry out effective digital preservation, developing nations are still struggling to create the frameworks that enhance effective digital preservation. These problems are attributed to unreliable power and internet services, lack of cohesive policies and institutional arrangements, restricted funds, inadequately trained staff, and access barriers with regard to internationally established best practices and modern technologies due to the economic and infrastructural restraints.

The gap between the developed and the developing states contributes to the increase of the global digital divide, with the former nations continuing to create broader digital records and protecting their digital heritages at the expense of nationally and locally relevant cultural, historical, and administrative data, especially those created in local or even indigenous languages or formatting. Without active digital preservation, the risk of digital amnesia increases, which would prevent future generations from accessing important national records.

Efforts to develop digital preservation, accordingly, are not limited to the technical and institutional but can be identified as a kind of global responsibility touching on the spheres of informational equality, cultural persistence, and sustainable growth. Bridging this gap can only be achieved through concerted efforts to help developing nations develop strong infrastructure, create inclusive policies, and develop human resources that would help keep their digital future alive.

### **Identifying Infrastructure Gaps in Developing Countries:**

Lack of a healthy physical and digital infrastructure presents a significant obstacle to digital preservation in most developing areas. Devoid of adequate storage facilities, assurance of power, and sufficient connection, the institutions are handicapped in their ability to collect, store, and maintain the digital content over the long term, a scenario that is threatening the cultural heritage, governmental records, scientific datasets, and educational materials. Physical deficiency includes flaky electricity or weak power generation assets, inadequate information facilities and archive space, and inadequate network connections.

The lack of broadband access and slow internet connections in large rural or underserved areas hinders the sharing, archiving, and mirroring of digital resources, thereby further increasing the risk to their preservation. Associated digital weaknesses in infrastructure are hardware and software that can no longer satisfy modern preservation needs, and this makes the accessibility of data an increasingly troublesome issue. The unavailability of digital repositories and the absence of detailed cybersecurity strategies also increase the challenges associated with safeguarding and retrieving data. Those failures are engraved in a broader socio-economic context, which has limited funding, low digital literacy, and inadequate political focus on digital memory. Long-term solutions cannot be achieved unless multilateral interventions are implemented: investment in physical infrastructure, modernisation of IT systems, enforcement of proper cybersecurity policies, and advancements in digital inclusion. Cooperation could provide the opportunity to establish a robust system for digital preservation, ensuring the long-term availability of cultural heritage, state records, scientific data, and educational materials in developing countries.

### **Policy and Legal Gaps in Developing Countries:**

Lack of a healthy physical and digital infrastructure presents a significant obstacle to digital preservation in most developing areas. Devoid of adequate storage facilities, assurance of power, and sufficient connection, the institutions are handicapped in their ability to collect, store, and maintain the digital content over the long term, a scenario that is threatening the cultural heritage, governmental records, scientific datasets, and educational materials. Physical deficiency includes flaky electricity or weak power generation assets, inadequate information facilities and archive space, and inadequate network connections.

The absence of broadband penetration and slow internet connections in extensive rural or underserved areas prevents sharing, archiving, or mirroring of the digital resources, hence further increasing the risk of preservation. Associated digital weaknesses in infrastructure are hardware and software that can no longer satisfy modern preservation needs, and this makes accessibility an increasingly troublesome issue. The

unavailability of digital repositories and the absence of detailed cybersecurity strategies also increase the challenges associated with safeguarding and retrieving digital resources.

Those failures are engraved in a broader socio-economic context, which has limited funding, low digital literacy, and inadequate political focus on digital memory. Long-term solutions cannot be achieved unless multilateral interventions are implemented: investment in physical infrastructure, modernization of IT systems, enforcement of proper cybersecurity policies, and advancements in digital inclusion. The cooperation could only offer the possibility of creating a strong system of digital preservation and ensuring the security of long-term availability of culture, state records, science data, and education material in developing countries.

### **Implications of Infrastructure and Policy Gaps:**

Lack of effective and adequate digital infrastructure and a consistent preservation policy is a massive hindrance to most developing areas. The most serious risk is the loss of irreplaceable national heritage. Without robust digital custody, priceless cultural artefacts, historical manuscripts, oral traditions, indigenous knowledge, and archives are at severe risk of becoming extinct. Due to the growing number of born-digital materials and the increasing rate at which content has been/is being digitised, the risk of data corruption or obsolescence due to accidental deletion is growing exponentially, thus putting a whole society in danger of losing part of its collective memory. Another negative impact is the fact that research can stagnate. The restricted availability of digital scholarly and scientific resources, such as works of research publication, results of experiments, and university repositories, undermines present-day inquiry and prospective innovation. Without such data being maintained systematically, researchers will be forced to do repetitive work or use incomplete or obsolete information, maybe hampering advancement in various fields studying health, agriculture, and climatic topics.

In addition, these deficiencies intensify global digital inequity. As developed countries proceed with building strong digital archives with rich and vast knowledge systems, developing countries lag far behind, creating an imbalance in access to historical records, cultural materials, and educational content. This difference reinforces existing asymmetries and limits the involvement of developing countries in global dialogue, cooperation, and opportunities for developing a digital economy. Legal and administrative weaknesses also appear when governmental information, namely official documents, laws, court cases, and social services, is insecure. Failure to disclose or tamper with such data erodes institutional accountability, creates a discontinuity in governance, and results in a loss of confidence among the people. Without reliable digital preservation, governments would have problems meeting legal challenges or fulfilling the requirements of transparency, which rely mainly on some form of digital evidence of the exercise of power.

