International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Evaluating the Influence of Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) on Shareholder Wealth in Indian Banking Sector: A Strategic Analysis

Mr. Sachin M

Department of Management Studies, Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering. Sachinm1502@gmail.com

ORCID ID:

Prof. Jayashree K

Assistant Professor Department of Management Studies, Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering. jayashree-mba@dayanandasagar.edu

Abstract

Mergers and acquisition (M&A) have emerged as key strategic tool for corporate expansion, market consolidation, and competitive advantage. While this transaction is often driven by the pursuit of synergies, cost efficiencies, and enhanced market share, their actual impact on shareholder value remains a subject of significant debate. This study aims to critically assess the influence of mergers and acquisition activities on shareholders wealth by examining financial performance indicators such as stock price movements, earning per share (EPS) and return on equity (ROE) before and after the transaction. Additionally, the research explores factors that determine the success or failures of merger and acquisition deals including corporate governance, cultural integration and economic condition. By analysing case study and empirical data this paper provides insight into how mergers and acquisition strategies can create or decline shareholder wealth. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of merger and acquisition dynamics and offer valuable implications for investors policy makers and corporate decision makers.

Keywords: Mergers and acquisition (M&A), shareholders wealth and efficiency, financial performance, competitive advantage, globalisation.

Introduction

As Mergers and acquisition continue to gain prominence in the corporate landscape, companies analyse the effective on shareholders wealth and overall operational efficiency. Emerging markets like India to become more initiative to adapt Mergers and acquisition (M&A) due to the impact of globalisation and liberalisation to fight for the competitive advantage between the emerging markets (Rani, N., Yadav, S. S., & Jain, P. K. 2015).

This study explores the impact of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) on shareholder wealth, considering financial metrics like stock prices, EPS, and ROE. While M&A aims to drive growth, its success varies, with some deals enhancing value and others driven by managerial motives. Challenges such as cultural misalignment, operational inefficiencies, and economic factors often affect outcomes. Given the limited real-time data analysis in existing studies, this research provides empirical insights to bridge the gap.

Mergers and acquisitions gained significant momentum during the 1960s and 1980s marked by distinct periods of heightened activity, commonly referred to as 'merger waves' these phases are surged in corporate consolidations as companies pursued strategic growth market expansion and competitive advantage (Sugiarto, A, 2000). In today's globalised economy competition is no longer restricted to specific markets but extend across international boundaries. Companies that fail to withstand competitive pressures often face restructuring through takeovers, mergers and acquisitions or other strategic realignments. Mergers and acquisition have become a powerful transformation tool in the corporate world influencing business across various industries. The increasing prevalence of mergers and acquisition transactions is driven by multiple objectives such as enhancing value for acquiring companies and benefiting their stakeholders while many mergers and acquisitions are intended to generate shareholders value, empirical finding indicate that not all such transaction achieve these goals. In certain cases, acquisition is motivated by manager ambitions where executive seek to expand their firm size increase, increase their influence, or secure higher compensation rather than prioritising shareholders interest (Bansal, A., & Almalki, M. A. I. 2020).

Over the past twenty two years the American region has witnessed merger and acquisition transactions amounting to total of $ 2.1 trillion, this substantial figure raises questions about the underlying drivers of mergers and acquisition activity, extensive research has been conducted on this subject highlighting that merges and acquisition motives can be broadly classified into two categories those aimed at minimising value, such as achieving economics of scale and scope and those driven by non value maximising factors such as managerial aspiration for greater control and job security. In the financial sector key triggers for merger and acquisition includes significant regulatory shifts and advantages in technology. Additionally, economic uncertainties as played and major role in fostering industry consolidation and firm risk stability and long-term sustainability (Bashir, A., Sajid, M. R., & Sheikh, S. 2011).

Merger:

A merger is a strategic consolidation of two or more companies into a single entity, aimed at maximizing operational efficiency, expanding market influence, and leveraging combined resources for sustainable growth.

Acquisition:

An acquisition is the strategic takeover of one company by another, where the acquiring firm gains control over assets, operations, and decision-making processes of the target entity. Beyond financial transactions, acquisitions serve as tools for competitive advantage, allowing businesses to enter new markets, enhance innovation, or eliminate industry competition.

Overview

1.1 Background and Rationale

The Indian banking sector has undergone a profound transformation in the last two decades, catalyzed by liberalization, technological advancements, and increasing global integration. Among the most significant strategic maneuvers reshaping this sector are mergers and acquisitions (M&A). These are not merely corporate transactions—they are strategic realignments with deep implications for financial markets, shareholder interests, and economic stability. In India, particularly after the 2008 global financial crisis and more aggressively since the 2017 NPA crisis, M&A activities have been viewed both as lifelines and as opportunities for growth, consolidation, and resilience.

For shareholders, the ultimate concern is value—measured not only by immediate gains in share prices but by long-term wealth enhancement through improved financial performance, dividends, and capital appreciation. The Indian government, via regulatory bodies like the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the Ministry of Finance, has proactively facilitated several major bank consolidations in the public sector. These efforts are often framed as structural reforms intended to build robust, globally competitive financial institutions.

Yet, one must ask: are these M&A transactions delivering tangible wealth to shareholders? Are private banks creating more value than their public counterparts? How does a merger affect the investor confidence, earnings per share (EPS), return on equity (ROE), and market capitalization of a bank before and after the deal?

These are the guiding questions that frame this research.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

This research paper aims to evaluate the influence of mergers and acquisitions on shareholder wealth in the Indian banking sector, with particular focus on:

- Analyzing financial performance indicators (EPS, ROE, stock price) pre- and post-merger.
- Comparing public vs. private sector banks in terms of shareholder value creation post-M&A.
- Assessing the market reaction to major M&A announcements in the banking sector.
- Identifying strategic, cultural, and regulatory factors influencing the success or failure of bank mergers.
- Providing policy insights for investors, financial institutions, and regulators.
- Filling the gap in recent empirical research by offering updated comparative evidence and case-based insights for the Indian banking context.

1.3 Scope and Delimitation

This study is restricted to M&A transactions in the Indian banking industry from the year 2000 to 2023. The scope covers both:

- Public Sector Banks (PSBs) such as State Bank of India (SBI), Bank of Baroda, Punjab National Bank (PNB), etc.
- Private Sector Banks like ICICI Bank, Kotak Mahindra Bank, HDFC Bank, Axis Bank, etc.

The research focuses on transactions where substantial data (pre- and post-merger) are available, and where shareholder reaction (as seen in stock price or market capitalization) can be measured.

1.4 The Rise of M&A in Indian Banking

The wave of bank consolidations began to gain traction in the early 2000s, but the real momentum was seen post-2015. Among notable examples:

- State Bank of India’s merger with its five associate banks and Bharatiya Mahila Bank in 2017 created a behemoth with assets over ₹37 trillion.
- Bank of Baroda’s merger with Dena Bank and Vijaya Bank in 2019 formed India’s third-largest lender.
- ICICI Bank’s acquisition of Bank of Rajasthan in 2010 helped ICICI expand rapidly in Northern India.
- Kotak Mahindra’s acquisition of ING Vysya Bank in 2014 added significant value through customer base expansion and branch network integration.

These mergers have strategic rationales: reducing NPAs, improving capital adequacy, achieving scale, and enhancing service delivery. However, each case holds a distinct outcome in terms of shareholder wealth.

1.5 Why Shareholder Wealth?

In any capital market-driven economy, shareholders are the ultimate owners of corporate entities. They take on risk with the expectation of reward. According to Jensen and Meckling's (1976) agency theory, the interests of shareholders may diverge from those of management. Hence, decisions like M&A, which are complex and resource-intensive, must be evaluated not only on strategic grounds but also on how they influence shareholder returns.

In India, where public sector banks often operate under a dual mandate—profitability and socio-economic development—the effect on shareholders becomes even more complex. Do government-driven mergers dilute value in pursuit of national economic objectives? Or do they offer long-term stability that translates into wealth?

This paper seeks to explore those nuances.

1.6 Research Questions

To fulfill the study's objectives, the following research questions are addressed:

1. How do mergers and acquisitions affect shareholder wealth in India's public and private sector banks?
2. What is the comparative impact on financial indicators such as EPS, ROE, and stock price before and after the merger?
3. How do market participants (investors) react to M&A announcements in Indian banking?
4. What strategic, regulatory, and integration factors influence post-merger outcomes?

1.7 Significance of the Study

This research is particularly relevant today as India's financial sector is once again undergoing regulatory overhauls, digitalization, and consolidation pressures. The findings will provide value to:

- Shareholders and investors seeking evidence-based insights into value creation.
- Banking professionals and analysts who monitor post-merger integration.
- Policy makers and regulators including RBI and SEBI for formulating better frameworks.
- Academic researchers in corporate finance and strategic management.

1.8 M&A Trends in India: Public vs. Private Banks

Public sector mergers have generally been state-driven and aimed at structural reforms. These mergers are often criticized for being politically motivated and executed without due diligence in integration planning.

By contrast, private sector bank mergers tend to be more market-driven, aimed at synergy, growth, or geographical expansion. For instance:

- Kotak Mahindra's acquisition of ING Vysya Bank led to substantial cost savings and branch synergies.
- HDFC Bank's acquisition of Centurion Bank of Punjab improved deposit base and loan book size significantly.

Private bank M&As often show immediate shareholder value creation as stock prices rise post-announcement, whereas PSB M&As generally show mixed results, with value creation lagging until integration is stabilized.

Literature review

mergers and acquisitions (M&A) is vast and spans decades of empirical and theoretical inquiry, particularly around the central theme of shareholder wealth impact. The relevance of this research has grown sharply in the Indian context with the consolidation of both public and private banks. Scholars globally and in India have explored whether these corporate maneuvers deliver value to shareholders, or whether they lead to managerial overreach, inefficiencies, and even wealth destruction.

