International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management
Volume: 03 Issue: 11 | Nov – 2024
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata
ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

Intelligent Edge Testing: Ensuring Performance and Reliability in AR/VR Devices with Edge AI

Name: Santosh Kumar Jawalkar,
Email: santoshjawalkar92@gmail.com,
State/ Country: Texas, USA.

Abstract

AR and VR devices become more effective with Edge AI integration resulting in transformative experiences. This technology provides quick data processing combined with enhanced user interaction along with independent operations without needing cloud platforms. AR/VR platforms deliver unsustainable user experience since cloud-based systems produce slow processing times and network dependence delays the user experience. Fast response times are attainable through the direct processing of AI workloads by implementing Edge AI technology onto edge devices. Better first-person shooter performance together with improved virtual environment responsiveness are additional benefits of this technology. Edge AI deployment in AR/VR technology also generates new challenges because of power usage problems alongside thermal issues and add Edge-to-cloud communication requirements. The proposed research introduces an edge testing framework that assesses the performance along with reliability and scalability aspects in Edge AI-powered AR/VR systems.

Auto latency measurement and online evaluation of AI processing speed and networking stability assessment make up the proposed testing infrastructure. The evaluation measured motion-to-photon latency values in combination with jitter performance alongside FPS stability along with AI model inference speed under multiple conditions for Edge AI assessment. The investigation evaluated how edge-cloud synchronization performs while emphasizing the influences of network congestion with related bandwidth restrictions along with update delay durations in real-time AR/VR delivery. The research uses industry standard tools including Unity Profiler, OpenXR, TensorRT and Wireshark to finish a complete performance evaluation of Edge AI-enabled AR/VR applications.

Experimental evaluations show Edge AI delivers motion-to-photon latency below the acceptable level where results stay at 14ms on average. The processing time on edge devices using AI inference reached minimum levels of 8ms thus enabling real-time gesture detection and object identification. Tests exposed two main difficulties consisting of heat-related restrictions and elevated power usage when maintaining AI data processing operations. The network reliability testing confirmed packet loss together with jitter fluctuations persist in cloud-dependent applications until appropriate benchmarks for adaptive bandwidth management and real-time synchronization could be achieved.

The study demonstrates how Edge AI works to improve AR/VR applications through improved functionality alongside better performance speed and higher scalability function without requiring cloud resources. Moving forward the technology requires better dynamical resource distribution together with AI-based anomaly detectors as well as device optimization which tackles both heat generation and energy usage issues. Standard

benchmarking metrics for Edge AI AR/VR applications must be developed to guarantee consistent testing results across all components of hardware as well as network environments. Edge AI will keep advancing future AR/VR innovations by solving existing obstacles to produce highly immersive responsive efficient virtual environments.

Keywords - Edge AI, AR/VR Performance Testing, Real-Time Latency, Cloud-Edge Communication, FPS Stability, AI Inference Optimization, Motion-to-Photon Delay, Network Jitter, Adaptive AI Models, Intelligent Edge Testing Framework.

I. INTRODUCTION

Several sectors including gaming along with healthcare and industrial training with remote collaboration benefit strongly from the fast advancements in Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies [1]. Omni-directional experiences need real-time data processing through decision-making that cloud computing normally enables to ensure smooth delivery [2]. The dependency on networks along with processing delays that result from cloud-based processing affect user experience negatively. Edge AI functions as a viable solution to tackle the issues with artificial intelligence (AI) processing that requires proximity to its data source [3]. Through the implementation of edge computing AR/VR devices become able to execute complicated AI operations at the device level thus improving both speed and quickness. The implementation of Edge AI into AR/VR systems needs thorough testing procedures to maintain their reliability and efficiency because adding Edge AI creates new issues with processing speed and real-time data synchronization and power usage requirements [4].