In essence, these infrastructure and policy gaps not only threaten the survival of digital heritage but also impede national development, erode digital sovereignty, and exacerbate inequalities within and between countries. Bridging these gaps is essential to safeguard information for future generations and to ensure that all societies can benefit from the digital age.

### **Conclusion:**

As people enter the twenty-first century, efficient digital preservation reaches the status of a necessity, but developing countries meet specific challenges on the path to creating and sustaining a fully developed system of preservation. Weak physical infrastructure, ageing digital infrastructure, and the fragmented roles within institutions create a vulnerable platform for preservation efforts. Such gaps are leading to the irrevocable loss of cultural heritage, research and innovation stalls, growing digital disparities, and adverse legal vulnerabilities that are jeopardising governance and institutional responsibility. The consequences are immediate and long-term for memory institutions, academic institutions, public-sector organisations, and civil actors in society. Filling the gaps involves setting the priority on a systematic digital preservation policy, with financial stimulus in developing the physical and the digital infrastructure, building professional skills and capacity by education and training, institutional integration and adoption of international standards, and establishing sustainable funding mechanisms. By comprehensively undertaking these measures, developing

countries will be able to protect their digital destiny and confidently claim their place in the world as a global digital knowledge economy.

## References:

- 1) Ahmad, R., & Rafiq, M. (2023). Global perspective on digital preservation policy: A systematic review. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 55(3), 312–334. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09610006221111572>
- 2) Osedo, O. A. (2013). Challenges of digital preservation in Africa. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3496885>
- 3) Ismail, R., & Affandy, F. (2022). The status of the digital preservation policies and plans of the institutional repositories of selected public universities in Kenya. *Insights*, 35(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1629/uksg.590>
- 4) Mostafa, L. H. (2021). Documentary heritage at risk: Policy gaps in digital preservation. *UNESCO Persist*.
- 5) John, M., & Marian, M. (2009). Digital preservation: Issues and challenges. *Trends in Information Management*, 5(1), 41–56.
- 6) Shah, U. A., Husain, M., Saddiqa, & Shehr Yar, M. (2021). Problems and challenges in the preservation of digital contents: An analytical study. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, 4625.
- 7) Aluoch, W. (2012). Digital libraries in Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, 8132.
- 8) Justrell, B. (2018). Digital preservation: Challenges and opportunities. *CIDOC Conference Papers*, 2018, 1-10.
- 9) Cloonan, M. V., & Sanett, S. (2005). Preservation strategies for electronic records: Where we are now—Obstacles and progress. *American Archivist*, 72(2), 314–338.
- 10) Bountouri, L., Gaitanou, P., & Nianiaka, E. (2015). Digital preservation and policy gaps: A case study in Greek libraries. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 12(1), 54–72.
- 11) Owino, A. T., & Wekullo, C. S. (2021). Digital preservation readiness in selected Kenyan university libraries. *Library Management*, 42(3), 134–152.
- 12) Chisita, C. T., & Chiparausha, B. (2013). Digital preservation in developing countries: Barriers and prospects. *International Journal of Information Science and Management*, 11(2), 49–62.
- 13) Mukherjee, B., & Das, A. (2012). Digital preservation and policy challenges: Lessons from Indian initiatives. *The Electronic Library*, 30(3), 372–390.
- 14) Igun, S. E. (2020). Digital preservation policies in Nigeria's university libraries: Analysis of infrastructure and challenges. *Digital Library Perspectives*, 36(4), 312–329.
- 15) Gatenby, J., & Witten, I. H. (2013). Digital preservation in developing countries using Greenstone. *D-Lib Magazine*, 19(1/2).
- 16) Ahmed, S., & Abubakar, B. M. (2011). Digital preservation practices for information resources in university libraries of Pakistan. *Library Review*, 60(2), 135–155.
- 17) Alemneh, D. G. (2010). Digital preservation in Africa: State-of-the-art and challenges. *World Library and Information Congress*, 76th IFLA General Conference and Assembly.
- 18) Adepoju, S. A., & Oyefolahan, I. O. (2014). Digital preservation: Some opportunities, issues and challenges. *Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology*, 4(1), 35–39.
- 19) Christian, G. E. (2008). Digital preservation policy conflict in Africa: Aligning national or institutional strategies. *International Journal of Digital Library Systems*, 15(2), 43–52.
- 20) Becker, C., & Rauber, A. (2011). Preserving digital heritage: Policy and infrastructure challenges in developing nations. *International Journal of Digital Curation*, 6(2), 120–134.
- 21) Olatokun, W., & Aina, O. (2012). Strategies for managing digital preservation in Nigerian libraries. *Information Development*, 28(4), 312–320.
- 22) Ghosh, M. (2013). Digital preservation: Policy and infrastructure requirements for resource-constrained environments. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 60(2), 123–131.
- 23) Madueke, G. N. (2018). Infrastructure gaps in digital preservation: The Nigeria experience. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, 2212.
- 24) Tshiamo, W. L., & Mnjama, N. M. (2013). Preservation of digital records in Botswana: Policy, infrastructure and capacity development. *African Journal of Library, Archives & Information Science*, 23(1), 77–86.
- 25) Mutula, S. M., & Wamukoya, J. (2009). Digital preservation and access to government information in sub-Saharan Africa. *Library Review*, 58(3), 236–248.