In India, where state intervention in banking is frequent, and corporate governance standards vary, the importance of evaluating shareholder outcomes becomes critical. This section synthesizes the key theories, global evidence, and Indian case-based studies while integrating real financial data from landmark Indian banking M&As. The goal is to build a foundation for empirical validation and expose the strategic, regulatory, and operational elements that determine whether M&A enhances shareholder wealth.

The idea that mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are powerful tools for driving growth and creating shareholder wealth has been debated for decades. Scholars around the world have examined whether these corporate strategies genuinely reward shareholders or end up doing more harm than good (Jensen & Ruback, 1983). In theory, mergers are meant to unlock synergies, expand market reach, and generate efficiencies that a standalone firm might struggle to achieve. Yet the reality, especially in banking, often turns out to be far more complicated (Moeller, Schlingemann, & Stulz, 2005).

One of the foundational ideas in this area is the agency theory, which suggests that managers don't always act in the best interests of shareholders. Instead, they sometimes pursue mergers for personal ambitions — like empire-building or boosting their own prestige — rather than maximizing value for investors (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). This is echoed by the hubris hypothesis, which argues that managers often overestimate their ability to integrate a new company smoothly, paying too much and reaping too little (Roll, 1986).

Despite these warnings, the synergy hypothesis still gives firms a reason to pursue M&A: if done strategically, combining two businesses can lead to cost savings, higher revenues, or a stronger competitive position (Sirower, 1997). Indeed, global evidence shows that shareholders of the companies being acquired typically come out ahead, enjoying significant premiums when deals are announced (Houston, James, & Ryngaert, 2001). But for acquiring firms, the benefits are often inconsistent — some deals pay off while others destroy value (DeLong, 2001).

This story plays out in interesting ways in the Indian banking sector. India's journey with bank mergers has been shaped as much by market logic as by state intervention. In the early days of liberalization, mergers like ICICI Bank's acquisition of Bank of Madura (2001) or HDFC Bank's takeover of Times Bank (2000) were driven by strategic expansion and looked promising for shareholders (Goyal & Joshi, 2012). But the 2008 global financial crisis was a turning point. It exposed deep vulnerabilities in public sector banks (PSBs) and pushed policymakers to consider mergers as a tool for stabilizing the sector (Jayadev & Sensarma, 2007).

Large-scale consolidations like the State Bank of India's merger with its associate banks and Bharatiya Mahila Bank in 2017, or the Bank of Baroda-Vijaya-Dena merger in 2019, were framed as structural reforms aimed at building stronger banks that could stand shoulder to shoulder with global giants (Basu & Ghosh, 2019; Sarkar & Dutta, 2022). But did these mergers actually deliver wealth for shareholders?

The evidence is mixed. In SBI's case, Basu and Ghosh (2019) observed that key performance indicators like earnings per share (EPS) and return on equity (ROE) dropped significantly in the years immediately after the merger. The reason? Challenges like integrating diverse work cultures, rationalizing overlapping branches, and absorbing bad loans diluted any immediate gains. Similarly, Sarkar and Dutta (2022) found that the stock market often reacted skeptically to state-driven consolidations, with cumulative abnormal returns (CAR) reflecting investor doubts about whether the promised efficiencies would materialize.

Private sector bank mergers, by contrast, tell a more optimistic story. The Kotak Mahindra Bank–ING Vysya Bank merger in 2014 is often seen as a prime example of how strategic fit and careful integration can create real value (Kumar & Suhasini, 2019). Kotak used the deal to expand its presence in Southern India and grow its SME lending portfolio — moves that paid off in the form of higher EPS and ROE within two years. The market recognized this early on, rewarding Kotak's shares with positive abnormal returns once the merger was announced.

HDFC Bank's acquisition of Centurion Bank of Punjab in 2008 is another bright spot. Even though the deal took place during the global financial crisis, HDFC's strong managerial capabilities and robust tech backbone made for a smooth transition (Malhotra & Singh, 2009). The result? Steady improvements in performance metrics and market confidence that stayed intact.

However, the pitfalls of poor planning are just as clear. ICICI Bank's 2010 acquisition of Bank of Rajasthan shows what happens when enthusiasm is not matched by due diligence. Bhattacharyya and Noulas (2011) found that ICICI's profitability slipped and its stock underperformed after the deal — largely because the bank inherited significant non-performing assets (NPAs) and faced cultural mismatches that made integration messy. This case brings the hubris hypothesis to life, showing how overconfidence and an underestimation of risk can erode value for shareholders.

Researchers like Gupta and Mishra (2016) have argued that market reaction to bank mergers depends heavily on how well the deal is communicated. Private banks, they note, tend to be more transparent, spelling out their rationale and integration plans in investor briefings. This proactive approach helps build confidence and stabilize stock prices. In contrast, PSBs have often fallen short on this front, leaving investors in the dark about potential challenges.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

Post-merger integration (PMI) is another area that can make or break a deal's impact on shareholder wealth. Sengupta and Singh (2021) highlight how mismatched cultures and leadership conflicts can drag down the speed and success of integration, especially in PSBs with complex hierarchies. Private banks, on the other hand, have shown how dedicated integration teams, clear timelines, and reskilling programs can accelerate operational alignment (Akhtar & Nosheen, 2022).

In today's banking landscape, technology plays a bigger role than ever in determining whether mergers succeed. Herwadkar, Mathur, and Srivastava (2023) found that banks with strong digital systems were better at unlocking operational synergies and achieving cost efficiencies after a merger. In India, this has real implications: while private banks often have up-to-date tech infrastructure, PSBs sometimes struggle with legacy systems that add to integration costs and timeframes. The presence of non-performing assets adds another layer of complexity. Singh (2025) notes that PSBs often end up absorbing huge amounts of NPAs from weaker banks, which drags down performance metrics like ROE and capital adequacy ratios. The PNB-OBC-United Bank merger is a case in point. Despite its promise to create India's second-largest lender, the merged entity faced capital erosion and investor unease — a reality reflected in its share price decline post-merger (Sarkar & Dutta, 2022).

A broader look at global research reinforces these lessons. Campa and Hernando (2006) showed that domestic bank mergers tend to perform better than cross-border deals because of cultural alignment and clearer regulatory frameworks. Although India has seen relatively few cross-border bank mergers due to policy constraints, the point still stands: the more aligned the merging institutions are in terms of culture and market, the smoother the path to synergy realization.

Newer studies also highlight the importance of fintech readiness. Ogirala, Kaur, and Sahoo (2025) argue that banks that invest in digital capabilities before and during mergers tend to see better shareholder outcomes, partly because they can integrate systems faster and offer seamless customer experiences. For PSBs, catching up on this front is crucial if they want to extract the same value that private banks do. In comparing public and private bank mergers, Bishnoi and Mallik (2024) note that private banks usually go into deals with a clear market rationale, while PSBs often merge under government directives that prioritize systemic stability over immediate shareholder gains. This mismatch often explains why PSB mergers lag in delivering tangible wealth creation, at least in the short to medium term.

In sum, the literature paints a clear picture: while mergers and acquisitions in Indian banking hold the potential to boost shareholder wealth, whether they succeed depends on more than just combining balance sheets. Strategic alignment, cultural fit, technological preparedness, clear communication, and thoughtful integration planning all play decisive roles in whether the promised synergies actually materialize. The mixed evidence from India's banking sector — with private bank mergers generally faring better than state-driven consolidations — underscores the need for future deals to balance policy goals with real, measurable value for shareholders.

This balance will be critical as the sector faces the next wave of digital disruption, changing customer expectations, and global competition.

2.2 Theoretical Frameworks on M&A and Shareholder Wealth

Understanding M&A begins with foundational theories that explain why firms merge and how such actions theoretically impact shareholder value.

2.2.1 Agency Theory

Agency theory, as proposed by Jensen and Meckling (1976), suggests that managers do not always act in the best interests of shareholders. M&A decisions may reflect managerial self-interest, such as empire-building, rather than value creation. In Indian public sector banks, where state influence overrides market logic, such concerns are especially relevant.

For instance, the 2020 merger of Punjab National Bank (PNB) with Oriental Bank of Commerce and United Bank of India raised concerns of forced integration with weak banks, potentially diluting shareholder wealth. Indeed, PNB's stock fell 6.8% in the week following the merger announcement, reflecting investor skepticism (NSE, 2020).

2.2.2 Synergy Hypothesis

The synergy theory asserts that the combined entity should be more valuable than the sum of the parts (Sirower, 1997). This may arise from cost reductions, increased revenues, or enhanced market power. In banking, synergies are often realized via branch rationalization, improved technology adoption, or diversification of the customer base.

The merger of Kotak Mahindra Bank and ING Vysya in 2014 provides strong evidence of synergy-based value creation. Post-merger, Kotak's EPS rose from ₹21.38 (FY 2014) to ₹26.70 (FY 2016) and ROE improved from 13.7% to 15.9% (Kotak Annual Reports, 2014–2016), suggesting operational and financial efficiencies were indeed achieved.

2.2.3 Hubris Hypothesis

Roll's (1986) hubris hypothesis contends that managers overestimate their abilities and overpay for acquisitions, leading to a destruction of value. This is especially relevant when acquirers pursue growth for prestige or scale without due diligence. The ICICI Bank–Bank of Rajasthan (2010) merger exemplifies this.

Post-merger financial data indicates that ICICI's EPS dropped from ₹34.40 in FY2010 to ₹24.70 in FY2011, and stock returns underperformed the Nifty Bank Index by 7% in the year following the acquisition (ICICI

International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Annual Reports, 2010–2011). Despite integration, NPAs inherited from Bank of Rajasthan overwhelmed projected synergies, validating Roll's hypothesis.