The testing of intelligent edge systems relies heavily on examining the communication continuity between Edge devices used for AR/VR applications and cloud infrastructure networks. Many applications need cloud connections to update their models and synchronize data and obtain extra computational power despite their local processing benefits [5]. System reliability depends on the edge-cloud collaboration efficiency level mainly because applications need both real-time rendering and crucial decision-making processes [6]. Test frameworks for AR/VR needs to measure network latency and enable bandwidth optimization and establish fault tolerance because this enables smooth device operation regardless of conditions. Prediction analytics combined with AI anomalies detectors serve an essential function in sustaining edge. To cloud connectivity stability so network disruptions will not impact user experience [7].

AR/VR applications need to be benchmarked regarding their performance in latency-sensitive environments during performance evaluations. Standard computers differ from AR/VR because these platforms need quick responses at below 20 ms to stop motion sickness while avoiding lag and any inconsistency in visual output [8]. The testing protocols need to measure FPS stability together with rendering time along with AI inference speed and interactive element response time [9]. Real-time processing capabilities get affected directly through hardware constraints which include thermal management capacity and power efficiency control. Standardized tests for benchmarking AR/VR Edge AI systems need to be developed to create fundamental performance requirements and enhance optimization methods [10].

The research investigates intelligent methods to test AR/VR devices at the edge with a focus on enhancing device reliability together with maintaining performance quality along with a smooth user experience in operational environments [11, 12]. This paper presents a discussion about edge-based AR/VR testing obstacles along with optimization approaches for future research pathways. Our work adds value to the Edge AI-powered AR/VR system research

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

body by demonstrating how robust testing frameworks enable the complete potential of such systems to be achieved.

II. BACKGROUND & RELATED WORK

A. Edge AI in AR/VR Devices

Edge AI integration inside AR/VR devices makes a notable progress in immersive technology because it provides real-time processing capabilities which reduce reliance on cloud systems [2]. Traditional cloud-based AI models function differently than Edge AI since it enables direct execution of processing tasks including object detection and scene understanding while gesture recognition at the AR/VR headset or edge node level [13]. The speed-sensitive applications benefit from this capability because it decreases both motion-to-photon delays and response times that help maintain user engagement. Real-time AI-guided maintenance through AR demands radically low latency which becomes essential to prevent ill effects of motion sickness in VR gaming experiences [14]. The implementation of Edge AI in AR/VR encounters multiple obstacles mainly because of hardware limitations and requires effective energy management and precise calculation decisions. An essential requirement for edge devices using AI is strict power limitations because they need to handle complicated AI processing [3, 5]. The Meta Quest series and Microsoft HoloLens support edge computing functionality for their products although they experience thermal and power consumption problems with intensive AI calculations [15]. Researchers still face an active research problem to create accurate and efficient AI models [2, 6]. A critical problem exists in enabling simultaneous high speeds of processing alongside accurate model performance at low power consumption levels for real-time AR/VR execution [7].

B. Cloud-Edge Communication Models

Edge AI technology allows real-time processing of AR/VR devices, but cloud-edge communication maintains its importance for executing difficult tasks and distributing model updates along with synchronizing massive datasets [5, 7]. A common approach in current AR/VR development involves hybrid AI structures which shift specific computations [8]. A computation to cloud-based services yet conduct real-time handling of information through edge devices. Through this approach computer-intensive operations and high-fidelity rendering and analytics processing task occur in the cloud while time-sensitive functions are executed locally [9, 10]. AR/VR applications use Edge TPU from Google Cloud together with Jetson platform by NVIDIA to achieve cloud-edge performance and adaptability benefits. Such architectural paradigms boost operational scalability because they use real-time decisions to determine which operations remain local and which demand transmission into the cloud [11]. Various obstacles stand in the way of developing effective cloud-edge communication because network latency meets bandwidth limitations and security risks exist. AR/VR applications produce large data volumes that demand instant synchronization therefore organizations need superior data compression tools and transmission protocols and edge caching systems [12]. The combination of 5G and edge computing actively reduces cloud-edge latency. Network congestion and instability together produce unpredictable performance decreases in the system [13]. User data security risks emerge when cloud data transmission occurs because cloud-edge AR/VR systems require federated learning as well as homomorphic encryption alongside secure edge processing to handle sensitive information safely [14, 15].

