International Scientific Journal of Engineering and Management (ISJEM) ISSN: 2583-6129
Special Edition: Volume: 04 PKDAL – 2025 DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM.PKDAL035
An International Scholarly || Multidisciplinary || Open Access || Indexing in all major Database & Metadata

Open Knowledge Infrastructure and Institutional Repositories (OKIIR)

Mr. Prakash Babanrao Jadhav
Research Scholar, Dept. of Library and Information Science,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar
and Librarian,
Yashwantrao Chavan Law College, Pune
Dr. Anuja A. Kastikar
Librarian & Research Guide,
Swa. Sawarkar Mahavidyalaya, Beed

Abstract:

The growing demand for accessible, transparent, and sustainable scholarly communication has foregrounded the importance of Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI) and Institutional Repositories (IRs). This paper explores the strategic interplay between OKI and IRs in shaping a resilient, inclusive, and equitable academic ecosystem. Drawing from global practices and policy frameworks, we propose a holistic model for Open Knowledge Infrastructure and Institutional Repositories (OKIIR) that emphasizes interoperability, governance, funding, and community engagement. The paper offers insights into how institutions can align their repository initiatives with broader open science goals while addressing challenges such as digital preservation, metadata standards, and long-term sustainability.

Keywords- Open Knowledge Infrastructure, Institutional Repositories, Open Access, Digital Preservation

1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of scholarly communication, access to knowledge is increasingly recognized as a fundamental right rather than a privilege. The rise of the open science movement, bolstered by policy mandates and technological advancements, has intensified global efforts to make research outputs freely accessible, reusable, and transparent. At the heart of this transformation lies the concept of **Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI)**—a coordinated system of tools, services, and policies that enable the open sharing of scholarly outputs—and **Institutional Repositories (IRs)**, which serve as the foundational components of this infrastructure at the local level.

Institutional repositories have traditionally played a role in preserving and showcasing an institution's scholarly output. However, their role is expanding in the context of open knowledge, moving beyond simple archiving toward enabling interoperability, supporting data sharing, complying with open access mandates, and contributing to the global visibility of research. As open science becomes the default in many research environments, the integration of IRs within a broader, robust, and sustainable knowledge infrastructure becomes essential.

This paper introduces the framework of **Open Knowledge Infrastructure and Institutional Repositories (OKIIR)** as a strategic model to bridge local repository practices with global open infrastructure initiatives. It explores the critical components, challenges, and opportunities of building and sustaining institutional repositories that are interoperable, inclusive, and aligned with international open science goals. By synthesizing best practices, policy frameworks, and case studies, this study aims to provide a roadmap for institutions, libraries, and policymakers to develop resilient repository ecosystems that support the equitable dissemination of knowledge worldwide.

2. The Evolution of Open Knowledge Infrastructure

The concept of **Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI)** has evolved in response to the need for more inclusive, transparent, and collaborative systems of knowledge creation and dissemination. Initially focused on open access to journal articles, the movement has expanded to encompass a broader ecosystem that includes research data, software, educational resources, and scholarly metadata (Bilder, Lin, & Neylon, 2015). OKI refers not just to digital platforms, but also to the institutional, social, and policy frameworks that enable equitable participation in the production and use of knowledge.

Over the past two decades, various actors—including governments, universities, libraries, and non-profit organizations—have developed infrastructures that support open science and scholarly communication. Examples include open-source repository platforms (e.g., DSpace, EPrints), persistent identifier systems (e.g., DOI, ORCID), metadata standards (e.g., Dublin Core, CERIF), and regional networks like OpenAIRE in Europe and LA Referencia in Latin America (Chan et al., 2020). These tools enable discoverability, interoperability, and long-term preservation of scholarly outputs across institutional and national boundaries.

Importantly, OKI is more than a technical solution; it is also a **governance and values-based framework**. The shift toward community-owned infrastructure is a response to the increasing consolidation of scholarly publishing in the hands of a few commercial entities. Open infrastructure advocates emphasize the importance of transparency, community control, sustainability, and alignment with public interest (SPARC, 2023).

As digital scholarship expands and the demand for open access grows, there is a clear need for scalable, interoperable, and resilient infrastructures. Institutional repositories—once seen as passive archives—are now recognized as key nodes within the broader OKI landscape, contributing not only to preservation but also to open, global knowledge sharing (Tennant et al., 2016).

3. Institutional Repositories: Role and Relevance

Institutional Repositories (IRs) serve as critical components of the scholarly communication system, particularly within the broader landscape of Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI). Originally conceived as digital archives

for preserving an institution's scholarly output, IRs have evolved into dynamic platforms that facilitate knowledge dissemination, promote open access, and support research visibility on both local and global scales (Lynch, 2003).

At their core, IRs collect, manage, and provide long-term access to a wide range of digital content, including journal articles, theses and dissertations, datasets, conference papers, grey literature, and multimedia materials. Their relevance has grown with the increasing implementation of open access mandates by research funders and institutions, which require publicly funded research to be made freely available (Pinfield, 2015). By enabling **green open access** pathways, IRs help authors self-archive preprints or accepted manuscripts, thus circumventing paywalls imposed by commercial publishers.