2.3 Global Literature: Evidence on Shareholder Wealth Impact

Extensive global research has established that target bank shareholders almost always benefit, while acquiring banks show variable outcomes depending on deal structure, market conditions, and strategic fit.

2.3.1 Target vs. Acquirer Performance

- Jensen and Ruback (1983): Found average stock price gains of 25–30% for target firms, but negligible or even negative returns for acquirers.
- Moeller, Schlingemann, and Stulz (2005): In a study of over 12,000 M&As, they reported that acquiring firm shareholders lost $240 billion collectively between 1998–2001 due to overpriced acquisitions.

2.3.2 Relevance to India

These patterns are partially mirrored in India. In the HDFC Bank–Centurion Bank of Punjab merger (2008), Centurion shareholders saw a 38% price spike, while HDFC Bank shares fell 2.2% on announcement (BSE Data, 2008). However, EPS for HDFC rebounded within two years, suggesting temporary dilution.

2.4 Mergers and Shareholder Wealth in Indian Public Sector Banks

In India, public sector bank (PSB) mergers are largely initiated by the government to stabilize weaker banks and create institutions that can compete on a global scale. However, their impact on shareholder wealth is mixed, with short-term erosion often offset by long-term stability (Basu & Ghosh, 2019; Bhaskar & Mahapatra, 2017).

2.4.1 SBI and Associate Banks Merger (2017)

This was the largest bank merger in Indian history, bringing together the State Bank of India (SBI) with five associates and Bharatiya Mahila Bank.

Metric	FY 2016 (Pre-Merger)	FY 2018 (Post-Merger, Year 1)
EPS	₹18.60	₹0.92
ROE	9.2%	0.4%
Share Price Change (1-year post)	-17.5%	

Source: SBI Annual Reports 2016–2018

Despite the strategic rationale, the merger initially diluted shareholder wealth. Share price dropped due to provisioning for bad assets and integration challenges (RBI, 2019).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

Basu and Ghosh (2019) noted that although NPA resolution and cost optimization improved by FY2020, the wealth impact for retail shareholders remained weak for over two years.

2.4.2 Bank of Baroda – Dena Bank – Vijaya Bank Merger (2019)

Announced in 2018 and implemented in 2019, this merger aimed to consolidate **regional strengths** and boost efficiency.

Metric	FY 2018 (Pre)	FY 2020 (Post)
EPS	₹13.20	₹6.84
ROE	8.9%	4.2%
Market Cap Erosion (1 yr post)	-₹6,000 crores	

Source: BOB Annual Reports, NSE Data

The market punished BoB for perceived risks. According to Sarkar and Dutta (2021), investor confidence was hurt due to lack of synergy, legacy NPAs, and equity dilution.

2.4.3 Punjab National Bank – OBC – United Bank Merger (2020)

This government-driven merger created India's **second-largest lender**.

Metric	FY 2019 (Pre)	FY 2021 (Post)
EPS	₹8.71	₹3.10
ROE	7.4%	2.8%
Share Price Movement (3 months post)	-11.3%	

Source: PNB Annual Reports 2019–2021

The post-merger performance was weak, and shareholders faced significant dilution, reflecting investor skepticism. Ghosh and Sinha (2020) attribute this to cultural mismatches, overlapping branches, and legacy asset stress.

2.5 M&A and Shareholder Wealth in Indian Private Sector Banks

Private sector bank M&As in India are typically market-driven, with clearer strategic intent, and tend to generate better shareholder outcomes (Malhotra & Singh, 2009; Kumar & Suhasini, 2019).

2.5.1 ICICI Bank – Bank of Rajasthan (2010)

This merger was intended to help ICICI expand in North India, but **integration proved costly**.

Metric	FY 2010	FY 2011
EPS	₹34.40	₹24.70
ROE	14.3%	10.1%

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

Stock Return (1 year)	-7%	

Source: ICICI Annual Reports, NSE Data

Despite geographical advantages, hidden NPAs and weak internal controls at Bank of Rajasthan led to underperformance. According to Bhattacharyya and Noulas (2011), the deal exemplifies hubris hypothesis behavior.

2.5.2 Kotak Mahindra – ING Vysya (2014)

A strategic move to enter Southern India and boost SME lending.

Metric	FY 2014	FY 2016
EPS	₹21.38	₹26.70
ROE	13.7%	15.9%
Share Price Growth (2 years post)	+28%	

Source: Kotak Annual Reports, BSE Data

Integration was smooth, thanks to similar organizational cultures. Kumar and Suhasini (2019) highlight this case as textbook strategic synergy success.

2.5.3 HDFC Bank – Centurion Bank of Punjab (2008)

This merger added 400 branches and improved deposit mobilization.

Metric	FY 2007	FY 2009
EPS	₹34.70	₹39.25
ROE	17.2%	19.1%
Stock Growth (2 years post)	+24.6%	

Source: HDFC Bank Annual Reports, NSE

The merger was well-absorbed by markets. Malhotra and Singh (2009) noted this deal had minimal overlap, good communication with shareholders, and fast integration—a best practice case.

Pre- and Post-Merger Financial Indicators

Bank Merger	EPS (Pre)	EPS (Post)	ROE (Pre)	ROE (Post)	Shareholder Wealth Trend
SBI + Associates	₹18.60	₹0.92	9.2%	0.4%	Negative (Short-term)
BOB + Dena + Vijaya	₹13.20	₹6.84	8.9%	4.2%	Negative
PNB + OBC + United	₹8.71	₹3.10	7.4%	2.8%	Negative

International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

ICICI + BoR	₹34.40	₹24.70	14.3%	10.1%	Negative
Kotak + ING Vysya	₹21.38	₹26.70	13.7%	15.9%	Positive
HDFC + Centurion	₹34.70	₹39.25	17.2%	19.1%	Positive

Public sector bank M&As tend to underperform in terms of shareholder wealth creation in the short term, mainly due to legacy asset quality issues, government-mandated structures, and poor integration mechanisms. In contrast, private bank mergers are better planned, more strategic, and deliver measurable value to shareholders—both in market perception and financial performance.

2.6 Comparative Review: Public vs. Private Sector Bank M&As in India

2.6.1 Strategic Intent and Market Response

The strategic motivations for mergers in public vs. private banks differ fundamentally. Public sector banks are typically merged for macro-policy reasons—such as stabilizing weaker banks, enhancing systemic solvency, and reducing NPAs (Government of India, 2020). In contrast, private bank mergers focus on synergy, expansion, and shareholder value maximization.

Gupta and Mishra (2016) conducted a comparative study of five major M&A transactions in India and found that private banks demonstrated superior post-merger ROE and stock performance. For instance, while Kotak's merger with ING Vysya led to sustained stock growth, the BoB-Dena-Vijaya consolidation saw capital erosion despite a larger customer base.

2.6.2 Operational Efficiency and Governance

Private banks typically operate with leaner structures and better technology, making integration smoother. According to Narayanaswamy and Raghunandan (2018), private banks also enjoy greater autonomy in deal negotiations, leading to a better strategic fit and faster synergy realization.

In contrast, public bank mergers are often burdened by bureaucratic hurdles, redundant workforces, and weak governance practices. For example, after the SBI merger, more than 1,000 overlapping branches had to be rationalized, causing customer dissatisfaction and integration delays (SBI Annual Report, 2018).

2.6.3 Shareholder Sentiment and Transparency

Transparency in merger communication plays a vital role in investor confidence. ICRA (2020) found that private banks were more proactive in disclosing merger rationales, expected synergies, and integration timelines, contributing to favorable stock market responses. In contrast, government announcements regarding PSB mergers were often vague, leading to stock volatility and negative investor sentiment.

2.7 Human Factors in Post-Merger Integration

M&A is not just a financial event—it is a human and organizational transformation. Cultural mismatch, resistance to change, and leadership conflict can significantly delay or derail synergy realization (Banerjee, 2019; Sengupta & Singh, 2021).

In their study of three major Indian bank mergers, Sengupta and Singh (2021) found that post-merger employee morale dipped by 20–30%, especially in PSBs. This affected branch-level service delivery and customer retention.

In contrast, Kotak Mahindra Bank appointed cross-functional integration teams post-ING Vysya merger and retrained over 1,500 branch staff within six months. Such efforts contributed to faster cultural assimilation and operational continuity.

2.8 Regulatory Landscape and Policy Interventions

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has played a central role in regulating M&A activity through its guidelines under the Banking Regulation Act, 1949. Over the last decade, RBI has made efforts to ease the merger process, particularly for private sector banks.

2.8.1 Evolving Guidelines

- In 2016, RBI allowed voluntary mergers of private banks without the need for prior central government approval (RBI Circular, 2016).
- In 2020, SEBI and RBI collaborated to issue uniform disclosure guidelines to protect minority shareholders during mergers.

Mukherjee and Dash (2021) found that post-2016 reforms led to a 30% increase in private bank merger proposals, demonstrating regulatory facilitation.

2.8.2 Government-Driven Consolidation

For public sector banks, however, the Department of Financial Services (DFS) under the Ministry of Finance remains the primary driver. This often results in mergers being executed top-down, with limited market feedback.

Sarkar and Dutta (2022) argue that such policy-driven mergers are often not aligned with shareholder interests and lead to temporary erosion in market capitalization, as seen in the PNB-OBC-United merger.

Research Methodology

A well-defined methodology is essential to ensure the credibility and reliability of any empirical research. In this study, the research methodology is constructed to examine how mergers and acquisitions influence shareholder wealth in the Indian banking sector, with a particular focus on both public and private sector institutions. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches, this section outlines the tools, techniques, sources of data, sampling criteria, and limitations that guide this research (MacKinlay, 1997; Kothari, 2004).

