International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Special Edition: Volume: 04 IMCD – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM.IMCD015
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Integrating Artisanal Clusters into Voluntary Carbon Markets: A Framework for Online Green Entrepreneurship

Ms. Mrunmayi Mangeshkar

Research Scholar, School of Design, Mody University, Rajasthan, India

Prof. (Dr.) Rajesh Dangoria

Professor, School of Design, Mody University, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Green entrepreneurship has emerged as a key driver of sustainable market transformation, linking innovation, environmental stewardship, and social equity. Green entrepreneurship plays a vital role in enabling low-carbon growth by introducing innovative solutions that mitigate environmental impact while generating socio-economic value. The emergence of carbon markets provides a new economic mechanism to reward emission reduction activities, thereby creating sustainable market opportunities. This research explores how carbon finance mechanisms, including carbon credits, offset projects, and nature-based solutions, can support the growth of green enterprises.

The study examines the potential of decentralized community-led green entrepreneurship models like coir and bamboo to participate in carbon markets and contribute to sustainable market ecosystems using digital tools and online platforms. It aims to explore how rural fibre-based industries can leverage carbon finance mechanisms to achieve environmental sustainability while enhancing rural livelihoods. By investigating the research intersection of low-carbon innovation, community enterprise, and carbon monetization, this research positions Online Green Entrepreneurship as a transformative pathway for building in Sustainable Markets Ecosystem.

Keywords: Green Entrepreneurship, Sustainable Markets, Digital Tools, Online Platforms, Low-Carbon Innovation, Carbon Markets, Carbon Finance, Rural Livelihoods, Community Enterprise, Socio-Economic Value, Ecosystem.

Introduction

The world today faces serious challenges from climate change, environmental pollution, and resource depletion. Many countries are working toward this urgent need for sustainable development in encouraging and innovative ways (United Nations, 2015). Within this transition, 'green entrepreneurship' has emerged as a pivotal force, aligning innovation and profitability with environmental and social responsibility. Unlike traditional business models that prioritize short-term gains, green entrepreneurship combines business innovation with environmental protection and social responsibility, creating solutions that reduce pollution, save energy, and promote fair livelihoods (Dean & McMullen, 2007; Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011). Their efforts not just help protect the environment but open new opportunities for jobs and income, especially in rural areas (Isaak, 2016).

One of the fastest-growing tools in this space is 'carbon finance'. The rise of carbon markets and carbon finance mechanisms has introduced powerful economic incentives for business growth and climate action. These mechanisms, ranging from carbon credits and offset projects to nature-based solutions (NbS), create opportunities for enterprises to monetize emission reductions and invest in sustainable practices. Such markets allow organizations to earn and trade carbon credits for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, linking climate action with financial rewards (World Bank, 2022).

For economies like India, where rural and resource-based industries form a significant part of the production landscape,

these mechanisms hold immense potential for driving inclusive low-carbon development. A workshop convened by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2025) emphasized the urgent need to unlock carbon finance through the Voluntary Carbon Market (VCM).

Community-led green entrepreneurship models, particularly those based on rural fibre industries such as coir and bamboo, represent a crucial frontier. Nevertheless, nature-based and community-driven models are being pursued by non-governmental and hybrid agencies. The Agricultural and Ecological Research Foundation (AERF) works to bring private forests and mangrove ecosystems under conservation agreements, restore ecosystems, distribute improved cook stoves, engage local communities, and generate carbon credits. IMPCA is developing large-scale tree planting and rural landscape restoration projects in Central and Eastern India to promote biodiversity, community benefits, and carbon sequestration. Verra- registered projects in Uttar Pradesh's Gorakhpur, Lucknow, Moradabad, and Meerut Forest Circles are explicitly designed to improve rural incomes and restoring ecosystems. The Birsa Harit Gram Yojana (BHGY) scheme in Jharkhand has aided marginal farmers through tree plantation initiatives supported by carbon finance under governmental schemes.