Beyond open access, IRs play an important role in **institutional memory and preservation**. They safeguard the intellectual capital of universities and research organizations, ensuring long-term accessibility and usability of digital content. Their ability to assign persistent identifiers (such as DOIs) and support standardized metadata practices enhances discoverability and integration with external aggregators, such as OpenAIRE, CORE, and WorldCat (Kim, 2010).

The strategic relevance of IRs has expanded in recent years, especially in the context of open science and research data management. Many repositories now support **data curation, compliance with FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable), and integration with research information systems (CRIS)**. As such, IRs contribute not only to dissemination but also to the transparency and reproducibility of research (Shearer et al., 2020).

Moreover, IRs serve as important tools for **institutional branding and impact measurement**. By aggregating and showcasing the scholarly output of faculty and students, IRs enhance institutional visibility and can support bibliometric and altmetric analyses. For researchers in the Global South or underrepresented disciplines, IRs provide an inclusive platform to disseminate work that might not find space in commercial journals or high-impact publishing venues (Chan et al., 2020).

IRs face challenges such as limited staff capacity, inadequate funding, low faculty engagement, and interoperability gaps. Addressing these limitations requires aligning IRs with broader OKI principles that emphasize sustainability, openness, and community governance.

The synergy between IRs and OKI becomes evident when repositories are aligned with national and global research infrastructures.

4. The OKIIR Framework

To fully realize the potential of institutional repositories within the broader ecosystem of Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI), a more strategic, holistic model is required. This paper introduces the **Open Knowledge Infrastructure and Institutional Repositories (OKIIR) Framework**—a conceptual and practical model that outlines the key pillars for building and sustaining resilient, interoperable, and community-driven repository systems. The framework is designed to guide institutions, policymakers, and repository managers in aligning local repository practices with global open science and open infrastructure movements.

The OKIIR Framework consists of **four interrelated pillars**: **governance, interoperability, sustainability, and community engagement**. Each pillar addresses specific needs and challenges while emphasizing principles of openness, equity, and long-term impact.

4.1 Governance

Effective governance is essential for ensuring that institutional repositories function ethically, transparently, and in alignment with the values of open science. Governance structures should clearly define roles and responsibilities across stakeholders—including librarians, faculty, IT staff, and research administrators—while incorporating mechanisms for participatory decision-making and accountability (Bilder et al., 2015).

Additionally, governance policies must address issues such as:

- Intellectual property and copyright management
- Embargo policies and access controls
- Metadata standards and quality assurance
- Data privacy and ethical stewardship

Transparent governance fosters trust among users and stakeholders, which is vital for encouraging participation and long-term support.

4.2 Interoperability

Interoperability enables institutional repositories to participate in a larger ecosystem of open knowledge platforms and services. This includes integration with:

- **Metadata aggregators** (e.g., OpenAIRE, CORE)
- **Persistent identifier systems** (e.g., DOI, ORCID, ROR)
- **Harvesting protocols** (e.g., OAI-PMH)
- **Open scholarly infrastructure tools** (e.g., Crossref, DataCite)

Interoperability not only facilitates discoverability and reuse of repository content but also ensures compliance with funder mandates and alignment with international standards such as the **FAIR Data Principles** (Wilkinson et al., 2016).

4.3 Sustainability

Sustainability is a critical challenge for institutional repositories, particularly in terms of financial resources, technical maintenance, and staff expertise. The OKIIR Framework advocates for a multi-pronged sustainability strategy that includes:

- **Institutional funding commitments**
- **Consortial models and shared infrastructure**
- **Adoption of open-source platforms** (e.g., DSpace, Samvera)
- **Capacity building and staff development**

Long-term preservation strategies should also be embedded within sustainability planning, ensuring the durability of digital content and metadata over time (Pinfield et al., 2014).

4.4 Community Engagement

Institutional repositories must be rooted in the needs of the academic and broader public communities they serve. Community engagement strategies should include:

- Active outreach to faculty and researchers to deposit work
- Support for underrepresented voices and diverse content types
- Multilingual interfaces and culturally sensitive metadata
- Collaboration with citizen science and non-academic knowledge producers

Repositories that engage meaningfully with their users are more likely to build strong participation networks, increase repository usage, and support inclusive knowledge creation (Chan et al., 2020).

5. Challenges and Opportunities

While the integration of Institutional Repositories (IRs) within a broader Open Knowledge Infrastructure (OKI) presents significant potential, the path toward realizing this vision is not without obstacles. Institutions and repository managers face a range of **technical, organizational, financial, and cultural challenges** that can hinder the effectiveness and sustainability of OKIIR systems. However, these challenges are paralleled by equally significant **opportunities** for innovation, policy reform, and inclusive development.