3.2 Research Objectives Revisited

This study is structured around the following primary objectives, designed in alignment with shareholder value theory and merger performance frameworks:

1. To assess the financial performance of merged Indian banks by comparing key indicators such as Earnings Per Share (EPS), Return on Equity (ROE), and stock price trends before and after the merger (Ghosh, 2001; Healy, Palepu, & Ruback, 1992).
2. To analyze shareholder wealth effects by observing market responses to merger announcements using the event study method (Jensen & Ruback, 1983).
3. To compare the post-merger outcomes between public sector and private sector banks in India (Gupta & Mishra, 2016).
4. To explore the strategic, cultural, and regulatory influences on merger integration and long-term shareholder value (Banerjee, 2019; Sengupta & Singh, 2021).

3.3 Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining descriptive and causal-comparative strategies to explore both the quantitative and qualitative dimensions of mergers and acquisitions.

The descriptive component captures financial patterns and shareholder wealth trends across time, while the causal-comparative aspect helps to analyze the relationship between the merger event and changes in shareholder value, such as profitability and stock market behavior (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

A mixed-methods approach is particularly appropriate in banking research, as both hard financial indicators and soft integration factors—such as organizational culture and governance—can influence post-merger success (DeLong, 2001; Roll, 1986).

3.4 Sample Selection and Case Coverage

This research examines six landmark merger and acquisition events in the Indian banking sector that occurred between 2007 and 2020. These cases were selected based on their significance, scale, and the availability of consistent financial and market data. The sample includes both public and private sector mergers to provide a comprehensive comparative analysis (Rani, Yadav, & Jain, 2015).

Sector	Acquiring Bank	Target Bank(s)	Merger Year
Public Sector	State Bank of India	Associate Banks + Bharatiya Mahila Bank	2017
Public Sector	Bank of Baroda	Dena Bank + Vijaya Bank	2019
Public Sector	Punjab National Bank	Oriental Bank of Commerce + United Bank	2020
Private Sector	ICICI Bank	Bank of Rajasthan	2010
Private Sector	Kotak Mahindra Bank	ING Vysya Bank	2014
Private Sector	HDFC Bank	Centurion Bank of Punjab	2008

These cases were selected to reflect a diversity of institutional characteristics and shareholder profiles, consistent with the approach taken by Basu and Ghosh (2019) and Bhattacharyya and Noulas (2011).

3.5 Data Sources and Collection

The study relies exclusively on secondary data, all sourced from verified, publicly available repositories and corporate disclosures.

Primary Financial Data Sources:

- Stock prices and market performance data were obtained from the National Stock Exchange (NSE) and Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) archives (NSE India, 2022).
- Annual reports of the respective banks, both pre-merger and post-merger, provided EPS, ROE, net profit, and total asset figures (ICICI Bank, 2010–2011; Kotak Mahindra Bank, 2014–2016; SBI, 2016–2018).
- Merger announcements and official press releases were retrieved from the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Ministry of Finance portals (SEBI, 2020; Government of India, 2020).

Secondary Sources:

- Reserve Bank of India (RBI) financial stability reports and sectoral analysis bulletins (RBI, 2019).

- Academic studies, peer-reviewed articles, and working papers on Indian bank mergers (Malhotra & Singh, 2009; Kumar & Suhasini, 2019).

3.6 Key Financial Indicators Used

This research focuses on the following financial indicators to measure the impact on shareholder wealth:

- **Earnings Per Share (EPS)**: A widely recognized profitability metric that reveals how much profit is attributable to each outstanding share (Verma & Aggarwal, 2017).
- **Return on Equity (ROE)**: Reflects how efficiently a bank is using shareholders' capital to generate earnings (Ghosh & Sinha, 2020).
- **Stock Price Movements**: Used as a direct proxy for shareholder sentiment and wealth change (Jensen & Ruback, 1983).
- **Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CAR)**: Evaluates the short-term stock market reaction to merger announcements using event study methodology (MacKinlay, 1997).

3.7 Event Study Methodology

The event study method is an econometric technique used to assess the impact of specific events—in this case, M&A announcements—on stock prices.

As per MacKinlay (1997), this methodology is particularly effective in measuring the immediate market response, helping investors and researchers understand whether the announcement is perceived as value-enhancing or value-destructive.

Steps for Implementation:

1. Event Date Identification: The date when the merger announcement was made publicly.
2. Event Window: A 21-day window is used, covering 10 days before to 10 days after the event date. This window is in line with international best practices (Moeller, Schlingemann, & Stulz, 2005).
3. **Normal Return Estimation**: A market model is applied, where expected return is calculated using historical stock data and market indices (BSE Sensex or Nifty Bank Index).

$R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{mt} + \epsilon_i$

4. **Abnormal Return Calculation**: The difference between the actual return and the estimated return.
5. **Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)**: Aggregation of abnormal returns across the entire event window to reflect total shareholder impact (Campbell, Ghosh, & Sirmans, 2001).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

A positive CAR suggests the market expects the merger to increase shareholder wealth, while a negative CAR indicates anticipated value erosion.

3.8 Analytical Tools Used

- Microsoft Excel was used for computing financial ratios, graphing EPS/ROE trends, and constructing pre- and post-merger comparison tables.
- SPSS and R software were used for statistical validation of CAR and ROE differentials (where applicable).
- When needed, Eventus software provided automated calculation of cumulative abnormal returns, as used in academic finance studies (DeLong, 2001).

3.9 Ethical Considerations

- The study does not involve any human participants, personal interviews, or confidential information, and therefore does not require ethical review board approval.
- All data is retrieved from publicly accessible, legally compliant sources, ensuring full transparency and academic integrity.
- To avoid confirmation bias, both positive and negative outcomes of mergers are reported and interpreted objectively (Creswell, 2014).

3.10 Limitations of the Methodology

While the methodology is robust, certain limitations are acknowledged:

- Event study methods capture short-term investor sentiment, but may not fully reflect the long-term integration and synergy realization outcomes (Healy et al., 1992).
- The influence of external macroeconomic factors, such as RBI monetary policy or global financial instability, could affect stock prices independently of M&A news (RBI, 2020).
- In some cases, particularly with public sector banks, accounting standard changes and capital infusion may distort year-on-year comparisons of EPS and ROE (Basu & Ghosh, 2019).

Financial Calculations – Shareholder Wealth Metrics

ICICI Bank – Bank of Rajasthan (Merger Year: 2010)

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

- Pre-Merger (FY 2009–10): ₹34.40
- Post-Merger (FY 2010–11): ₹24.70

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

- Change: Decrease of ₹9.70 (–28.2%)

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

- Pre-Merger ROE (FY 2009–10): 14.3%
- Post-Merger ROE (FY 2010–11): 10.1%
- Change: –4.2% decline

3. Stock Price Movement

- Day before announcement: ₹894.50 (NSE: May 17, 2010)
- Day after announcement: ₹864.10
- Immediate Reaction: –3.4% drop

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days)

- Expected Return Benchmark: Nifty Bank
- Actual CAR for ICICI Bank: –5.5% over 21-day window

(Computed using market-adjusted model)

The ICICI–BoR merger was perceived negatively by investors. Short-term wealth erosion was caused by the acquisition of a weaker bank with unresolved NPAs (Bhattacharyya & Noulas, 2011). Long-term stabilization occurred, but initial signals showed managerial overreach, aligning with Roll's (1986) hubris hypothesis.

Kotak Mahindra Bank – ING Vysya Bank Merger (2014)

Merger Announcement Date: November 20, 2014
Effective Date: April 1, 2015
Reference Sources**:** Kotak Mahindra Bank Annual Reports (2014–2016); NSE India (2014–2016); Kumar & Suhasini (2019)

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Year	**EPS (₹)**
FY 2013–14 (Pre)	₹21.38
FY 2015–16 (Post)	₹26.70

Change: +₹5.32
Growth: +24.9% increase in EPS within two years of the merger.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

Year	ROE (%)
FY 2013–14 (Pre)	13.7%
FY 2015–16 (Post)	15.9%

Change: +2.2% ROE improvement

Kotak Mahindra reported a higher ROE post-integration, suggesting efficient deployment of shareholder capital.

3. Stock Price Movement

Date	NSE Closing Price
Day Before (Nov 19, 2014)	₹981.50
Day After (Nov 21, 2014)	₹1,043.10

Immediate Reaction: +₹61.60 increase or +6.3**%** within a single trading day. This reflects positive investor sentiment, consistent with strategic synergy expectations.

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days from Nov 20, 2014)
Benchmark Index: Nifty Bank Index

- Kotak Stock Return (21-day actual): +9.4%
- Nifty Bank Return (21-day expected): +2.1%
- CAR: Actual - Expected = 9.4\% - 2.1\% = +7.3%

+7.3% CAR indicates significant short-term wealth creation for shareholders.

The merger allowed Kotak to expand into southern India, gain a stronger SME portfolio, and increase its deposit base. Smooth integration, cultural alignment, and proactive communication led to high market approval (Kotak Annual Report, 2016; Kumar & Suhasini, 2019). This case demonstrates the synergy hypothesis (Sirower, 1997), where scale and operational overlap produced real gains. According to the event study literature, a CAR greater than +5% is considered strongly positive, reinforcing that shareholders expected long-term value enhancement (MacKinlay, 1997; Moeller et al., 2005).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

HDFC Bank – Centurion Bank of Punjab Merger (2008)

Merger Announcement Date: February 23, 2008

Effective Date: May 23, 2008

Reference Sources: HDFC Bank Annual Reports (2007–2009); NSE India; Malhotra & Singh (2009)

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Year	EPS (₹)
FY 2006–07 (Pre)	₹34.70
FY 2008–09 (Post)	₹39.25

Change: +₹4.55

EPS Growth: +13.1%

HDFC Bank managed to increase earnings per share, indicating accretive profitability following the merger.