These industries use sustainable, as they use renewable natural materials and traditional skills, offering both environmental and social benefits (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2019). However, their participation in carbon markets remains limited due to barriers like lack of technical capacity, complex verification procedures, uncertain benefit-sharing arrangements, and limited awareness. Reports suggest that in many schemes, although project developers gain most of the financial benefits, small farmers often receive modest shares. Thus, these sectors are frequently excluded from carbon finance systems (The Energy and Resources Institute [TERI], 2021).

This study will examine ways in which rural fibre sectors like coir and bamboo can access carbon markets for achieving environmental sustainability and improved livelihoods, through digital tools and platforms. While online green entrepreneurship traditionally focuses on selling eco-friendly goods through digital channels, this research examines how online platforms can enable micro-entrepreneurs in artisanal clusters to enter the Voluntary Carbon Market (VCM).

This research positions online green entrepreneurship as a strategic enabler in building a 'sustainable markets ecosystem' by integrating carbon finance mechanisms, fostering economic prosperity.

Problem Analysis

Despite the growing promise of carbon finance to support green innovation, community-led rural enterprises like coir, bamboo etc. face serious barriers to accessing these markets. Studies and workshops confirm that farmers in India often lack information, face prohibitive costs, and see few rewards from carbon projects. Key issues include: Low awareness and engagement; High costs and technical complexity; Uncertain and uneven financial benefits; Weak institutional support.

Problem Statement: Decentralized community enterprises, especially artisanal fibre clusters, remain excluded from sustainable market mechanisms.

Literature Review

This literature review synthesizes scholarship and practitioner reports on green entrepreneurship, carbon finance, community enterprise models, and the role of digital platforms in enabling sustainable market participation.

1. Green Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Market Transformation

Green entrepreneurship has been conceptualized as a mode of enterprise that reconciles economic activity with ecological stewardship, privileging innovations that reduce environmental harm while generating socioeconomic benefits (O'Neill & Gibbs, 2016). Scholars argue that green entrepreneurs distinguish themselves from conventional entrepreneurs by embedding resource efficiency, waste minimization and social inclusion into their business models

(Gast, Gundolf & Cesinger, 2017; Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011).

Environmental market failures often create entrepreneurial opportunities for eco-innovative goods and services, and ecological challenges can operate as catalysts for organizational and consumption practices oriented toward sustainability (Cohen & Winn, 2007; York & Venkataraman, 2010). The idea of a sustainable market ecosystem highlights the inter- linkages between ecological, social and economic objectives and emphasizes the need for integrative institutional arrangements that support low-carbon transitions (Boons & Lüdeke- Freund, 2013).

2. Carbon Finance and Market Mechanisms

Carbon markets have evolved from international climate governance processes and now offer both compliance and voluntary pathways for monetizing emissions reductions (Peters- Stanley & Yin, 2013). Voluntary carbon markets in particular have been recognized as a mechanism through which NGOs, small enterprises and community organizations can access finance for sequestration and emission-reduction activities, provided that credible measurement, reporting and verification arrangements are in place (World Bank, 2023). Analysts have observed that appropriately structured carbon finance instruments can mobilize private capital for sustainable development and stimulate local innovation (Linacre, Kossoy & Ambrosi, 2011; Newell, Pizer & Raimi, 2021). At the same time, the literature highlights that participation by small-scale producers is frequently constrained by high upfront costs, complicated verification procedures and uneven institutional capacities, which together limit the inclusivity of carbon markets. (Kalpana et al, 2024)

3. Community and Rural Enterprise Models

Businesses focused on processing and adding value to bamboo and coir at a local community level are often considered nature-based solutions. These enterprises aim to achieve two goals simultaneously: conservation of natural resources and providing sustainable livelihoods jobs and income for local people. Bamboo, for example, can function as a carbon sink while supporting handicraft and construction livelihoods; agro-waste valorization can yield soil enhancement and longer-term sequestration benefits alongside added-value products. Collective forms of enterprise can facilitate local ownership of natural resources and help translate environmental benefits into social equity (Mair, Martí & Ventresca, 2012). However, literature also points to the difficulty of scaling such models and of integrating them into formal carbon finance regimes without appropriate technical, institutional and financial support (TERI, 2021).