C. Existing Testing Methodologies and Their Limitations

Standard testing methods for hardware and software within AR/VR systems mainly assess functionality and usability along with graphical performance rather than the performance capabilities of AI-powered edge computing [1, 2]. The three measurement methods of latency testing

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

combined with FPS rates and benchmarks of graphical fidelity do not completely reflect the distinct computational effects that Edge AI enables in AR/VR devices [3]. The testing framework for AR applications that depend on scene understanding through AI models must have specific features to evaluate speed of inference and accuracy in addition to real-time adaptability. OpenXR and Unity Profiler deliver rendering performance data yet they lack proper evaluation capability when it comes to Edge AI-driven optimization systems [4]. The requirement for new testing approaches emerges because Edge AI-enabled AR/VR applications need complete performance analysis alongside AI accuracy cheques and real-time adaptation validation [5]. Traditional testing approaches do not incorporate realistic testing environments during their evaluation process so they produce inaccurate results between laboratory findings and real-life operational use [6]. Testing approaches in use today fail to incorporate changes in network performance along with different edge equipment characteristics and adaptive AI operations during real-time tasks [7]. The current assessment methods do not determine how AI optimization methods influence user experience outcomes including how AI prediction systems and gesture detection tools affect system performance [8].

D. *Performance Bottlenecks in Real-Time Immersive Environments*

The main obstacle in developing AR/VR applications using Edge AI involves controlling performance bottlenecks created by processing delays and network instability [9]. The need for real-time performance in AR/VR applications creates a problem where delays of any duration can result in user motion sickness in addition to performance lag and diminished quality of use [10]. The execution time of models acts as a performance bottleneck for Edge AI deployments because AI-based features like hand tracker [11], object recognizer and spatial mapper implementations require long durations [12]. The effect of thermal requirements and battery capacity constraints results in major impact on the continuous operational capability of AR/VR equipment [13]. The majority of edge AI accelerators achieve AI inference optimization at the cost of compromised power efficiency and reduced operating speed and lasting time of hardware components [8, 14]. The dependency on network connectivity as a hybrid cloud-edge combination creates a significant bottleneck because unreliable connections interfere with AR/VR delivery [14]. 5G and edge computing advancements fail to eliminate jitter as well as packet loss and synchronization errors when networks make fluctuations in immersive environments [14, 15]. Developing a scalable adaptive solution stands as a difficult goal because different AR/VR applications need different levels of execution efficiency together with computational precision and latency thresholds [15].

III. METHODOLOGY

A new methodology introduces an intelligent testing framework at the edge that evaluates performance and reliability of devices implementing Edge AI for AR/VR applications. The system integrates facility that performs automated performance benchmarking and measures real-time latency and analyzes cloud-edge communication systems to support effortless operation in immersive contexts. The framework uses artificial intelligence to run predictive monitoring which detects bottlenecks including high latency and frame drops and synchronization issues in an early stage. This system includes adaptive testing procedures that evaluate the actual performance results when the device operates under multiple network configurations and AI processing requirements and hardware setups. The testing framework includes three main stages which begin with Edge AI performance analysis followed by communication reliability cheques and benchmarking for latency assessment and system response time evaluations and FPS stability evaluations and AI model accuracy assessment. Three testing stages including

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

hardware profiling together with software simulations and real-world scenarios will be utilized for validating the proposed methodology.

A. Proposed Intelligent Edge Testing Framework

TABLE NO 1: INTELLIGENT EDGE TESTING FRAMEWORK

Component	***Description***
Edge AI Performance Analysis	Evaluates AI inference speed, energy efficiency, and processing latency in AR/VR applications.
Real-time Latency Monitoring	Measures system response time, rendering latency, and motion-to-photon delay to ensure low-latency performance.
Cloud-Edge Synchronization	Tests data transfer efficiency, model updates, and real-time connectivity between AR/VR edge devices and cloud servers.
Network Condition Adaptability	Assesses system performance under different network conditions (5G, Wi-Fi, and low-bandwidth scenarios).
Power and Thermal Profiling	Analyzes power consumption and thermal impact of Edge AI workloads on AR/VR hardware.
User Experience Evaluation	Captures real-world user experience metrics, including **motion sickness prevention, interaction smoothness, and FPS stability**.
Automated Benchmarking & Optimization	Implements AI-driven **performance tuning and anomaly detection** to enhance AR/VR system stability and efficiency.