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

Year	ROE (%)
FY 2006–07 (Pre)	17.2%
FY 2008–09 (Post)	19.1%

Change: +1.9%

ROE improvement reflects strong post-merger capital productivity.

3. Stock Price Movement

Date	NSE Closing Price
Day Before (Feb 22, 2008)	₹1,411.50
Day After (Feb 25, 2008)	₹1,377.00

Short-Term Reaction: –₹34.50 drop or –2.4%, possibly due to market-wide volatility during the 2008 global crisis.

Despite the slight drop, the market stabilized quickly due to positive merger expectations.

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days from Feb 23, 2008)

Market Benchmark**:** Nifty Bank Index

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

- HDFC Bank Return (21-day actual): –1.2%
- Nifty Bank Return (expected): –4.3%
- CAR: –1.2%–(–4.3%)=+3.1%

Despite global recession fears, the merger outperformed the market, showing investor confidence.

The merger gave HDFC Bank access to over 400 new branches, a diversified customer base, and increased geographical reach. Smooth transition and strong post-merger performance align with findings from Malhotra and Singh (2009), who noted successful staff integration and minimal disruption. The merger supports the synergy hypothesis and efficient market response described in Jensen & Ruback (1983) and MacKinlay (1997). Long-term ROE and EPS growth validate the decision as a shareholder wealth-enhancing transaction, even during a bearish macroeconomic cycle.

State Bank of India (SBI) – Merger with 5 Associate Banks and Bharatiya Mahila Bank (2017)

Merger Announcement Date: February 15, 2017
Effective Date: April 1, 2017
Reference Sources: SBI Annual Reports (2016–2018); NSE India; Basu & Ghosh (2019); RBI Reports

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Year	EPS (₹)
FY 2015–16 (Pre)	₹18.60
FY 2017–18 (Post)	₹0.92

Change: –₹17.68
EPS Drop: –95.1%

This massive drop was due to provisioning for bad loans inherited from associate banks and merger-related expenses.

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

Year	ROE (%)
FY 2015–16 (Pre)	9.2%
FY 2017–18 (Post)	0.4%

Change: –8.8%
SBI's ROE was almost wiped-out post-merger, reflecting capital inefficiency in the short term.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

3. Stock Price Movement

Date	NSE Closing Price
Day Before (Feb 14, 2017)	₹278.60
Day After (Feb 16, 2017)	₹271.90

Short-Term Reaction: –₹6.70 or –2.4%, indicating negative investor sentiment.

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days from Feb 15, 2017)

Market Benchmark: Nifty Bank Index

- SBI Return (21-day actual): –5.1%
- Nifty Bank Return (expected): +0.8%
- CAR: –5.1%–0.8%=–5.9%

CAR of –5.9% clearly shows shareholder wealth destruction in the short term.

SBI's acquisition of associate banks and Bharatiya Mahila Bank was policy-driven, not strategic. Integration challenges, redundant branches, and inherited NPAs overwhelmed short-term performance (Basu & Ghosh, 2019). This case supports agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976) where managerial or government goals may diverge from shareholder interests. The hubris hypothesis (Roll, 1986) may also apply due to underestimation of integration complexity.

Bank of Baroda – Merger with Dena Bank and Vijaya Bank (2019)

Merger Announcement Date: September 17, 2018

Effective Date: April 1, 2019

Reference Sources: Bank of Baroda Annual Reports (2018–2020); NSE India; Sarkar & Dutta (2022); RBI Financial Reports

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Year	EPS (₹)
FY 2017–18 (Pre)	₹13.20
FY 2019–20 (Post)	₹6.84

Change: –₹6.36

EPS Drop**:** –48.2%

The decline was driven by elevated credit costs and integration-related expenses, particularly from Dena Bank's poor asset quality.

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

Year	**ROE (%)**
FY 2017–18 (Pre)	8.9%
FY 2019–20 (Post)	4.2%

Change**:** –4.7%

Signifies a substantial decline in shareholder return efficiency.

3. Stock Price Movement

Date	**NSE Closing Price**
Day Before (Sep 14, 2018)	₹123.20
Day After (Sep 18, 2018)	₹116.75

Short-Term Reaction: –₹6.45 or –5.2%, indicating investor disappointment.

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days from Sep 17, 2018)

Benchmark: Nifty Bank Index

- BoB Actual Return (21-day): –4.9%
- Nifty Bank Return (expected): +1.1%
- CAR: –4.9%–1.1%=–6.0%

The CAR of –6.0% indicates short-term shareholder wealth destruction.

While Bank of Baroda's merger was promoted as creating a "stronger third-largest bank," the synergies were not immediately visible. Investors reacted to capital dilution risks, legacy NPAs, and uncertainty in post-merger governance. Sarkar and Dutta (2022) noted that Dena Bank's insolvency risk dragged down the merger's financial appeal. This case again supports agency theory and highlights the risks of top-down consolidation without strategic alignment or shareholder-centric due diligence.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

Punjab National Bank (PNB) – Merger with Oriental Bank of Commerce (OBC) and United Bank of India (UBI)

Merger Announcement Date: August 30, 2019

Effective Date: April 1, 2020

Reference Sources: PNB Annual Reports (2018–2021); NSE India; Ghosh & Sinha (2020); RBI Reports; Sarkar & Dutta (2022)

1. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Year	EPS (₹)
FY 2018–19 (Pre)	₹8.71
FY 2020–21 (Post)	₹3.10

Change: –₹5.61

EPS Drop: –64.4%

Indicates profit dilution, attributed to merger costs, stressed legacy assets, and high provisioning.

2. Return on Equity (ROE)

Year	ROE (%)
FY 2018–19 (Pre)	7.4%
FY 2020–21 (Post)	2.8%

Change: –4.6%

Indicates lower efficiency in shareholder capital usage post-merger.

3. Stock Price Movement

Date	NSE Closing Price
Day Before (Aug 29, 2019)	₹64.70
Day After (Sep 2, 2019)	₹57.36

Short-Term Reaction: –₹7.34 or –11.3%, one of the worst short-term reactions in this sample.

4. Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR)

Event Window: (–10, +10 days from Aug 30, 2019)

Benchmark: Nifty Bank Index

- PNB Actual Return (21-day): –8.8%

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

- Nifty Bank Return (expected): +1.6%
- CAR: –8.8%–1.6%=–10.4%

CAR of –10.4% is a strong negative signal, indicating significant short-term shareholder wealth destruction.

PNB's acquisition of OBC and UBI was government-mandated and aimed at reducing fragmentation and improving capital adequacy, but was financially disruptive. As Sarkar and Dutta (2022) noted, market participants viewed this as "a forced absorption of bad loans." Cultural misalignment, technology overlap, and massive workforce redundancies added to integration complexity. This merger clearly illustrates the agency conflict (Jensen & Meckling, 1976) where state objectives diverged from shareholder value maximization. The hubris hypothesis (Roll, 1986) may also apply as risks were underestimated and synergy projections were overly optimistic.

Pre- and Post-Merger Shareholder Wealth Metrics (All Six Banks)

Bank Merger	EPS (Change)	ROE (Change)	CAR (%)	Initial Stock Reaction
ICICI – Bank of Rajasthan (2010)	–28.2%	–4.2%	–5.5%	–3.4%
Kotak – ING Vysya (2014)	+24.9%	+2.2%	+7.3%	+6.3%
HDFC – Centurion Bank (2008)	+13.1%	+1.9%	+3.1%	–2.4%
SBI – Associate Banks (2017)	–95.1%	–8.8%	–5.9%	–2.4%
BoB – Dena & Vijaya (2019)	–48.2%	–4.7%	–6.0%	–5.2%
PNB – OBC & United Bank (2020)	–64.4%	–4.6%	–10.4%	–11.3%

Private sector bank mergers (Kotak–ING, HDFC–Centurion) led to positive shareholder wealth outcomes, especially evident in EPS, ROE, and CAR. Public sector mergers, especially those involving weaker banks (SBI, BoB, PNB), resulted in significant short-term value erosion. Market reactions (CAR and price drops) clearly reflect investor confidence or skepticism.

4. Overview of the Indian Banking Sector

The Indian banking sector has transitioned from a rigid, state-controlled framework to a dynamic, competitive, and technology-driven environment. This transformation, spanning over three decades, has been marked by structural reforms, policy shifts, digitalization, and, more recently, a surge in mergers and acquisitions. As financial intermediaries, Indian banks are now not only expected to serve as custodians of savings but also as engines of shareholder wealth and national economic development.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

Recent studies have emphasized that the sector is entering a new phase—one defined by consolidation, competition, and collaboration. With financial institutions managing over ₹200 trillion in assets and serving a billion-plus population, mergers are no longer just strategic—they are necessary for survival and scalability (Bishnoi & Mallik, 2024; Herwadkar et al., 2023).

4.2 Structural Changes and Institutional Composition

4.2.1 Sector Overview

As of 2024, India's banking system comprises 12 public sector banks (PSBs), 21 private sector banks, 43 foreign banks, and hundreds of cooperative and regional rural banks. Public sector banks hold over 60% of the total banking assets, while private banks control about 35%, up from less than 10% in 2000. This shift reflects liberalization-era policy reforms, capital adequacy enforcement, and investor preference for agile, transparent institutions (Minz & Bhowmik, 2024).

4.2.2 Post-Merger Bank Landscape

Following the 2017–2020 consolidation wave, public banks were restructured to form larger, better-capitalized entities. For example:

- SBI merged with five associate banks and Bharatiya Mahila Bank in 2017.
- Punjab National Bank merged with OBC and United Bank of India in 2020.
- Bank of Baroda merged with Vijaya Bank and Dena Bank in 2019.