4. Digital Pathways for Green Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Markets

Digital entrepreneurship literature indicates that platform infrastructures and digital tools can embed transparency, traceability and market access features into small-producer value chains, thereby enabling participation in higher-value and more regulated markets. Digital platforms may enable aggregation of small lots, remote monitoring via satellite or sensor data, and the management of benefit-sharing arrangements, though these affordances also bring governance challenges and require attention to digital divides and capacity building (Xu et al., 2022). Consequently, digital pathways are plausibly important enablers, but their operationalization for artisanal fibre sectors remains underdeveloped in the literature.

5. Case Studies and Empirical Insights

Internationally, projects such as the Kenya Agricultural Carbon Project illustrate that smallholders can earn carbon credits from sustainable land management practices, while Plan Vivo programmes demonstrate mechanisms for ensuring benefit sharing and community engagement in carbon projects. In India, several practitioner and governmental initiatives illustrate a range of approaches to restoration and conservation that have implications for carbon finance; however, outcomes for primary producers vary and the question of equitable distribution of benefits persists (World Bank, 2016; Plan Vivo Foundation, 2023; FAO, 2024). Critical scholarship emphasizes that carbon projects must foreground social justice and inclusive participation to avoid exacerbating existing inequalities.

Research Gap and Synthesis

While the literature on sustainable entrepreneurship and carbon markets is expanding, several gaps remain salient:

1. **Limited focus on rural and community-led enterprises:** Much of the extant research emphasizes urban green startups or corporate sustainability models rather than small-scale, fibre-based industries (Mair, Martí, & Ventresca, 2012).

2. **Underexplored integration of carbon finance and rural entrepreneurship:** There is insufficient empirical and conceptual work on how carbon markets can directly support sectors such as coir, bamboo, and agro-waste processing.

3. **Barriers to participation:** Structural impediments — including high transaction and verification costs, low technical capacity, and weak institutional support — are widely acknowledged but under-researched in terms of concrete remedies (TERI, 2021).

4. **Lack of equitable benefit-sharing frameworks:** Few studies examine mechanisms that ensure fair distribution of carbon finance revenues among local producers and communities.

5. **Digital integration remains nascent:** Research has not yet fully explored how digital tools and online platforms could be operationalized to overcome participation barriers for fibre-based sectors.

6. **Need for integrated conceptual models:** There is a shortage of frameworks linking low-carbon innovation, community enterprise, and carbon monetization within a cohesive sustainable markets ecosystem.

Accordingly, this research seeks to address these gaps by investigating how carbon finance mechanisms can be aligned with decentralized, digitally enabled green entrepreneurship to promote inclusive rural development.

Research Objectives

This study aims to interrogate the intersection of green entrepreneurship, carbon finance mechanisms, online platforms, and community-based sustainable market ecosystems, with a focus on rural fibre-based artisanal clusters (coir, bamboo, and related sectors).

The specific objectives are:

1. To analyze the role of green entrepreneurship in promoting low-carbon innovation and sustainable market transformation in developing economies.

2. To examine the potential of carbon finance mechanisms (including carbon credits, offset projects, and nature-based solutions) in supporting small and medium green enterprises.

3. To identify pathways for rural and community-based artisanal clusters to participate effectively in voluntary carbon markets.

4. To assess the socio-economic impacts of carbon financing on rural livelihoods, employment generation, and income diversification.

5. To examine digital tools and online platforms as enablers for rural fibre-based artisanal clusters to access carbon

finance mechanisms.

6. To evaluate institutional, technical, and policy barriers that constrain rural green entrepreneurs' access to carbon markets.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, exploratory design to investigate the enabling factors, constraints and practical pathways for integrating fibre-based artisanal clusters into voluntary carbon markets via online platforms. A qualitative approach is appropriate given the study's emphasis on interpreting stakeholder experiences, institutional dynamics and the emergent role of digital technologies; at this stage, the work focuses on documentary analysis and conceptual synthesis to develop a theoretical framing for subsequent empirical inquiry.

1. Research Design and Rationale

The research is grounded in secondary data and documentary analysis, which allows for rigorous synthesis of academic literature, project documents, policy texts, and practitioner reports. This approach supports the development of interpretive themes and conceptual frameworks, rather than statistical generalization.