B. Techniques for Latency Measurement and Benchmarking

TABLE NO 2: TECHNNIQUES FOR LATENCY MEASURE & BENCHMARKING

Technique	***Description***
Frame-to-Frame Latency Measurement	Measures time delay between consecutive frames to ensure smooth rendering in AR/VR environments.
Motion-to-Photon Latency	Evaluates the time taken for user input (head movement, hand tracking) to reflect in the display output.
AI Inference Latency	Assesses the processing time of AI models embedded in edge devices to optimize computational efficiency.
Edge-to-Cloud Data Transmission Latency	Measures network delay between edge devices and cloud servers, ensuring minimal disruption in real-time applications.
FPS Stability Benchmarking	Tracks variations in Frames Per Second (FPS) to detect rendering inefficiencies and performance drops.
Rendering Pipeline Profiling	Analyzes GPU processing time, shading computation, and buffer synchronization to optimize AR/VR graphics performance.
Thermal Impact on Latency	Examines how device overheating affects real-time responsiveness and AI inference speed.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

C. Testing Communication Reliability in Edge-Cloud Interactions

TABLE NO 3: TESTING COMMUNICATION RELIABILITY IN EDGE-CLOUD INTERACTIONS

Testing Aspect	***Description***
Cloud Synchronization Delay	Measures the time required for edge devices to sync AI models and data with cloud servers.
Packet Loss & Error Rate	Evaluates data transmission integrity by measuring packet drop rates and error correction efficiency.
Adaptive Streaming Quality	Tests how AR/VR applications dynamically adjust resolution and bitrate based on network conditions.
Bandwidth Utilization	Analyzes network bandwidth consumption to optimize data transmission and cloud-edge workload distribution.
Network Jitter Analysis	Detects fluctuations in data transfer rates to ensure smooth AR/VR experiences under unstable network conditions.
AI Model Update Synchronization	Evaluates the efficiency of edge devices receiving AI model updates from the cloud without performance degradation.

D. Tools, Datasets, or Environments Used for Testing

TABLE NO 4: TOOLS, DATASETS, OR ENVIRONMENTS USED FOR TESTING

Category	Tools & Environments	Purpose
Benchmarking Tools	Unity Profiler, OpenXR, NVIDIA Nsight	Performance profiling and GPU rendering analysis in AR/VR systems.
Latency Measurement	Wireshark, Oculus Debug Tool, LatencyMon	Network latency tracking, motion-to-photon delay analysis, and frame stability monitoring.
AI Inference Profiling	TensorFlow Lite, NVIDIA TensorRT, Edge TPU	Optimization of AI models for real-time edge execution in AR/VR devices.
Network Emulation	5G Testbed, CloudSim, NS3	Simulating real-world cloud-edge network conditions and data transmission delays.
AR/VR Testing Environments	Unreal Engine, OpenVR, WebXR	Simulating and testing AR/VR applications under different edge computing scenarios.
Edge Computing Hardware	Meta Quest, Microsoft HoloLens, NVIDIA Jetson, Google Coral	Testing Edge AI performance on AR/VR hardware platforms.
Datasets for Model Evaluation	KITTI Dataset, Waymo Open Dataset, EgoHands Dataset	Training and benchmarking AI-driven spatial awareness, hand tracking, and real-time object recognition in AR/VR.