These mergers aimed to enhance scale, reduce costs, and clean balance sheets, but empirical evaluations have shown mixed short-term outcomes in terms of profitability and efficiency (Herwadkar et al., 2023; Sarkar & Dutta, 2022).

4.3 Competition, Efficiency, and Market Dynamics

4.3.1 Competitive Structure Post-Consolidation

According to Bishnoi and Mallik (2024), the sector has evolved into a more competitive format post-consolidation, though the benefits have been uneven. Larger institutions have capitalized on their size and digital leverage, whereas smaller banks—especially those lacking fintech capacity—struggled to compete. Using Boone and Lerner indices, they showed a gradual decline in market power concentration, indicating increased competition and efficiency.

4.3.2 Operational Efficiency Post-Merger

Herwadkar et al. (2023) evaluated efficiency changes in acquiring banks using a 20-year dataset and concluded that while technical efficiency increased by over 5% on average, the gains were more pronounced in private-

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

sector driven M&As. In public-sector mergers, bureaucratic resistance and legacy system incompatibilities diluted potential operational benefits.

Furthermore, Neeraj Kumar et al. (2019) found that mergers based on strategic alignment—rather than regulatory compulsion—achieved superior cost-to-income ratios and higher profitability in the second post-merger year.

4.4 Profitability, Return Ratios, and Shareholder Focus

4.4.1 Earnings Performance and ROE

Minz and Bhowmik (2024) observed that while post-merger Return on Equity (ROE) improved across several cases, such as Bank of Baroda and SBI, the underlying drivers were often not sustainable. Their analysis using a modified DuPont framework revealed that ROE growth stemmed more from expense cuts and tax benefits than from actual revenue expansion or asset productivity.

The study suggested that long-term shareholder value hinges not just on EPS improvement, but also on sustained loan growth, NIM expansion, and digital customer acquisition—none of which are guaranteed in public sector mergers.

4.5 Technological Integration and FinTech Challenges

Technological agility is now a decisive factor in post-merger performance. Ogirala et al. (2025) highlight that fintech adoption has improved administrative efficiency by 2–3% annually for private banks. However, public banks still lag in AI, API integration, and mobile-first banking services.

This digital asymmetry has implications for mergers. When acquirers possess modern digital ecosystems and targets do not, IT system integration becomes costlier and more time-consuming, sometimes eroding initial merger gains (Herwadkar et al., 2023).

4.6 Human Capital, Governance, and Cultural Fit

While balance sheets can be merged, people and cultures require time to align. Several studies, including those by Sengupta and Singh (2021), report a 20–30% decline in employee morale following public bank mergers. This is often due to role overlaps, leadership ambiguity, and disruptions in HR systems.

In contrast, mergers like Kotak–ING Vysya were successful partly due to similar management philosophies and incentive structures, which smoothed integration and preserved shareholder confidence (Kumar & Suhasini, 2019).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

4.7 Key Sectoral Challenges Shaping M&A Strategy

Challenge	Impact on M&A Strategy
High NPA Ratios	Impairs post-merger asset quality
Capital Adequacy Requirements	Drives consolidation to meet Basel III norms
Technological Mismatch	Raises integration costs
Cultural and HR Misalignment	Reduces post-merger productivity
Regulatory Interference (PSBs)	Dilutes market-driven efficiency

(Sarkar & Dutta, 2022; Ghosh & Sinha, 2020)

India's banking sector, particularly in the post-liberalization period, has become a crucible of reform, competition, and structural evolution. Mergers are no longer isolated financial events; they are strategic responses to systemic, technological, and shareholder-driven imperatives. Private banks often merge to capture growth and synergy. In contrast, public bank consolidations are designed to stabilize the system, even if short-term shareholder value suffers.

As the sector prepares for more digital-first, customer-centric banking models, future mergers must emphasize strategic fit, technological alignment, and governance compatibility—or risk becoming fiscal exercises with minimal impact.

5. Case-Based Comparative Analysis of Shareholder Wealth

Mergers and acquisitions in banking are among the most strategic decisions a firm can undertake. Beyond operational consolidation and product expansion, the true litmus test of a merger's success lies in its ability to enhance shareholder wealth. Shareholder value, particularly in publicly listed institutions, reflects investor confidence in management's decision-making, perceived synergies, integration capabilities, and expected future performance.

Financial metrics such as Earnings Per Share (EPS) and Return on Equity (ROE) provide insight into the internal performance of the merged entity. In contrast, Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR) evaluates investor sentiment by comparing stock price movements against expected market performance. This section uses these three indicators to compare six major Indian bank mergers, segmented into private and public sector categories, and draws on empirical evidence from recent academic studies.

5.2 Private Sector Bank Mergers

5.2.1 Kotak Mahindra Bank and ING Vysya (2014)

This merger exemplifies a market-driven consolidation, designed to expand Kotak Mahindra Bank's footprint in southern India and absorb ING's strong SME lending portfolio. The deal was structured with a share swap (725 Kotak shares for every 1,000 ING shares), ensuring minimal capital dilution and preserving investor value.

Panigrahi, Biswal, and Sahoo (2014) found a CAR of 13.48% in the 15-day post-announcement period, with an additional 10.33% appreciation over 75 days—strong evidence of positive market reception. The bank's EPS increased by 24.9%, supported by cost rationalization and cross-selling opportunities. ROE improved by 2.2%, showing better capital utilization post-merger.

What makes this case academically important is that it validates the synergy hypothesis, which argues that mergers based on strategic alignment, rather than financial distress or regulation, are more likely to create shareholder wealth.

5.2.2 HDFC Bank and Centurion Bank of Punjab (2008)

This deal was undertaken by HDFC Bank to enhance its branch network and customer base in northern India. Centurion Bank of Punjab had a wide presence but struggled with profitability. The acquisition allowed HDFC to scale operations while deploying superior managerial practices and technology.

Rangan (2013), in an EVA-based study, showed that HDFC created economic value within one year of the merger. The EPS grew by 13.1%, and ROE increased by 1.9%, despite macroeconomic volatility during the global financial crisis. The positive CAR of 3.1% indicates that even during periods of external uncertainty, well-executed mergers can boost investor confidence.

This case supports value creation theories of M&A, emphasizing that timely integration and strong pre-existing fundamentals mitigate merger-related risks.

5.2.3 ICICI Bank and Bank of Rajasthan (2010)

Contrary to the earlier cases, this merger was marred by regulatory intervention and asset quality concerns. Bank of Rajasthan had legacy NPAs and faced scrutiny from the Reserve Bank of India. While ICICI aimed to increase its branch network, the lack of due diligence and incompatible operational cultures weakened the post-merger scenario.

Bhattacharyya and Noulas (2011) observed a negative CAR of –4.23%, a 28.2% drop in EPS, and a 4.2% decline in ROE. These figures illustrate that even in the private sector, shareholder value can erode if strategic motives are unclear and risks are underestimated.

International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Academically, this supports the hubris hypothesis (Roll, 1986), where acquiring firms overestimate potential benefits and underestimate integration costs.

5.3 Public Sector Bank Mergers

5.3.1 State Bank of India and Associate Banks (2017)

This was the largest-ever merger in Indian banking, aimed at reducing duplication and improving capital adequacy. However, the merger was largely driven by the government's systemic stabilization strategy rather than market signals. Integration challenges included overlapping branches, misaligned HR systems, and inherited bad loans.

Jayadev and Sensharma (2007) highlighted that public bank mergers historically show negative returns for acquirers, driven by bureaucratic inertia and weak post-merger governance. Our analysis reflects this: EPS dropped by 95.1%, ROE fell by 8.8%, and the market posted a –5.9% CAR, confirming shareholder dissatisfaction.

This merger is often cited in public finance literature as a cautionary tale of policy-driven integration without profitability metrics guiding the strategy.

5.3.2 Bank of Baroda, Dena Bank, and Vijaya Bank (2019)

This tripartite merger was driven by a government mandate, with the objective of cleaning balance sheets and scaling operations. However, Dena Bank's high NPA ratio (above 16% at the time) significantly dragged down the consolidated entity's post-merger performance.

Balasubramanian (2022) found that only minor improvements in cost efficiency were achieved, and that profitability metrics deteriorated post-merger. The EPS declined by 48.2%, and ROE dropped by 4.7%. With a –6.0% CAR, markets reflected pessimism about long-term value creation.

This case supports the agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976), where decisions made by policy-makers may diverge from shareholder interests, especially in state-owned firms.

5.3.3 Punjab National Bank, Oriental Bank of Commerce, and United Bank (2020)

Announced during a period of heightened credit stress, this merger aimed to consolidate three struggling PSBs into India's second-largest bank by asset size. However, it faced challenges such as legacy NPAs, technology mismatches, and redundant human resources.

Sarkar and Dutta (2022) reported a CAR of –10.4%, making it the worst-performing among recent PSB mergers. EPS dropped by 64.4%, and ROE decreased by 4.6%. These outcomes suggest that consolidation without underlying strategic rationale or profitability safeguards can result in severe shareholder wealth erosion.

This merger exemplifies how integration risks and lack of investor alignment can cancel out any expected scale benefits.

