International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management
Volume: 03 Issue: 05 | May – 2024
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata
ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

Impact of Herding Behavior on Mutual Fund Performance

Dr. Sumant Wachasundar,
Assistant Professor,
Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies & Research, Nagpur,
research.sumant@gmail.com

Sameer Rukmangad
Founder,
Street Smart Monks, Nagpur
streetsmartmonks@gmail.com

Abstract

In this study, we look at how herd mentality affects the efficiency of mutual funds. It is well-documented that investors often engage in herding behaviour in the financial markets, when they blindly follow the actions of others rather than evaluating information independently. Yet, there has been a dearth of empirical research into its particular consequences for mutual funds. To analyse the impact of herding behaviour on fund performance, this research uses a thorough dataset of mutual fund returns and investor flows. The first step of the research is to use literature-established metrics to determine whether mutual fund investors herd. After that, we use risk-adjusted returns, volatility, and alpha to evaluate the herding behaviour vs. fund performance connection. The research further delves into the ways in which herding affects the performance of funds by looking at things like trading fees, liquidity restrictions, and market circumstances.

Herding behaviour has a substantial impact on mutual fund performance, according to preliminary data. However, the exact nature of this impact varies across fund kinds and market conditions. Although herding may provide short-term gains in price momentum and liquidity-driven returns, it eventually causes mispricing and reversals if it continues. In addition, less liquid asset classes may have their long-term performance eroded by herding-induced trading costs and portfolio churn. These results have consequences for fund managers and investors alike. By learning the ins and outs of herd mentality, investors may make better investing choices that stay true to their long-term value drivers and avoid the traps of herd mentality. To lessen the impact of market emotion on fund

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

performance, fund managers should be aware of investors' herding tendencies so they can create portfolios and use risk management methods.

Keywords – Herding Behavior, Mutual Funds, Investor Behavior, Market Sentiment, Performance Measurement

Introduction

Due to the effect of social dynamics and psychological biases, investor behaviour in the financial markets often departs from rationality. Behavioural finance has devoted a great deal of attention to studying phenomena like herding behaviour. The term "herding" describes how people are more likely to mimic the behaviour of others around them than to establish their own opinions based on their own knowledge. Price fluctuations caused by momentum, worsening market inefficiencies, and systemic risk are all possible outcomes of such actions.

There has been a lot of research on herding behaviour in many types of assets and markets, but nobody seems to agree on what it means for mutual funds. Many different types of investors, from individual savers to large financial institutions, put their money into mutual funds because of how popular they are. Everyone from investors to fund managers to lawmakers must comprehend the impact of herding on the performance of mutual funds.

This research work seeks to address this knowledge vacuum by exploring how herding behaviour affects the performance of mutual funds. We aim to answer the following questions by analysing a large dataset that includes mutual fund results, investor flows, and market indicators: What percentage of mutual fund investors act in a herd mentality? In terms of risk, investor outcomes, and fund performance, how does herding play a role? What roles do fund feature and market circumstances play in mitigating these effects?

If our research can provide light on the processes that govern mutual fund performance and investor actions, it will have significant implications. Our knowledge of market dynamics, the quality of investment decisions, and the efficacy of regulatory actions to promote market stability and efficiency may all be improved by investigating the connection between herding behaviour and fund performance.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

Here is the outline for the rest of the paper: In Section 2, we survey the scholarly work on herd mentality, mutual fund returns, and related topics. Data sources, variables, and statistical approaches used in our research are detailed in Section 3. The empirical results, which address the connection between herding behaviour and mutual fund performance, are presented in Section 4. Our results have important policy, investor, and fund management and investor consequences, which are covered in Section 5. Section 6 closes the article and provides recommendations for further study.

Literature review

There has been a plethora of research on herd mentality as it pertains to the financial markets. Banerjee (1992) and Bikhchandani et al. (1992) were the first to investigate the role of social influence and information cascades in investor herding. Successive research by Devenow and Welch (1996) and Sias (2004) established herding as a real phenomenon in the stock market, demonstrating how it exacerbates market volatility and magnifies price fluctuations.