International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management ISSN: 2583-6129
Volume: 03 Issue: 11 | Nov – 2024 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP & RESULTS

A. *Hardware and Software Specifications*

TABLE NO 5: HARDWARE & SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS

Component	Specifications
Edge AI Hardware	NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX, Google Coral Edge TPU, Meta Quest 3, Microsoft HoloLens 2
Processor	Qualcomm Snapdragon XR2 Gen 2, NVIDIA Orin, Intel Core i7 12700K
GPU	NVIDIA RTX 3080, Adreno 650 (for mobile AR/VR), Mali-G78
Memory (RAM)	16GB LPDDR5 (Edge devices), 32GB DDR5 (PC-based VR setups)
Storage	512GB NVMe SSD (PC), 128GB UFS 3.1 (Edge devices)
Networking	Wi-Fi 6E, 5G mmWave, Ethernet (1Gbps)
Operating System	Android 12 (AR), Windows 11 (VR), Ubuntu 20.04 (Edge AI processing)
Development & Testing Platforms	Unity 2022, Unreal Engine 5, OpenXR SDK, TensorFlow Lite, NVIDIA TensorRT
Benchmarking Tools	Unity Profiler, Oculus Debug Tool, Wireshark, LatencyMon, OpenVR Benchmark

B. *Performance Benchmarks (Latency, Jitter, FPS Stability, etc.)*

TABLE NO 6: PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKS FOR EDGE AI in AR/VR DEVICES

Metric	***Tested Scenario***	***Measured Value***	***Acceptable Threshold***
Motion-to-Photon Latency	Hand tracking in AR (Meta Quest 3)	14ms	≤ 20ms
Edge AI Inference Speed	Object detection on Edge TPU	8ms	≤ 15ms
FPS Stability	VR rendering at 90Hz	Stable at 89.5Hz	±1 FPS fluctuation
Jitter	Real-time streaming to cloud	3.2ms variation	≤ 5ms
Network Latency (5G)	Edge-cloud communication for AI model updates	12ms	≤ 20ms
Packet Loss Rate	AR application under congested Wi-Fi	1.1%	≤ 2%
Thermal Impact	Sustained AI inference load	Max 68°C	≤ 75°C

International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management
Volume: 03 Issue: 11 | Nov – 2024
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata
ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

C. *Case Studies on AR/VR Applications Using Edge AI*

TABLE NO 7: CASE STUDIES ON AR/VR APPLICATIONS WITH EDGE AI

Case Study	***Application***	***Edge AI Task***	***Performance Outcome***
AI-Based Gesture Recognition	Hand-tracking in AR/VR UI	Edge AI real-time hand tracking	Inference latency: 9ms, Accuracy:
AI-Powered VR Gaming	Action-based VR game on Meta Quest	AI-driven motion prediction for interaction smoothness	FPS stability: 89Hz, Motion latency: 12ms
Remote AR Assistance	Remote collaboration in industrial repairs	AI-based scene understanding and annotation overlay	Edge-cloud sync delay: 16ms, Packet loss:
Healthcare VR Therapy	VR for PTSD treatment	AI emotion analysis via facial tracking	Latency: 15ms, Jitter: 3.5ms
Industrial AR Training	AR-based maintenance guidance	AI-driven object recognition and real-time instructions overlay	Inference speed: 10ms, Response time: 18ms

D. *Discussion on Test Results and Insights*

The testing demonstrated that the motion-to-photon latency met the required 20ms limit throughout all experiments thus maintaining a smooth virtual reality environment. The Edge TPUs along with Jetson Xavier NX executed object detection and gesture recognition tasks at processing times shorter than 10 milliseconds which supported live response conditions. The measurements showed that edge-cloud interactions through 5G connections maintained an average delay of 12ms thus making hybrid architectures possible. The system faced thermal plus energy consumption issues when performing continuous AI operations. Prolonged AI inference tasks caused Meta Quest 3 and HoloLens 2 devices to rise in temperature up to 68°C resulting in necessary thermal optimization needs.

The network performance showed variations during testing because Wi-Fi congestion caused packet loss to reach 1.5% although it did not significantly affect real-time AR rendering. The analysis results showed that network jitter reached over 3ms in poor conditions thus causing synchronization problems in AR/VR applications that depend on cloud connectivity. The outcomes confirm the successful implementation of intelligent edge testing frameworks designed for AR/VR systems and indicate three main optimization steps which consist of AI model adaptiveness and balanced edge-cloud operations and thermal system control approaches. Moving forward the main development effort should concentrate on dynamic resource management joined with AI-based predictive optimization features alongside improved edge hardware processing capabilities to make both latency and power efficiency reach their best potential in actual real-world AR/VR implementation.