5.4 Comparative Insights Table

Merger	EPS Change	ROE Change	CAR (%)	Academic Insight
Kotak – ING Vysya (2014)	+24.9%	+2.2%	+13.5%	Strategic alignment; synergy hypothesis confirmed
HDFC – Centurion (2008)	+13.1%	+1.9%	+3.1%	Value creation (EVA positive)
ICICI – Bank of Rajasthan (2010)	–28.2%	–4.2%	–4.23%	Hubris and poor strategic fit
SBI – Associate Banks (2017)	–95.1%	–8.8%	–5.9%	Policy-driven; short-term value erosion
BoB – Dena/Vijaya (2019)	–48.2%	–4.7%	–6.0%	Weak asset absorption; limited efficiency gain
PNB – OBC/United Bank (2020)	–64.4%	–4.6%	–10.4%	Worst outcome; integration and governance failure

6. Strategic Interpretations and Policy Recommendations

6.1 Strategic Advantages from Private Sector Bank Mergers

6.1.1 Capital Structure and Financial Efficiency

Private sector mergers such as Kotak Mahindra–ING Vysya and HDFC Bank–Centurion succeeded largely because they strengthened capital structure and unlocked financial efficiency. Kurada et al. (2023) found that post-merger private banks saw an average increase of 15–18% in equity-to-asset ratios and 7–10% improvement in capital adequacy ratios, enhancing risk absorption capacity and investor confidence. These structural benefits were often complemented by gains in net interest margins (NIM) and cost-to-income ratios, suggesting that the merged entities became leaner and more profitable within two years.

Additionally, improved capital leverage allowed these banks to expand credit portfolios and raise low-cost deposits, both of which directly contributed to higher earnings per share (EPS)—a key shareholder metric. In contrast to public sector consolidations, which often struggled with capital infusion and dilution, private bank mergers used strategic financial structuring to preserve and even enhance shareholder returns.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

6.1.2 Cultural Synergy and Digital Integration

Human capital and technology are often overlooked in financial analyses but are among the most critical enablers of post-merger success. Akhtar and Nosheen (2022) emphasize that mergers in the private sector succeed when organizational cultures align and digital infrastructures are harmonized early. In Kotak–ING Vysya's case, the cultural overlap led to 25–30% faster integration, while shared values and compatible leadership styles minimized internal resistance.

Furthermore, technological convergence—such as shared APIs, common core banking systems, and integrated mobile platforms—allowed private banks to maintain customer continuity, reduce downtime, and accelerate cost synergies. This translated not only to improved operational efficiency but also to a positive market perception, as seen in strong CARs and ROE post-merger.

6.2 Strategic Shortcomings in Public Sector Mergers

6.2.1 Regulatory versus Commercial Compulsion

Public sector bank (PSB) mergers have been primarily driven by government mandates, rather than shareholder value creation. Puskar and Mishra (2024) analyzed profitability data for merged PSBs from 2017 to 2022 and found no statistically significant improvement ($p > 0.05$) in ROA or ROE across most entities. Their findings confirmed that while regulatory motives—like stabilizing weak banks and improving capital adequacy—were achieved in part, the merged entities did not become more profitable or efficient.

Moreover, the market responded tepidly to these mergers. Shareholder sentiment, as measured by Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CAR), remained negative in nearly all major PSB mergers analyzed. This aligns with the findings of Sarkar and Dutta (2022), who argued that politically guided mergers lack strategic incentives and suffer from bureaucratic delays, hampering their ability to generate tangible returns.

6.2.2 Legacy NPAs and Integration Drag

One of the core impediments to PSB merger success lies in the absorption of non-performing assets (NPAs) from weaker institutions. Singh (2025), in a study of the Allahabad Bank–Indian Bank merger, reported that although net NPA levels dropped to 0.43% post-merger, the bank's return on assets (ROA) and net profit margin remained stagnant due to the cost of provisioning and operational overhaul.

This "integration drag" includes challenges such as incompatible IT systems, workforce redundancies, and variations in credit appraisal systems. Unlike private mergers that complete integration within 12–18 months, PSBs often take 36–48 months to align operations, leading to opportunity costs and investor fatigue. These delays are further exacerbated by limited flexibility in HR and IT modernization due to state ownership constraints.

6.3 Cross-Sector Comparison and Theoretical Insights

Metric/Factor	Private Sector Mergers	Public Sector Mergers
EPS/ROE Improvement	+15–25% within 1–2 years (Kurada et al., 2023)	–30% to –90% EPS drop; flat ROE (Puskar & Mishra, 2024)
Market Response (CAR)	+3% to +13% (Panigrahi et al., 2014; Rangan, 2013)	–5% to –10.4% (Sarkar & Dutta, 2022)
Cultural & HR Integration	Completed in <18 months (Akhtar & Nosheen, 2022)	Delay >3 years due to bureaucracy (Singh, 2025)
Technology Integration	Swift API and CBS unification	Legacy mismatches and cost overruns
Strategic Autonomy	High (market-led decisions)	Low (government-driven policy)

This comparative framework reinforces that private sector M&As operate under principles of strategic alignment and value optimization, while PSB mergers are burdened by non-market constraints, making shareholder value creation more difficult and slower.

6.4 Policy Recommendations

6.4.1 Foster Market-Led Consolidation

Mergers must be commercially justified, not policy-imposed. Akhtar and Nosheen (2022) recommend providing banks autonomy in partner selection, deal structure, and integration strategy. Regulators should shift their role from initiator to facilitator by offering conditional tax incentives, liquidity support, and faster regulatory clearances to market-driven M&As.

6.4.2 Mandate Structured Post-Merger Integration (PMI) Plans

Singh (2025) proposed mandatory submission of PMI blueprints that include clear timelines for systems alignment, HR restructuring, and performance metrics. Such frameworks would allow performance-based accountability and reduce integration delays, which currently plague PSB mergers.

6.4.3 Rationalize Ownership and Capital Rules

As per Kirby et al. (2023), India's banking ownership rules—particularly the shareholding cap of 10% for non-promoter entities—should be revisited. Permitting strategic foreign or institutional stakes in merged entities can improve governance standards, bring in global best practices, and enhance capital efficiency, particularly for restructured public sector banks.

Private sector bank mergers in India have successfully demonstrated how strategic fit, financial clarity, and cultural compatibility lead to real gains in shareholder wealth. They enhance EPS, improve ROE, and receive positive market validation. In contrast, PSB mergers, while important for systemic stability, often fail to deliver commercial success due to regulatory inertia, NPA legacy, and slow integration.

Going forward, the Indian banking regulator and government should adopt a hybrid strategy—one that continues systemic consolidation but ensures it is backed by economic rationale, integration planning, and investor-focused outcomes.

Conclusion

The analysis of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in the Indian banking sector reveals a dual narrative—one of strategic excellence in private sector banks and one of systemic responsibility in public sector consolidations. Drawing from multiple case-based evaluations, empirical performance indicators, and validated event-study methodologies, it is evident that the shareholder wealth effects of bank mergers are highly contingent on the nature of the merger, underlying motivation, and execution efficiency.

Private bank mergers such as Kotak Mahindra–ING Vysya and HDFC–Centurion have demonstrated how strategic alignment, cultural compatibility, and digital synergy can generate consistent positive Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CAR) and sustained improvement in EPS and ROE (Panigrahi et al., 2014; Rangan, 2013). These cases validate the synergy hypothesis, emphasizing that shareholder wealth can be maximized when M&As are executed for scale, market penetration, and operational synergy rather than regulatory compliance.

On the other hand, public sector bank (PSB) mergers like those involving SBI, Bank of Baroda, and Punjab National Bank show that despite achieving systemic objectives such as recapitalization and market stabilization, short-term shareholder value often suffers, reflected in deeply negative CARs and deteriorated profitability metrics (Sarkar & Dutta, 2022; Puskar & Mishra, 2024). This reinforces the argument that top-down, policy-driven consolidation, if not followed by strategic post-merger integration planning, risks destroying market value (Singh, 2025).

Importantly, this research confirms that shareholder wealth is not merely a function of balance sheet size or regulatory mandate, but rather the product of how effectively a merger is conceptualized, structured, and executed within a competitive and technology-driven banking environment (Kurada et al., 2023; Akhtar & Nosheen, 2022).

7.2 Limitations

While this study offers a comprehensive empirical and theoretical examination, certain limitations must be acknowledged:

1. Time Frame Constraints: Some mergers, especially recent public sector consolidations like PNB–OBC–United Bank (2020), have not reached full post-merger maturity. As a result, long-term performance outcomes remain speculative, limiting the scope of definitive judgment (Singh, 2025).

2. Data Availability: Due to constraints in segmental data disclosure, particularly among PSBs, disaggregated shareholder-level returns and pre-merger debt segmentations were not fully available, leading to reliance on consolidated financial reports and proxy indicators (Puskar & Mishra, 2024).

3. Event Study Limitations: Although event study methodologies are widely accepted for short-term impact analysis, they may not fully capture the strategic integration outcomes or long-run market adjustments, especially in economies with semi-strong market efficiency (Jayadev & Sensharma, 2007).

4. Macroeconomic and Policy Shocks: The performance of merged entities can be influenced by exogenous shocks such as changes in interest rates, inflation, or banking regulations. Such macroeconomic variables were beyond the scope of this firm-level M&A analysis (Kurada et al., 2023).

This study reinforces the imperative for merger rationality, not just merger activity. Indian banking M&As will continue to shape the competitive and operational landscape in the coming decade. For this transformation to be meaningful, policy-makers must balance financial stability with shareholder prosperity, and banks must prioritize strategic clarity over reactive restructuring.

Only when mergers are treated not as fiscal remedies but as opportunities for institutional renewal and value creation, will the Indian banking sector move from consolidation to transformation.

References:

1. Rani, N., Yadav, S. S., & Jain, P. K. (2015). Impact of Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) on shareholders' wealth in the short run: An event study approach. *Vikalpa*, *40*(3), 293-312
2. Bansal, A., & Almalki, M. A. I. (2020). The perception of the impacts of mergers and acquisitions on shareholders' value in the banking sector. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, *9*(4), 2829-2839
3. Bashir, A., Sajid, M. R., & Sheikh, S. (2011). The impact of mergers and acquisitions on shareholders wealth: evidence from Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of scientific research*, *8*(1), 261-264
4. Sugiarto, A. (2000). *The effect of mergers and acquisitions on shareholder returns* (Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Technology).

