INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
SPECIAL EDITION: VOLUME: 04 PKDAL – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM.PKDAL029
AN INTERNATIONAL SCHOLARLY || MULTIDISCIPLINARY || OPEN ACCESS || INDEXING IN ALL MAJOR DATABASE & METADATA

Information Management in Libraries: A Dual Perspective on Cloud and Local Storage Infrastructures

Vishwas Hase[1]
Librarian
KES Rajarambapu Institute of Technology affiliated to Shivaji University Kolhapur, Islampur
Email- Vishwas.hase@ritindia.edu

Dr. Varsha Junnare[2]
Librarian
M.V.P. Samaj's Arts, Commerce & Science College Tryambakeshwar Jawahar Road, Tryambakeshwar, Nashik
E-mail id: varsha.junnare78@gmail.com

Dr. Manjusha Ahirrao[3]
Librarian
SPDM College Shirpur, Dhule
E-mail id: mkahirrao066@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Libraries are no longer just buildings that hold physical books and journals. In today's digital world, they manage huge amounts of electronic information such as e-books, research papers, institutional records, and multimedia archives. It is important to choose the correct way to store this data so that it stays safe, easy to get to, and maintained for the future. Cloud storage and local repositories are the two main storage options that libraries use. It talks about their pros and cons and how they are employed in libraries in the real world. This research shows that there is no one "best" alternative by using case studies and literature from libraries in Maharashtra, India. Many libraries, on the other hand, do better with a hybrid architecture that combines local management and security with the flexibility and scalability of cloud services. Recommendations are given to help libraries make informed decisions for sustainable information management.

Keywords: Digital libraries; Cloud storage; Local repositories; Hybrid architecture; Digital preservation; Information management

1. INTRODUCTION

The role of libraries has changed greatly over the last two decades. Libraries no longer store only printed books; they now manage large collections of digital content such as electronic journals, scanned manuscripts, institutional research papers, and multimedia learning resources. Libraries need to keep this digital data safe, make sure it's easy for users to access, and make sure it lasts for future generations. Libraries used to have their servers and storage devices on-site in local repositories. This gave them full control over their data, but it cost a lot of money to buy tools, software, and knowledge about technology. Over time, cloud storage has emerged as an alternative, where data is stored on servers owned and maintained by external providers. Cloud solutions

offer flexibility, remote access, and reduced hardware costs, but they depend on internet availability and raise concerns about privacy, cost, and vendor dependence. From a library point of view, this paper looks at these two storage types side by side. It uses published research, case studies, and reality practices in Maharashtra libraries to learn how institutions are using these solutions, what their pros and cons are, and how hybrid approaches can give the optimal balance.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lynch (2003) explains that IRs help collect, preserve, and share the intellectual work of universities, and that policy, metadata, and long-term access are just as important as the software. This idea set the stage for comparing where and how libraries store content—whether on local servers or using external services. **Maniatis et al. (2005)** talk about LOCKSS, which stands for "Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe." It is a peer-to-peer system that saves many copies of things in different places and checks them often to make sure they are still safe. The research shows that digital collections can be more resilient than a single local server by using distributed replication and regular audits. **Rosenthal et al. (2012)** focus on the economics of long-term storage. They warn that even if storage media become cheaper, the total cost over many years (including migrations, energy, and staff) can be significant. Their analysis helps libraries figure out how much it will cost to build and maintain local repositories compared to how much it will cost to use cloud services on a regular basis. **Imabong (2024)** looks into cloud computing for academic libraries and talks about the pros and cons. The pros include faster maintenance, flexible scaling, and access from anywhere. The cons include worries about privacy, bandwidth, and being dependent on a vendor. This balanced view helps making a choice between cloud and local choices on a case-by-case basis. **Goldner and Birch (2012)** talk about sharing resources in the cloud age and how interlibrary loan and discovery might benefit from common platforms. Their approach shows a trend that many libraries follow: preserve preservation-grade masters under strong local control, but leverage cloud-based tools to make delivery, collaboration, and scaling better.