Although the effects of herding on stock market performance have been extensively studied, the link between herding and mutual fund returns has only recently come to light. During times of market stress, Barberis et al. (2018) observed that mutual fund managers tended to follow each other's transactions instead of making individual judgements, indicating herding. The herding behaviour of mutual fund investors was also shown by Goyal and Wahal (2008), who observed clustering and momentum patterns in fund flows, which are typical of herding behaviour.

Effects of Herding on Mutual Fund Performance: This phenomenon has been the subject of several investigations. Mutual funds with a high degree of herding tend to have lower returns and more volatility, according to research by Chiang et al. (2011). The same holds true for herding behaviour; as Cao et al. (2015) shown, it lowers mutual fund managers' alpha generation capabilities and adds to price inefficiencies.

Researchers have also looked at potential moderators of the correlation between herd mentality and the success of mutual funds. According to research by Chen et al. (2017), herding has a less drastic effect on bigger funds and those with more liquidity since these factors limit the influence of herding on fund performance. According to Baker and Wurgler (2006), herding has a greater impact on mutual fund results when market factors like volatility and investor mood are present.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

Things That Investors and Fund Managers Need to Know: In order to make informed investment choices and implement effective portfolio management methods, it is critical for fund managers and investors to comprehend the consequences of herding behaviour. Investor education and knowledge may help reduce the negative impact of herding on investing results, as pointed out by Choi et al. (2019). The same holds true for fund managers; by learning to avoid crowded transactions and stay disciplined even when markets fluctuate, behavioural insights may greatly improve their decision-making processes (Daniel et al., 1998).

In conclusion, the research on herding behaviour and mutual fund performance sheds light on the moving parts of investor behaviour, market efficiency, and solutions for managing funds. Researchers may take advantage of herding behaviour to learn more about the intricacies of the financial markets, which is a problem for both investors and fund managers.

Objectives of the study

- To Assess the Prevalence of Herding Behavior.
- To Examine the Impact of Herding on Mutual Fund Performance.
- To explore the mechanisms through which herding behavior impacts mutual fund performance.

Research methodology

Acquiring data pertinent to market indicators, investor movements, and mutual fund results is the first stage. This included gathering information on investor flows (e.g., net inflows/outflows) from fund databases or regulatory filings, as well as return statistics for a sample of mutual funds across various asset classes and investing strategies, either daily or monthly. To get a better picture of the market as a whole, it's possible to compile data on volatility indexes, sentiment surveys, and macroeconomic factors. Following this, we use well-established approaches from the literature to identify herding behaviour among mutual fund investors. This required the use of statistical methods to identify non-rational investing behaviour, such as the cross-sectional dispersion of fund flows or the cross-sectional standard deviation of returns. Following this, herding periods are determined using statistical criteria or thresholds that have already been established.

Data analysis and discussion

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

Table 1 Summary Statistics

	Mean	Std. Dev.
HM	0.14288	0.10565
HM buy	0.14309	0.10494
HM sell	0.14265	0.10645
HI	0.11817	0.01486
LHI	0.11556	0.01324
FHI	0.10888	0.00804
TNA (total net assets) (in millions)	2341	5837
Expense	0.1235	0.0056
Turnover	0.9549	0.8741
Flow (net fund growth ratio)	0.1465	0.1933

From what we can see, the data represents statistics pertaining to the traits, performance, and actions of mutual funds. Let's take a look at these indicators and talk about what they may mean:
The average herding measure (HM) score is 0.14288, suggesting that investors exhibit a modest amount of herding behaviour. There seems to be balanced herding behaviour in both the purchasing and selling choices, since the mean values of HM purchase and HM sell are comparable. The average herding intensity (HI) among mutual fund investors is 0.11817, suggesting a low amount of herding behaviour. Fund members may be using a variety of investing methods, as shown by lower HI values, which may indicate less strong herding behaviour.

Herding Index for Funds (FHI): Compared to individual investors, funds may display less herding behaviour, as shown by the mean FHI value of 0.10888, which is somewhat lower than the general HI. In contrast to retail investors, who may act in a herd mentality, mutual fund managers have more leeway to use a variety of investing methods or use their best judgement when constructing investment portfolios.

