

Integrated Swarm Intelligence Framework for Dynamic Traffic Optimization in Delhi: A Three-Layer PSO-Fuzzy-MAS Approach

Abhishek Yadav*, Abhishek Kumar †, Chiranjeevi Choudhary‡

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, New Delhi, India

Abstract—This study presents a hybrid, three-layer framework for intelligent traffic optimization in Delhi, combining Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Fuzzy Logic Controllers (FLC), and Multi-Agent Systems (MAS). It tackles challenges posed by real-time traffic fluctuations, dense vehicle networks, and limited infrastructure scalability. The system uses PSO for global signal planning, fuzzy logic for real-time local adjustments, and MAS for self-organized traffic agent collaboration. SUMO simulations with 628 vehicles show a 32.1% reduction in average travel time and a 28.3% drop in fuel use. Field testing at ITO Junction validated 41% peak-hour congestion reduction. The system exhibits real-time adaptability, decentralized decision-making, and significant environmental impact reduction.

Index Terms—Swarm Intelligence, PSO, Fuzzy Logic, MAS, Traffic Optimization, Delhi

I. INTRODUCTION

Urban traffic congestion has emerged as one of the most pressing challenges in modern metropolitan cities. Delhi, the national capital of India, exemplifies this crisis with its exponentially growing vehicle population, limited infrastructure expansion, and lack of responsive traffic management. As of 2023, Delhi records a staggering vehicle density of approximately 1,923 vehicles/km², with over 12.5 million registered vehicles. The annual economic loss due to traffic congestion, fuel wastage, and productivity delay is estimated at 1.47 lakh crore.

Conventional fixed-time traffic signal systems fail to accommodate real-time fluctuations such as accidents, weather, or unusual traffic surges. Commuters experience prolonged travel times, erratic signal phases, and unpredictable delays. Beyond human inconvenience, vehicular emissions in Delhi contribute over 28% to PM2.5 pollution levels — nearly 15 times the WHO's safe threshold.

This paper proposes a three-layered hybrid model combining PSO, FLC, and MAS into a unified traffic optimization framework. Each layer handles:

- Global planning via PSO.
- Local real-time adjustments via Fuzzy Logic.
- Agent-level collaboration via MAS.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Swarm Intelligence (SI) is a decentralized, adaptive paradigm inspired by natural systems like bird flocks and ant colonies. Algorithms such as Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), PSO, and Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) are widely applied to signal control, routing, and congestion management.

A. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

PSO models social behavior to find optimal solutions. Each particle represents a potential traffic signal configuration and updates its position using:

$$v_i^{k+1} = w v_i^k + c_1 r_1 (p_{best} - x_i^k) + c_2 r_2 (g_{best} - x_i^k) \quad (1)$$

PSO's low computation cost and flexibility make it ideal for city-scale optimization.

B. Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC)

FLC mimics human reasoning with imprecise inputs like:

- Density (D): Low, Medium, High
- Speed (S): Slow, Normal, Fast
- Queue Length (Q): Short, Medium, Long

TRAFFIC PARAMETER DEFINITIONS

– Traffic Density D :

- * Low: $D \leq 10$
- * Medium: $10 < D \leq 30$
- * High: $D > 30$

– Vehicle Speed S :

- * Slow: $S \leq 20$
- * Normal: $20 < S \leq 50$
- * Fast: $S > 50$

– Queue Length Q :

- * Short: $Q \leq 3$
- * Medium: $3 < Q \leq 10$
- * Long: $Q > 10$

Example rule: IF Density = High AND Speed = Slow THEN Extend Green Time = Long

C. Multi-Agent Systems (MAS)

MAS enables vehicles and infrastructure to act as agents using V2V and V2I communication. Boids-based algorithms allow decentralized cooperation, producing behaviors like self-organized lane changes and dynamic green wave coordination.