5. Akhtar, N., & Nosheen, S. (2022). Organizational culture and merger performance: Evidence from Indian private banks. *Asian Journal of Banking and Finance*, 12(4), 67–83.

6. Balasubramanian, S. (2022). Efficiency and profitability post public sector bank mergers in India: A ratio and event study analysis. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 16(3), 44–59.

7. Banerjee, R. (2019). Cultural integration in Indian bank mergers. *Journal of Banking Strategy*, 14(3), 112–125.

8. Bansal, A., & Bansal, S. (2014). Shareholder returns from public sector bank mergers in India. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 2(4), 44–61.

9. Basu, S., & Ghosh, S. (2019). Consolidation in public sector banks: Impact on performance. *RBI Occasional Papers*, 40(2), 29–54.

10. Akhtar, N., & Nosheen, S. (2022). Organizational culture and merger performance: Evidence from Indian private banks. *Asian Journal of Banking and Finance, 12*(4), 67–83.

11. Bhattacharyya, A., & Noulas, A. (2011). Evaluating ICICI Bank's acquisition of Bank of Rajasthan: A synergy illusion? *Indian Journal of Finance, 5*(8), 22–31.

12. Bishnoi, R., & Mallik, G. (2024). Market power and competition in the Indian banking sector: Evidence from the post-merger period. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance, 23*(1), 37–56.

13. Campa, J. M., & Hernando, I. (2006). M&A performance in the European financial industry. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 30*(12), 3367–3392.

14. Cornett, M. M., Tehranian, H., & Tehranian, H. (1992). Changes in corporate performance associated with bank acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics, 31*(2), 211–234..

15. Ghosh, S., & Sinha, R. (2020). Post-merger performance of Indian public sector banks: A shareholder perspective. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting, 12*(2), 58–72.

16. Goyal, K. A., & Joshi, V. (2012). M&A in Indian banking sector: A case of ICICI–Bank of Rajasthan. *Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management, 2*(6), 234–247.

17. Gupta, A., & Mishra, R. (2016). Impact of M&A on financial performance: Evidence from Indian banking sector. *Amity Business Review, 17*(1), 29–44.

18. Herwadkar, S., Mathur, S., & Srivastava, R. (2023). Efficiency effects of bank mergers: Evidence from Indian banking using a two-stage DEA approach. *Applied Economics, 55*(9), 974–995.

19. Houston, J. F., James, C. M., & Ryngaert, M. D. (2001). Where do merger gains come from? Bank mergers from the perspective of insiders and outsiders. *Journal of Financial Economics, 60*(2–3), 285–331.

20. Jayadev, M., & Sensarma, R. (2007). Mergers in Indian banking: An empirical analysis. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Bankers, 78*(2), 20–28.

21. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics, 3*(4), 305–360.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

22. Jensen, M. C., & Ruback, R. S. (1983). The market for corporate control: The scientific evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics, 11*(1–4), 5–50.

23. Kumar, A., & Suhasini, R. (2019). Impact of M&A on financial performance: A study of Kotak Mahindra Bank–ING Vysya Bank merger. *International Journal of Management Studies, 6*(3), 45–59.

24. Lensink, R., & Maslennikova, I. (2008). Value creation and synergies in mergers and acquisitions: Empirical evidence from the European banking industry. *Journal of Banking Regulation, 10*(2), 103–115.

25. Malhotra, R., & Singh, B. (2009). The impact of mergers on shareholders' wealth: Evidence from India. *Management and Labour Studies, 34*(1), 1–22.

26. Moeller, S. B., Schlingemann, F. P., & Stulz, R. M. (2005). Wealth destruction on a massive scale? A study of acquiring-firm returns in the recent merger wave. *Journal of Finance, 60*(2), 757–782.

27. Ogirala, R., Kaur, N., & Sahoo, S. (2025). Fintech integration in Indian bank mergers: Operational synergies and shareholder wealth. *Journal of Banking Technology & Finance, 7*(1), 45–62.

28. Roll, R. (1986). The hubris hypothesis of corporate takeovers. *Journal of Business, 59*(2), 197–216.

29. Sarkar, S., & Dutta, A. (2022). Mergers of Indian public sector banks: Have they delivered? *Economic & Political Weekly, 57*(32), 53–60.

30. Sengupta, D., & Singh, S. (2021). Post-merger integration challenges in Indian banks: A cultural perspective. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 34*(3), 561–579.

31. Sirower, M. L. (1997). *The synergy trap: How companies lose the acquisition game*. Free Press.

32. Singh, N. (2025). The impact of NPAs on post-merger performance in Indian banking: An event study analysis. *Finance India, 39*(1), 76–94.

33. Berger, A. N., Demsetz, R. S., & Strahan, P. E. (1999). The consolidation of the financial services industry: Causes, consequences, and implications for the future. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 23(2–4), 135–194.

34. Bhaskar, U., & Mahapatra, M. (2017). State-driven bank mergers in India: Evaluating effectiveness. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 52(11), 55–62.

35. Bhattacharyya, A., & Noulas, A. (2011). Evaluating ICICI Bank's acquisition of Bank of Rajasthan: A synergy illusion? *Indian Journal of Finance*, 5(8), 22–31.

36. Bishnoi, R., & Mallik, G. (2024). Market power and competition in the Indian banking sector: Evidence from the post-merger period. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance*, 23(1), 37–56.

37. Campbell, T. S., Ghosh, C., & Sirmans, C. F. (2001). The great banking consolidation: Do shareholders benefit? *Journal of Financial Research*, 24(2), 185–204.

38. Chatterjee, A., & Paul, R. (2023). Cross-bank synergy assessment: Evidence from India. *South Asian Economic Journal*, 24(1), 12–35.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04847

39. Chaudhuri, S. (2014). Financial sector reforms and bank consolidation in India: A critical appraisal. *Journal of Economic Policy and Research*, 10(1), 33–52.

40. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

41. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

42. DeLong, G. L. (2001). Stockholder gains from focusing versus diversifying bank mergers. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 59(2), 221–252.

43. Dutta, S. (2021). Do mergers in public banking affect retail investors? *Finance India*, 35(2), 222–240.

44. Economic Times. (2018). ICICI Bank's strategic realignments under Chanda Kochhar. Retrieved from https://economictimes.indiatimes.com

45. Economic Times. (2020). PNB shares fall post merger with OBC, United Bank. Retrieved from https://economictimes.indiatimes.com

46. Ghosh, A. (2001). Does operating performance really improve following corporate acquisitions? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 7(2), 151–178.

47. Ghosh, S., & Sinha, R. (2020). Post-merger performance of Indian public sector banks: A shareholder perspective. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 12(2), 58–72.

48. Government of India. (2020). *Framework for consolidation of public sector banks*. Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services.

49. Goyal, K. A., & Joshi, V. (2012). M&A in Indian banking sector: A case of ICICI–Bank of Rajasthan. *Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management*, 2(6), 234–247.

50. Gupta, A., & Mishra, R. (2016). Impact of M&A on financial performance: Evidence from Indian banking sector. *Amity Business Review*, 17(1), 29–44.

51. Healy, P. M., Palepu, K. G., & Ruback, R. S. (1992). Does corporate performance improve after mergers? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 31(2), 135–175.

52. Herwadkar, S., Mathur, S., & Srivastava, R. (2023). Efficiency effects of bank mergers: Evidence from Indian banking using a two-stage DEA approach. *Applied Economics*, 55(9), 974–995.

53. Houston, J. F., James, C. M., & Ryngaert, M. D. (2001). Where do merger gains come from? Bank mergers from the perspective of insiders and outsiders. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 60(2–3), 285–331.

54. ICRA. (2020). *Bank merger impact study: PSBs vs. private banks*. Retrieved from https://www.icra.in

55. Jayadev, M., & Sensharma, R. (2007). Mergers in Indian banking: An empirical analysis. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Bankers*, 78(2), 20–28.

56. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.

57. Jensen, M. C., & Ruback, R. S. (1983). The market for corporate control: The scientific evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 11(1–4), 5–50.

58. Kaplan, S. N., & Weisbach, M. S. (1992). The success of acquisitions: Evidence from divestitures. *The Journal of Finance*, 47(1), 107–138.

59. Kirby, J., Mehta, S., & Rao, P. (2023). Reforming Indian banking ownership structures: Global lessons and local needs. *International Review of Financial Regulation*, 9(1), 23–45.

60. Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques* (2nd ed.). New Age International.

61. Kotak Mahindra Bank. (2014–2016). *Annual Reports*. Retrieved from https://www.kotak.com

62. KPMG. (2015). *The ING Vysya–Kotak Mahindra Bank Merger: Integration strategy and outlook*. Retrieved from https://assets.kpmg

63. Kurada, M., Kumar, S., & Nayak, B. (2023). Financial performance analysis of Indian private sector banks post-merger. *Journal of Financial Management*, 15(2), 91–108.

64. Kumar, S., & Suhasini, P. (2019). Synergy gains from mergers in the Indian private banking sector. *Journal of Financial Management*, 7(2), 78–90.

65. MacKinlay, A. C. (1997). Event studies in economics and finance. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(1), 13–39.

66. Malhotra, R., & Singh, B. (2009). The HDFC Bank–Centurion Bank of Punjab merger: A case of successful integration. *Indian Journal of Banking and Finance*, 2(1), 22–31.

67. Mehta, N., & Kakani, R. K. (2020). Market reaction to M&A announcements in Indian banking. *Indian Institute of Management Working Paper*, IIM-B WP No. 633.