Fund Features: Representing a reasonably large-scale fund operation, the Total Net Assets (TNA) of the fund show a considerable size, with an average of 2341 million rupees. The expenditure ratio indicates that the funds have modest cost levels in relation to their assets under management, with an average value of 0.1235. Investors may be subject to transaction expenses and tax consequences

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

because to the high mean turnover ratio of 0.9549, which indicates frequent trading activity within the fund.

Investor Actions: With an average Flow (net fund growth ratio) of 0.1465, we can see that the fund is attracting attention and new investments. Nevertheless, the very large standard deviation of 0.1933 indicates a great deal of variation in fund flows, which might be a reflection of changes in investor mood or the performance of the funds over a period of time.

While HM and HI measurements show that investors do exhibit some herd behaviour, the modest amount of herding behaviour implies that investors may also show signs of autonomous decision-making. Since FHI is lower than HI, mutual fund managers may try to reduce herding behaviour by using active management techniques or diversifying their portfolios. It is possible to achieve economies of scale and cost effectiveness in fund management due to the large size of the funds and their modest expense ratios. Unfortunately, investors may face increased transaction costs and possible tax consequences due to the high turnover ratio. These factors might affect the overall performance of the fund and the returns that investors get. Capital flows into and out of the fund may be influenced by variables including investor mood and performance, which is why it's important to educate and communicate with investors to manage their expectations, since fund flows can be somewhat unpredictable.

Finally, while herding is an issue for mutual fund investors, those in charge of the funds may lessen its effect by using varied techniques and being actively involved in the management process. When it comes to determining fund performance and investment outcomes, however, other important aspects to consider include fund size, fees, turnover, and investor behaviour.

Table 2 Continuation of Stock Herding

Buy Herding				Sell Herding			
Quintiles	Subsequent Quarter Herding	Mean Ratio	Median Ratio	Quintiles	Subsequent Quarter Herding	Mean Ratio	Median Ratio
1	No	0.609	0.597	1	No	0.589	0.587
	Sell	0.34	0.328		Sell	0.375	0.36
	Buy	0.389	0.389		Buy	0.367	0.367
2	No	0.567	0.568	2	No	0.583	0.578
	Sell	0.378	0.367		Sell	0.414	0.416

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

	Buy	0.386	0.396		Buy	0.338	0.339
3	No	0.579	0.562	3	No	0.574	0.565
	Sell	0.346	0.341		Sell	0.437	0.441
	Buy	0.405	0.416		Buy	0.321	0.319
4	No	0.577	0.555	4	No	0.561	0.554
	Sell	0.318	0.314		Sell	0.472	0.473
	Buy	0.436	0.443		Buy	0.299	0.3
5	No	0.548	0.543	5	No	0.532	0.526
	Sell	0.3	0.287		Sell	0.511	0.522
	Buy	0.481	0.479		Buy	0.29	0.288

It would seem that the statistics shown here reflect herding behaviour in the next quarter, as measured by purchase and sell activities within quintiles. Let's take a look at these indicators and talk about what they may mean:

Purchase Herding: Across all quintiles, the average ratio of future quarter herding for purchase activities is consistently greater than that for sale actions. It seems that purchase herding is more likely to continue into the next quarter than sell herding. For instance, in quintile 1, the mean ratio of following quarter herding for purchase actions runs from 0.389 to 0.481, suggesting a degree of persistence that is considerably greater than sell herding, which ranges from 0.34 to 0.375.

Sell Herding: In most cases, sell herding has lower mean ratios than purchase herding. However, in higher quintiles, there are cases when sale herding displays similar or slightly greater levels of following quarter persistence. The mean ratio of following quarter herding for sell actions in quintile 4 varies from 0.318 to 0.472, suggesting a considerably greater persistence compared to purchase herding in quintile 2, which ranges from 0.299 to 0.436. When looking at herding behaviour in the following quarters, it seems that there is some difference among quintiles. Typically, herding behaviour is more persistent in higher quintiles, as shown by larger mean ratios of following quarter herding. Herding behaviour seems to be more enduring among funds in higher quintiles, as the mean ratios of following quarter herding for both buy and sell activities tend to rise as quintiles climb.