Communication & Data Sharing Between Agents:

• Shared Parameters:

- Traffic density & speed (updated every $\Delta t = 5s$):

$$D_{current} = \frac{N_{veh}}{L_{road}}, \quad V_{avg} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i$$

- b. Signal phase from adjacent lights (5G-V2X broadcast):

$$\phi_j^t = [G_{\text{current}}, T_{\text{remaining}}] \quad \forall j \in N_i$$

- c. Vehicle route data (GeoJSON format):

$$R_i = \{\text{path, ETA, priority}\} \quad (\text{encrypted})$$

• Implementation:

- d. Hybrid communication stack:

* V2I: ISO 21217 (ITS station architecture)

* V2V: SAE J2735 (DSRC message set)

- e. 5G NR infrastructure:

$$\text{Latency} \leq 3\text{ms}, \quad \text{Data rate} \geq 1\text{Gbps}$$

The system achieves self-organization through continuous data exchange, with MAS agents updating strategies every $t = 2.5\text{s}$ cycle using:

$$S_{\text{new}} = \alpha S_{\text{local}} + (1 - \alpha) S_{\text{global}} \quad (0.7 \leq \alpha \leq 0.9)$$

where α depends on connection stability and emergency status.

D. Process Flow of Iteration

1) PSO Global Optimization:

- Initial swarm optimization using historical data: $x_i^{(0)} = [T_{\text{hist}}, C_{\text{base}}, S_{\text{init}}]$
- Deploy optimized plan to traffic controllers and MAS agents: $\text{Plan}_{k=0} \rightarrow \{\text{FLC, MAS}\}$

2) Local Adaptation via Fuzzy Logic:

- Real-time signal adjustment using 27 fuzzy rules: $\Delta T_j = f_{\text{fuzzy}}(D_{\text{current}}, V_{\text{avg}}, Q_{\text{len}})$
- Deviation reporting every $t = 5$ min: Report = $[\Delta T_j, \|C_{\text{actual}} - C_{\text{PSO}}\|]$

3) Dynamic Coordination via MAS:

- Vehicle-light collaboration using Boids algorithm: $v_i^{t+1} = 0.3v_i^t + 0.4 \frac{p_j}{N} + 0.3(p_{\text{target}} - p_i^t)$
- Local decision refinement with 5G-V2X data: $\text{Dec}_{\text{local}} = \text{MAS}_{\text{consensus}}(\phi_{\text{PSO}}, \phi_{\text{sensors}})$

4) Feedback to PSO for Next Iteration:

- System state aggregation: $\Psi^{(k)} = \alpha S_{\text{coord}} + \beta T_{\text{avg}} + \gamma E_{\text{CO}_2}$
- Global plan refinement: $x_i^{(k+1)} = x_i^{(k)} + \eta(\Psi^{(k)} - \Psi^{(k-1)})$

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Architecture Overview

B. Layer 1: PSO Global Planner

Each particle encodes:

$$x_i = [T_{i1}, T_{i2}, \dots, T_{in}]$$

Fitness function:

$$F = w_1 T_{\text{avg}} + w_2 F_{\text{total}} + w_3 S_{\text{coordination}} + w_4 E_{\text{CO}_2}$$

TABLE I
PSO PARAMETERS

Parameter	Value	Description
Swarm Size	30	No. of particles
Inertia Weight	0.9–0.4	Decreases linearly
C_1, C_2	1.2, 1.5	Cognitive, social factors

C. Layer 2: Fuzzy Logic Controller

Membership function example for Density:

$$\mu_{\text{Low}}(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & D \leq 10 \\ \frac{30-D}{20} & 10 < D \leq 30 \\ 0 & D > 30 \end{cases}$$

TABLE II
FUZZY RULE BASE (SAMPLE)

Density	Queue	Action
High	Long	Green +25s, Cycle Increase
Medium	Medium	No Change
Low	Short	Green -10s, Cycle Decrease

D. Layer 3: Multi-Agent Coordination

Agents use:

- DSRC (5.9 GHz) and 802.11p V2X protocols

- Boids model:

$$v_i^{\text{new}} = 0.5v_i + 0.3 \frac{\sum_j (p_j - p_i)}{\|N_i\|} + 0.2 \frac{\sum_j v_j}{\|N_i\|} \quad (2)$$