2. Data Sources, Collection & Analysis

Primary evidence for this stage consists of published academic articles, project documentation, policy notes, NGO reports and credible grey literature accessed through institutional repositories, public registries and academic databases. A systematic log of documents and metadata was maintained to ensure traceability. Analytical procedures follow thematic analysis; documents were coded with reference to the research objectives, and iterative thematic synthesis was used to surface enabling conditions, success criteria and constraints. Braun and Clarke's six-phase approach was applied in coding and refining thematic categories.

Findings

The documentary synthesis indicates that rural fibre enterprises and artisanal clusters contribute meaningfully to sustainable livelihoods and low-carbon production practices. Nonetheless, their formal participation in green markets remains limited because of weak digital infrastructure, lack of traceability and monitoring systems, insufficient awareness of carbon finance mechanisms, and capacity constraints in meeting verification requirements. At the same time, evidence of eco-innovation among younger artisans and localized initiatives suggests readiness for digital engagement. Taken together, these findings point to substantial potential for transitioning artisanal clusters toward online green entrepreneurship models that could increase visibility, market access, and integration into voluntary carbon markets, provided that technical, institutional, and governance barriers are addressed.

Limitations

This paper represents an early stage of inquiry and is based exclusively on secondary sources. It does not include primary fieldwork or empirical validation; hence, findings should be regarded as conceptual and indicative. Future research should undertake field-based stakeholder engagement to validate the proposed digital-enabled frameworks and to assess practical implementation challenges and benefit-sharing models.

Conclusion

This study highlights the strategic significance of rural fibre industries and artisanal clusters as potential contributors to sustainable market transformation and grassroots green entrepreneurship. While these sectors possess considerable potential to deliver both environmental and socio-economic benefits, this potential remains under-realized due to limited digital inclusion, weak integration with carbon finance systems, and structural participation barriers such as high verification costs and low institutional support. Developing digital tools and online platforms that enable traceability, aggregation and transparent benefit- sharing could, however, facilitate the entry of decentralized community enterprises into voluntary carbon markets and thereby strengthen inclusive, low-carbon rural development. Future empirical research should focus on designing and testing digital-enabled frameworks, piloting aggregation and monitoring approaches, and establishing equitable benefit-sharing arrangements that empower artisanal clusters to participate meaningfully in sustainable market ecosystems.

References

1. **Dean, T. J., & McMullen, J. S. (2007)**. *Toward a theory of sustainable entrepreneurship: Reducing environmental degradation through entrepreneurial action. Journal of Business Venturing, 22(1), 50–76.* *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2005.09.003*

2. **Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011)**. *Sustainable entrepreneurshipand sustainability innovation: Categories and interactions. Business Strategy and theEnvironment, 20(4), 222–237.* *https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3513307*

3. **United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2015)**. *Global sustainable development report 2015.* *https://sdgs.un.org/publications/global- sustainable-development-report-2015-advance-unedited-version-gsdr-2015-17874*

4. **United Nations Environment Programme. (2016)**. *Resource efficiency and sustainable consumption and production: Accelerating the transition to sustainable societies: Annual Report 2015. United Nations Environment Programme.* *https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/7579*

5. **World Bank. (2022)**. https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2022/05/17/what- you-need-to-know-about-article-6-of-the-paris-agreement

6. **Food and Agriculture Organization. (2025)**. *https://www.fao.org/india/news/detail-events/en/c/1741098/*

7. **United Nations Development Programme. (2019)**. *https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2019/The-Sustainable-Development-Goals-Report- 2019.pdf*

8. **The Energy and Resources Institute. (2021)**. *https://www.teriin.org/sites/default/files/2021-02/innovative-financial- mechanisms.pdf*

9. **Agricultural and Ecological Research Foundation (AERF)**. ***(2022)***. *AERF.* *https://www.aerfindia.org*

10. **IMPCA.** *https://impca.in/csr-project-development-and-impact-assessment*

11. Verra. *https://verra.org/projects_public_comm/voluntary-carbon-market-project-for- agroforestry-plantation-in-surat-gujarat*