V. KEY FINDINGS & LIMITATIONS

A. *Key Findings and Impact on AR/VR Ecosystem*

TABLE NO 8: KEY FINDINGS AND IMPACT ON AR/VR ECOSYSTEM

Key Finding	***Impact on AR/VR Ecosystem***
Edge AI Reduces Latency	Improved real-time responsiveness, enhancing

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

in AR/VR Applications	user experience and reducing motion sickness.
Hybrid Cloud-Edge Architecture Optimizes Performance	Enables AI model updates, load balancing, and seamless scalability, supporting high-quality immersive experiences.
AI-Driven Gesture Recognition is Highly Efficient	Enhanced natural interaction methods for AR/VR applications, making hand tracking and gesture control more accurate and responsive.
FPS Stability is Achievable with Edge AI Processing	Prevents frame drops and input lag, ensuring smooth AR/VR rendering even under varying workload conditions.
Thermal Management is a Critical Challenge	Sustained AI workloads lead to increased device temperatures, requiring efficient cooling solutions and optimized power management.
5G Significantly Improves Edge-Cloud Synchronization	Lower network latency (12ms on average) allows better real-time collaboration and AI-assisted AR annotations.
Network Congestion Affects Cloud-Dependent Applications	Packet loss and jitter impact real-time rendering and data synchronization, requiring adaptive bandwidth management techniques.
AI Inference Efficiency Varies by Hardware	Edge AI performance depends on chipset capabilities, making hardware selection crucial for optimized AR/VR experiences.
Real-Time Testing Frameworks Improve Performance Optimization	Intelligent edge testing frameworks allow automated anomaly detection and performance tuning, improving AR/VR system stability.

B. Limitations of the Study

TABLE NO 9: LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Limitation	***Impact on Study***
Limited Edge Hardware Tested	Results are based on specific Edge AI chipsets (Jetson Xavier NX, Coral TPU, Snapdragon XR2) and may vary with other hardware.
Network Variability Not Fully Controlled	Different real-world network conditions (Wi-Fi, 5G, low-bandwidth scenarios) introduce unpredictability in latency and jitter measurements.
Thermal and Power Constraints Remain a Challenge	Extended AI workloads cause overheating, affecting long-term usability and device lifespan.
Lack of Standardized Edge AI Benchmarking for AR/VR	Current performance benchmarks focus on general computing, lacking AR/VR-specific Edge AI metrics.
Edge-Cloud Model Synchronization Requires Further Optimization	Model updates and data synchronization delays can impact real-time AI inference performance in dynamic AR/VR environments.
Scalability Testing Not Exhaustive	The study focuses on single-device Edge AI testing and does not fully evaluate multi-device synchronization and scalability.
User-Centric Performance Metrics Not Fully Explored	The study primarily focuses on technical performance metrics, with limited subjective user experience evaluation.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

VI. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

A. Conclusion

Researchers investigated smart edge testing techniques for assessing the operational characteristics together with dependability and communication speed of Edge AI-enhanced AR/VR devices. The research evaluated AR/VR performance through benchmarking of latency time and cloud-edge synchronization and real-time artificial intelligence inference to prove Edge AI delivers superior AR/VR quality by both speed up response times and maintaining stable frame per seconds. The designed testing framework delivered effective results regarding motion-to-photon latency and network jitter alongside AI-driven rendering performance measurements for complete Edge AI evaluation. Edge AI-powered AR/VR applications need additional optimization because they face thermal constraints, power efficiency problems and network variability issues.

B. Future Work

.

Advancement of Edge AI testing for AR/VR requires focused research efforts on creating standardized benchmarking methods. Future work in Edge AI testing for AR/VR should concentrate on improving AI model deployment for minimal-power edge devices together with developing testing frameworks that automatically modify for specific real-time settings. System reliability receives an enhancement through the use of AI-driven predictive monitoring which detects and resolves performance abnormalities while they happen in real time. The implementation of efficient cooling methods will be needed to resolve thermal management problems. AI-based power optimization methods will keep next-generation AR/VR devices operating optimally until the following generation. The testing scope involving multi-device edge-cloud interaction must expand because this will ensure seamless scalability and collaborative experiences. As well as real-time synchronization in future Edge AI-powered AR/VR ecosystems.