IV. RESULTS

A. SUMO Simulation Metrics

TABLE III
TRAFFIC CONTROL PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

Metric	Fixed	Adaptive	PSO-Fuzzy-MAS
Travel time (min/10km)	27.1	22.3	18.4
Fuel (L/10km)	1.7	1.4	1.2
Queue Clearance (%)	62	75	89
CO ₂ (g/km)	4.2	3.6	3.1

B. Field Trial (ITO Junction)

- Congestion reduced by 41.2%
- Incident response time: 9.1 min vs. 14.2 min
- System computation: 8.2s/cycle (Jetson TX2)

V. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

$$\Delta E = 0.15 F_{\text{total}} + 0.1 T_{\text{queue}}$$

- Estimated 15% reduction in PM2.5
- 92 kg daily CO₂ cut in pilot zone

VI. CHALLENGES AND MITIGATION

A. Challenges and Limitations

1) Computational Complexity

- PSO time complexity scales quadratically with swarm size:

$$O(n^2) \text{ where } n = |\text{Swarm}|$$

- Real-time constraints require optimizations:

$$t_{\text{cycle}} \leq 5s \Rightarrow n \leq 30 \text{ particles (empirical limit)}$$

2) Data Dependency

- Performance degradation with sensor error ϵ :

$$\Delta F = -0.23\epsilon + 0.15\epsilon^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.89)$$

- Minimum data accuracy requirements:

$$\text{TPR} \geq 92\%, \quad \text{FPR} \leq 8\%$$

3) Scalability

- Resource scaling for N intersections:

$$\text{Compute} \propto N^{1.4}, \quad \text{Memory} \propto N^{1.1}$$

- Hierarchical partitioning required beyond $N = 50$:

$$G = \bigcup_{k=1}^K G_k \text{ where } |G_k| \leq 25$$

Emerging Solutions:

- Edge computing with distributed PSO swarms
- Federated learning for error-resilient models
- Hybrid CPU/GPU architectures for large N

TABLE IV
TECHNICAL CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

Challenge	Solution
Latency > 200ms	5G NR < 5ms
Sensor failure	Redundant RFID network
High computation	Jetson Orin Nano upgrade
Data inconsistency	Median smoothing filter

VII. CONCLUSION

The PSO-Fuzzy-MAS model improves efficiency, resilience, and sustainability of traffic control in Delhi:

- 32.1% faster travel
- 28.3% lower fuel use
- 15% PM2.5 cut

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research in traffic optimization using Swarm Intelligence (SI) is likely to focus on:

- **Integration with IoT and Smart Cities:** With the rise of smart city technologies and the Internet of Things (IoT), SI algorithms can be integrated with sensors, cameras, and vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication systems to enhance real-time traffic management. These systems

provide the data necessary for adaptive, decentralized traffic control.

- **Self-Organizing Traffic Systems:** Researchers are exploring self-organizing traffic systems where vehicles and infrastructure communicate autonomously to optimize traffic flow without the need for centralized control. These systems can adapt to changing traffic conditions in real-time, improving efficiency and reducing congestion.
- **Multi-Modal Traffic Optimization:** Future research may also focus on multi-modal traffic optimization, which considers not only cars but also buses, cyclists, and pedestrians. Such systems could optimize traffic flow across all modes of transportation, leading to more holistic and sustainable traffic management.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Delhi Traffic Police, DST India, and Smart Mobility Lab and open transit data delhi for data support

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kaur et al., "PSO-based Traffic Signal Optimization," *IEEE Trans. VT*, vol. 66, 2017.
- [2] Y. Zhang et al., "Ant Colony Optimization for Traffic Signal Control," *IEEE Trans. ITS*, vol. 16, 2015.
- [3] X. Liu et al., "Hybrid PSO-Reinforcement Learning for Traffic Control," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, 2021.