12. **Birsa Harit Gram Yojana (BHGY). (2020)**. *Governmentof Jharkhand.* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4983917

13. Boons, F., & Lüdeke-Freund, F. (2013). *Business models for sustainable innovation: State-of-the-art and steps towards a research agenda. Journal of Cleaner Production, 45, 9–19. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2103495*

14. **Cohen, B., & Winn, M. I. (2007)**. *Market imperfections, opportunity and sustainable entrepreneurship. Journal of Business Venturing, 22(1), 29–49. https://marc-lemenestrel.net/IMG/pdf/boyd_cohen_jbv_2007.pdf*

15. **Gast, J., Gundolf, K., & Cesinger, B. (2017)**. *Doing business in a green way: A systematic review of the ecological sustainability entrepreneurship literature and future research directions. Journal of Cleaner Production, 147, 44–56.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312218627_Doing_business_in_a_green_way_A_systematic_review_of_the_ecological_sustainability_entrepreneurship_literature_and_future_research_directions

16. **O'Neill, K. J., & Gibbs, D. (2016).** *Rethinking green entrepreneurship—Fluid narratives of the green economy. Environment and Planning A, 48(9), 1727–1749. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303470839_Rethinking_green_entrepreneu rship_-_Fluid_narratives_of_the_green_economy*

17. York, J. G., & Venkataraman, S. (2010). *The entrepreneur–environment nexus: Uncertainty, innovation, and allocation. Journal of Business Venturing, 25(5), 449–463. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222822779_The_Entrepreneur-Environment_Nexus_Uncertainty_Innovation_and_Allocation*

18. **Kalpana P., Thakur B., John R. (2024)**. *Exploring the barriers to farmer participation in soil carbon projects under the Australian Carbon Credit Unit Scheme https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/epdf/10.1080/14486563.2024.2393246?needAccess=true*

19. **Linacre, N., Kossoy, A., & Ambrosi, P. (2011)**. *State and trends of the carbon market 2011. World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e485df7a-cf15-5e55-bdc6-a36af6aa0cbc/content*

20. **Newell, R., Pizer, W., & Raimi, D. (2021)**. *Carbon markets 15 years after Kyoto: Lessons learned, new challenges. Energy Policy, 156, 112431. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/jep.27.1.123*

21. Peters-Stanley, M., & Yin, D. (2013). *Maneuvering the mosaic: State of the voluntary carbon markets 2013. Forest Trends & Bloomberg New Energy Finance. https://www.forest-trends.org/wp-content/uploads/imported/sovcm-full-report-aug-13-version-pdf.pdf*

22. **World Bank. (2023)**. *State and trends of carbon pricing 2023. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/58f2a409-9bb7-4ee6-899d- be47835c838f*

23. **Mair, J., Martí, I., & Ventresca, M. (2012)**. *Building inclusive markets in rural Bangladesh: How intermediaries work institutional voids. Academy of Management Journal, 55(4), 819–850. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0627*

24. **Xu, X., Liu, J., Zhang, L., & Chen, Y. (2022)**. *Digital platforms and sustainable value chains: Enhancing transparency and small-producer participation. Sustainability, 14(5), 2733. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052733*

25. **FAO. (2024)**. *Climate-resilient rural development through carbon finance: Opportunities and challenges. https://sdgs.un.org/un-system-sdg-implementation/food-and-agriculture-organization-fao-56811*

26. **Plan Vivo Foundation. (2023)**. *Community carbon projects and benefit-sharing mechanisms. https://www.planvivo.org/news/plan-vivo-assessment-in-progress-for- icvcm-ccp-eligibility#:~:text=It%20is%20the%20only%20Carbon,Sustainable%20development%20outcomes%20with%20safeguards*

27. **World Bank. (2016)**. *Kenya Agricultural Carbon Project: Implementation report. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/161821479207793853/pdf/SFG2646- IPP-P154784-Box396327B-PUBLIC-Disclosed-11-14-2016.pdf*

28. **The Energy and Resources Institute. (2021)**. *Mobilizing finance for climate action: A TERI report. https://www.teriin.org/opinion/cop30-how-article-64-can-unlock- carbon-finance-rural-india*