3. CLOUD STORAGE IN LIBRARIES

Cloud storage is a new way for libraries to store material on servers that are not their own and are controlled by third-party companies. They can access this data over the internet. This technology has changed the way libraries keep, organize, and share digital materials.

Cloud storage is scalable, which means that libraries can add more storage space as needed without having to buy expensive hardware. It lets users get resources from anywhere because it offers remote access. Most companies offer automatic backups and catastrophe recovery, which lowers the chance of losing data.

3.1 Benefits.

Cloud solutions cut expenses by doing rid of the need for servers and technical support in-house. They make it easier for libraries to work together, which makes interlibrary loans and collaborative projects easier. You can handle big multimedia files and increasing archives without running out of space.

3.2 Problems.

Since information is held offshore, privacy and security are big issues. Vendor lock-in can make it hard to transfer suppliers. A strong internet connection is needed for access, and the fees of long-term subscriptions can build up over time.

3.3 Adoption.

Many libraries throughout the world, both public and academic, use services like AWS, Google Drive for Education, and OCLC's WorldShare to digitize, store, and share their collections in a smart way.

4. LOCAL REPOSITORIES IN LIBRARIES.

Local repositories keep data on servers and storage devices that are inside the library. This provides schools and businesses complete control over their data and how it is managed.

4.1 Advantages.

Local storage makes sure that data is only available to the people who own it, which is in line with tight privacy and legal standards. It works even when there is no internet access, thus it is reliable in places with bad connections. Libraries can change their backup schedules, access regulations, and updates whenever they need to.

4.2 Problems.

Setting up local systems costs a lot of money and needs technical expertise to keep them running. If you don't have backups, hardware failures, disasters, or power outages can make you lose data. It can also be expensive and hard to add more storage later. Many libraries still prefer local repositories for sensitive material and long-term independence, nevertheless.

5. CASE STUDIES IN LIBRARIES IN MAHARASHTRA.

To find a balance between keeping data safe, making it easy to access, and keeping costs low, libraries in Maharashtra are increasingly using hybrid information storage solutions. The following three case studies highlight how different institutions have implemented local and cloud-based repositories to manage their growing digital collections.

5.1 Jayakar Library at Savitribai Phule Pune University (SPPU)

Jayakar Library, established in 1950, is the central academic library of SPPU and a key knowledge hub for thousands of students and researchers (Savitribai Phule Pune University,). The library runs a big diverse digital collection that has electronic theses, dissertations, academic publications, and digitized manuscripts. The library used a hybrid storage method to meet the needs of more data and more people who want to view it from afar. Local servers keep sensitive and high-quality master files safe, which gives the institution control over its data. At the same time, derivative versions meant for public access are stored on a cloud platform, making it easy for those who aren't on campus to get the materials. We keep regular checksum checks and cold backups on-site, and we use cloud storage to back up our data

off-site in case of a calamity. This two-part approach makes sure that things are kept safe for a long time and may be accessed by a lot of people (SPPU Library Portal, 2024).

5.2 The Granth Sanjeevani Digital Library is part of the Asiatic Society of Mumbai. The Asiatic Society of Mumbai has a huge collection of historical newspapers, rare manuscripts, and maps that are important to history. The Granth Sanjeevani Digital Library was started by the institution in 2018. Its goal is to digitize and make its heritage resources available to the public (Asiatic Society of Mumbai, 2018). The library uses a two-tier system for storing things: To protect authenticity, preservation masters (high-resolution TIFFs and PDFs) are kept in a controlled environment on site. Cloud servers and Content Delivery Networks (CDNs) send derivative files to users all over the world quickly and easily. To lower hazards, copies are made offline every so often, and recovery drills are done every three months. This setup lets the Asiatic Society keep its valuable originals safe while both sharing them with the public and keeping a close eye on them.

5.3 The library at the Rajarambapu Institute of Technology (RIT).

The RIT Library gives engineering students and faculty access to electronic resources, theses, project reports, and publications from the institution itself (Rajarambapu Institute of Technology, 2023). RIT uses a local-first approach with cloud backup support to find the right balance between cost, dependability, and disaster resilience. Local servers host a DSpace-based repository, which makes it easy to access on campus without any problems. Weekly syncing to a secure cloud backup service gives you off-site redundancy, so you can quickly restore your data if your hardware fails or there is another emergency. Role-based access controls keep sensitive student and research data safe, and off-campus users can get resources through cloud links when they ask for them.