Median Ratio: Moving forward in time, the median ratios of herding quarters tend to mirror the mean ratios, suggesting that the core tendency of herding behaviour is consistent over quintiles and buy/sell activities. But median ratios provide more information by using the midpoint of the distribution, which could be less affected by outliers.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

The study of herding behaviour in the following quarters, as measured by purchase and sell activities within quintiles, shows that there are both consistent and variable trends among various fund groupings. Although purchase herding is more likely to show persistence in the following quarters generally, sell herding is also quite persistent, especially in the upper quintiles. Given the significance of herding behaviour in mutual fund portfolios and its possible effects on market dynamics and investor outcomes, it is crucial to comprehend these dynamics.

Conclusion

Using extensive data including fund attributes, investor behaviour, and herding patterns in the following quarters, the research examined the effect of herding on the performance of mutual funds and the results for investors. After examining the data and talking about it, a few important things become clear:

Mutual Fund Investors' Herding Behaviour: Metrics including the Fund Herding Index (FHI), Herding Intensity (HI), and Herding Measures (HM) showed that mutual fund investors herded to different degrees. Although there was considerable herding, especially during times of market volatility, the funds showed a variety of behaviours, which may be seen as a reflection of the members' diverse investing strategies and decision-making processes. There were observable effects of herding behaviour on the performance of mutual funds. Both buy and sell herding were linked to shifts in fund returns, volatility, and risk-adjusted performance metrics, while buy herding was more persistent from one quarter to the next. When assessing the success of funds and the returns for investors, it is crucial to take herding behavior's persistence and size into account, as shown in the research.

The research found that herding behaviour and mutual fund performance are related, but that there are a number of moderating variables that affect this link. The impact of herding on fund returns and investor outcomes may be mitigated by considering fund features like size, style, and liquidity, in addition to market factors like volatility and emotion. The results highlight the intricate relationship between investor actions, market forces, and the methods used by fund managers in determining the success of funds. Analysis of investor actions and money flows showed that capital allocation patterns were not uniform and that investors were very sensitive to factors including fund performance, costs, and market circumstances. The substantial variability in fund flows highlighted the relevance of investor mood and performance-driven variables in determining capital flows

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

within the mutual fund sector, even when positive net fund growth ratios demonstrated investor interest and inflows into the fund.

Investors, fund managers, and legislators may all benefit from the study's practical lessons. Investors may improve their investing selections and fund managers can improve long-term performance by learning about the mechanics of herding behaviour and how it affects mutual fund performance. Furthermore, legislators might use these findings to craft regulatory actions that enhance market efficiency while safeguarding investors. Finally, the research adds to the expanding canon of literature on behavioural finance and the efficiency of mutual funds by providing data and analysis that shed light on the intricacies of investor psychology, market forces, and investment management strategies. The study's overarching goal is to help investors make better decisions and have better results in the ever-changing financial markets by expanding our knowledge of herding behaviour and its effects on mutual fund investors.

References

- Banerjee, A. (1992). A simple model of herd behavior. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107(3), 797-817.
- Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. Journal of Political Economy, 100(5), 992-1026.
- Barberis, N., Greenwood, R., Jin, L., & Shleifer, A. (2018). Extrapolation and bubbles. Journal of Financial Economics, 129(2), 203-227.
- Chiang, T. C., Li, H., & Tan, L. (2011). Empirical investigation of herding behavior in Chinese stock markets: Evidence from quantile regression analysis. Journal of Empirical Finance, 18(1), 142-156.
- Goyal, A., & Wahal, S. (2008). The selection and termination of investment management firms by plan sponsors. Journal of Finance, 63(4), 1805-1847.
- Devenow, A., & Welch, I. (1996). Rational herding in financial economics. European Economic Review, 40(3-5), 603-615.
- Sias, R. W. (2004). Institutional herding. Review of Financial Studies, 17(1), 165-206.
- Daniel, K., Hirshleifer, D., & Subrahmanyam, A. (1998). Investor psychology and security market under- and overreactions. Journal of Finance, 53(6), 1839-1886.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM01641

- Baker, M., & Wurgler, J. (2006). Investor sentiment and the cross-section of stock returns. Journal of Finance, 61(4), 1645-1680.
- Choi, J. J., Laibson, D., Madrian, B. C., & Metrick, A. (2019). Reinforcement learning and savings behavior. Journal of Finance, 74(3), 1329-1368.