REFERENCES

[1] Rau, Pei-Luen Patrick, Jian Zheng, Zhi Guo, and Jiaqi Li. "Speed reading on virtual reality and augmented reality." *Computers & Education* 125 (2018): 240-245.

[2] Joda, Tim, G. O. Gallucci, Daniel Wismeijer, and Nicola U. Zitzmann. "Augmented and virtual reality in dental medicine: A systematic review." *Computers in biology and medicine* 108 (2019): 93-100.

[3] Gavish, Nirit, Teresa Gutiérrez, Sabine Webel, Jorge Rodríguez, Matteo Peveri, Uli Bockholt, and Franco Tecchia. "Evaluating virtual reality and augmented reality training for industrial maintenance and assembly tasks." *Interactive Learning Environments* 23, no. 6 (2015): 778-798.

[4] Wu, Bian, Xiaoxue Yu, and Xiaoqing Gu. "Effectiveness of immersive virtual reality using head-mounted displays on learning performance: A meta-analysis." *British journal of educational technology* 51, no. 6 (2020): 1991-2005.

[5] Fast-Berglund, Åsa, Liang Gong, and Dan Li. "Testing and validating Extended Reality (xR) technologies in manufacturing." *Procedia Manufacturing* 25 (2018): 31-38.

[6] Liberatore, Matthew J., and William P. Wagner. "Virtual, mixed, and augmented reality: a systematic review for immersive systems research." *Virtual Reality* 25, no. 3 (2021): 773-799.

[7] Condino, Sara, Marina Carbone, Roberta Piazza, Mauro Ferrari, and Vincenzo Ferrari. "Perceptual limits of optical see-through visors for augmented reality guidance of manual tasks." *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 67, no. 2 (2019): 411-419.

[8] Xi, Nannan, Juan Chen, Filipe Gama, Marc Riar, and Juho Hamari. "The challenges of entering the metaverse: An experiment on the effect of extended reality on

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM02158

workload." *Information Systems Frontiers* 25, no. 2 (2023): 659-680.

[9] McGrath, Jillian L., Jeffrey M. Taekman, Parvati Dev, Douglas R. Danforth, Deepika Mohan, Nicholas Kman, Amanda Crichlow et al. "Using virtual reality simulation environments to assess competence for emergency medicine learners." *Academic Emergency Medicine* 25, no. 2 (2018): 186-195.

[10] Liou, Hsin-Hun, Stephen JH Yang, Sherry Y. Chen, and Wernhuar Tarng. "The influences of the 2D image-based augmented reality and virtual reality on student learning." *Journal of Educational Technology & Society* 20, no. 3 (2017): 110-121.

[11] Buchner, Josef, Katja Buntins, and Michael Kerres. "The impact of augmented reality on cognitive load and performance: A systematic review." *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* 38, no. 1 (2022): 285-303.

[12] Aukstakalnis, Steve. *Practical augmented reality: A guide to the technologies, applications, and human factors for AR and VR*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2016.

[13] Jo, Dongsik, and Gerard Jounghyun Kim. "ARIoT: scalable augmented reality framework for interacting with Internet of Things appliances everywhere." *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics* 62, no. 3 (2016): 334-340.

[14] Fan, Xiaojun, Xinyu Jiang, and Nianqi Deng. "Immersive technology: A meta-analysis of augmented/virtual reality applications and their impact on tourism experience." *Tourism Management* 91 (2022): 104534.

[15] Dünser, Andreas, Karin Steinbügl, Hannes Kaufmann, and Judith Glück. "Virtual and augmented reality as spatial ability training tools." In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGCHI New Zealand chapter's international conference on Computer-human interaction: design centered HCI*, pp. 125-132. 2006.