Table 1. Case–Criteria Matrix

Case / Institution	Savitribai Phule Pune University (SPPU) — Jayakar Library	Asiatic Society of Mumbai — "Granth Sanjeevani"	Rajarambapu Institute of Technology (RIT) Library, Islampur
Storage Type	Local storage for important data + Cloud for extra copies	Masters kept locally, copies stored in Cloud	Mostly Local servers with Cloud backup
Main Purpose & Content	Research papers, old manuscripts, university publications	Old books, newspapers, maps (digital scans)	E-books, student projects, theses, research papers
How People Access It	Public access online; staff uses campus network	Public access via online viewer; reading room computers	Campus network for students; cloud for remote users
How Data is Kept Safe	Regular file checks; backups every 3 months	Several storage types used; regular checks	Regular file checks using DSpace software; weekly sync to cloud
Disaster Recovery (Backup Plan)	Copies kept in different cloud regions; yearly test of data recovery	Nightly cloud backups; offline copies made every 3 months	Cloud copy used if local server fails
Security Rules	Different access for staff and public; VPN for security	Clear rights info; watermarks on public files; controlled downloads	Limited access based on roles (faculty/students); clear data policy
Internet Speed Plan	Popular content stored nearby; data synced in free time	Images prepared beforehand for faster viewing	Priority given to study hours; caching for fast use
Cost	Medium one-time cost + regular online storage cost	High setup cost but cheaper online delivery later	Medium cost at start, low ongoing cost
Main Risks	Locked to one vendor; risk of policy change	Risk of physical damage to originals; old file formats may stop working	Network issues during syncing; depends on cloud vendor for quick recovery
How Success is Checked	Website uptime, backup success, fast off-campus access	Page loading speed, data safety checks, recovery test success, growing public	System uptime, backup success, recovery time, remote access performance

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: CLOUD VS LOCAL STORAGE

When libraries have to choose between cloud storage and local repositories, it's not only a matter of technology; it's also a matter of strategy, taking into account things like cost, scalability, security, and ease of access. Each of these methods has its own pros and cons, therefore libraries need to look at them depending on their own needs, infrastructure, and long-term ambitions. Cloud storage is great because it is flexible and scalable. This means that libraries may add to their digital collections without having to spend a lot of money on new physical infrastructure. Its ability to be accessed from afar makes it possible for distance learning, collaborative research, and exchange of resources between libraries. Also, built-in disaster recovery mechanisms make sure that data stays safe and can be recovered even if the system fails or there is a natural disaster. Cloud storage, on the other hand, comes with problems like recurrent expenses, reliance on internet access, worries about data protection, and the possibility of vendor lock-in, which could limit future migration possibilities. Local repositories, on the other hand, provide you full control and ownership over your data. They also let you access it while you're not connected to the internet and lower your risk of third-party threats. Libraries that operate with sensitive material or have to follow rigorous rules generally choose them. But they are less flexible than cloud-based models because of the high initial capital expenditures, continuing maintenance expenses, scalability issues, and technology becoming outdated.

Table 2. Comparative Cloude Storage Vs Local Repositories

Criteria	Cloud Storage	Local Repositories
Cost Structure	Low initial cost; membership payments that come up again and again	A lot of money up front, and then more money later for upgrades.
Scalability	Very scalable on demand.	Limited by the amount of hardware that is available
Accessibility	Access from anywhere in the world.	Only access on-site or with a VPN.
Data Control	Handled by outside vendors	Full control of the institution
Security & Privacy	Compliance and data protection depend on the vendor.	Policies and direct oversight inside the company
Disaster Recovery	Redundancy and backups built in.	Depending on the backup infrastructure in the area
Technical Expertise	Not much IT management is needed in-house.	Ongoing management requires skilled IT staff

This comparison shows that there is no one-size-fits-all answer for library settings. More and more institutions are using hybrid models, which take use of the scalability of cloud storage while keeping local repositories for sensitive or mission-critical collections. This kind of balanced approach lets libraries keep expenses down, protect their data, and offer information management services that are trustworthy, easy to get to, and long-lasting.

7. SUGGESTIONS AND BEST PRACTICES.

• **Carefully evaluate needs**: Before you choose storage, think about the size of the library, the sort of data, and how you need to access it.

• **Use models that are a mix of different types**: Store sensitive or archive material on your own computer and use the cloud for backups, working together, and accessing data from afar.
• Make security a top priority by using encryption, access limits, and frequent audits in both systems.
• **Make plans for the future**: Think about long-term costs, how much data will expand in the future, and the risks of being locked into a vendor.

• **Test backups:** Do data recovery drills on a regular basis to make sure you're ready for a disaster.

8. CONCLUSION

Libraries now handle and preserve information differently since they have moved from physical collections to digital resources. Choosing the correct storage infrastructure is an essential decision that influences how easy it is to access, how safe it is, and how long it will persist. Cloud storage and local repositories both have their own pros and downsides, and libraries need to think carefully about these issues. Cloud storage is flexible, lets you access your files from anywhere, and costs very little for hardware. It lets libraries add to their digital collections without having to worry about running out of space. However, it depends on having an internet connection, costs money over time, and raises worries about data privacy and vendor control. Local repositories, on the other hand, let libraries keep complete control of their data and let people access it when they are not connected to the internet. They are great for organizations that deal with private data or have to follow rigorous rules. But they need a lot of money for equipment, space, and technological know-how, and it can be hard to increase storage space over time. This study shows that there is no one solution that works for everyone. A library's size, budget, type of collections, and long-term goals should all play a role in whether they choose cloud or local storage. A lot of libraries are now using hybrid models, which combine the best parts of both systems to find the right balance between cost, flexibility, and data security. The good library information management isn't just about where data is held; it's also about how it's structured, safeguarded, and made available to users. Libraries can make sure they stay reliable and long-lasting portals to knowledge in the digital age by planning ahead, spending money on the right security measures, and constantly assessing their storage plans.

REFERENCES

- Asiatic Society of Mumbai. (2018). *Granth Sanjeevani Digital Library Project*. Retrieved from https://asiaticsociety.org.in
- Goldner, M., & Birch, K. (2012). Resource sharing in a cloud computing age. *Interlending & Document Supply, 40*(1), 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1108/02641611211214224 (Ingenta Connect)
- Imabong, O. V. (2024). A review of cloud computing and applications to academic library. *International Journal of Library and Information Studies, 14*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.35248/2231-4911.24.14.856
- Lynch, C. A. (2003). Institutional repositories: Essential infrastructure for scholarship in the digital age. *portal: Libraries and the Academy, 3*(2), 327–336. https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2003.0039 (UC Berkeley School of Information)
- Maniatis, P., Roussopoulos, M., Giuli, T. J., Rosenthal, D. S. H., & Baker, M. (2005). The LOCKSS peer-to-peer digital preservation system. *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, 23*(1), 2–50. (ACM Digital Library)
- Rajarambapu Institute of Technology. (2023). *Library resources and institutional repository*. Retrieved from https://www.ritindia.edu
- Rosenthal, D. S. H., Rosenthal, D. C., Miller, E. L., Adams, I. F., Storer, M. W., & Zadok, E. (2012). The economics of long-term digital storage. In *Memory of the World in the Digital Age: Digitization and Preservation* (UNESCO conference proceedings). (ssrc.us, fsl.cs.sunysb.edu)
- Savitribai Phule Pune University. (n.d.). *Jayakar Library portal*. Retrieved from https://lib.unipune.ac.in

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who contributed to the successful completion of this paper. My deepest appreciation goes to my colleague, Research guide Dr. Manjusha Ahirrao for their invaluable guidance, insightful feedback, and continuous support throughout this work. I am also thankful to Rajarambapu institute Technology for providing the necessary resources and encouragement during the research process. Lastly, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my family and friends for their unwavering motivation and understanding