5.1 Challenges

a) Technical Limitations

Many repositories lack the advanced technical infrastructure necessary to support full interoperability with global systems. Common issues include outdated software, inconsistent metadata standards, insufficient support for multilingual content, and limited integration with persistent identifier systems (Kim, 2010). Moreover, digital preservation practices are unevenly applied, placing long-term accessibility at risk (Pinfield et al., 2014).

b) Organizational and Policy Barriers

A frequent challenge is the absence of coherent institutional policies that mandate or incentivize self-archiving. Faculty participation remains limited in many contexts due to concerns about copyright, time constraints, or lack of awareness (Creaser et al., 2010). Additionally, some institutions treat repositories as peripheral IT projects rather than core components of research infrastructure.

c) Financial and Human Resource Constraints

Many IRs operate with minimal budgets and limited staff, which restricts their ability to innovate, scale, or maintain robust services. Reliance on project-based or short-term funding further undermines sustainability, especially in lower-resourced regions (Shearer et al., 2020).

d) Inequity and Global Imbalance

Disparities in access to digital infrastructure and repository technology between institutions in the Global North and Global South persist. These imbalances risk reinforcing existing knowledge asymmetries unless addressed through inclusive design and support strategies (Chan et al., 2020).

5.2 Opportunities

a) Global Policy Momentum Toward Open Science

Major funders, including the European Commission, UNESCO, and various national governments, are adopting open science mandates that emphasize the importance of infrastructure and data-sharing (UNESCO, 2021). These policies create fertile ground for IRs to align with strategic institutional missions and attract new forms of support.

b) Technological Advancements and Standards The emergence of open-source repository platforms (e.g., Invenio, Samvera), AI-enhanced metadata tools, and standardized protocols (e.g., COAR's Next Generation

Repositories recommendations) provides a growing toolbox for enhancing repository performance, discoverability, and automation (COAR, 2017).

c) Community and Consortial Models

Collaborative repository networks such as LA Referencia, OpenAIRE, and AfricArXiv demonstrate the power of regional consortia to pool resources, share infrastructure, and amplify research visibility. These models offer blueprints for scaling repositories through shared governance and infrastructure (Piron et al., 2020).

d) Growing Interest in Bibliodiversity and Decolonization

There is increasing recognition of the need to diversify scholarly communication by supporting multiple languages, epistemologies, and publication formats. IRs are uniquely positioned to support this movement by hosting theses, local journals, grey literature, and non-traditional research outputs, thus challenging the dominance of commercial metrics and gatekeeping (Shearer et al., 2020).

6. Conclusion

The convergence of open access imperatives, digital transformation, and evolving scholarly communication practices has positioned **Open Knowledge Infrastructure and Institutional Repositories (OKIIR)** as essential components of a sustainable and equitable research ecosystem. Institutional repositories, once viewed primarily as passive archives, are now key enablers of open science—supporting transparency, interoperability, data stewardship, and the democratization of knowledge production.

The OKIIR framework presented in this paper offers a strategic lens through which institutions can assess and enhance their repository systems. By focusing on the four pillars of **governance**, **interoperability**, **sustainability**, and **community engagement**, stakeholders can create repositories that are not only technically sound but also socially responsive and globally connected.

Despite significant challenges—ranging from resource limitations to uneven policy landscapes—opportunities abound. The global momentum toward open science, the proliferation of open-source tools, and the rise of collaborative networks offer viable pathways for institutional repositories to expand their scope and impact. Furthermore, IRs can play a transformative role in promoting **bibliodiversity**, supporting non-commercial scholarship, and amplifying underrepresented voices, particularly from the Global South.

Ultimately, advancing OKIIR is not merely a technical endeavour but a **commitment to equity, inclusivity, and public good**. By investing in robust repository infrastructures that align with open knowledge principles, institutions can empower scholars, enrich public discourse, and contribute meaningfully to a more open and just knowledge society.

References

1. Bilder, G., Lin, J., & Neylon, C. (2015). *Principles for Open Scholarly Infrastructure*. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1314859
2. Chan, L., Okune, A., Hillyer, R., Albornoz, D., & Posada, A. (2020). *Situating Open Science: Trends and Narratives in Global Context*. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 41(1), 14–40.
3. Chan, L., Okune, A., Hillyer, R., Albornoz, D., & Posada, A. (2020). *Situating Open Science: Trends and Narratives in Global Context*. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 41(1), 14–40.
4. Chan, L., Okune, A., Hillyer, R., Albornoz, D., & Posada, A. (2020). *Situating Open Science: Trends and Narratives in Global Context*. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 41(1), 14–40.
5. COAR. (2017). *Next Generation Repositories: Behaviours and Technical Recommendations of the COAR Next Generation Repositories Working Group*. Retrieved from https://www.coar-repositories.org
6. Creaser, C., Fry, J., Greenwood, H., Oppenheim, C., Probets, S., Spezi, V., & White, S. (2010). Authors' awareness and attitudes toward open access repositories. *New Review of Academic Librarianship*, 16(S1), 145–161.
7. European Commission. (2022). *Open Science Policy Framework.*
8. Kim, J. (2010). Faculty self-archiving: Motivations and barriers. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(9), 1909–1922. https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21336
9. Kim, J. (2010). Faculty self-archiving: Motivations and barriers. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(9), 1909–1922.
10. LA Referencia. (2024). *Regional Open Access Repository Network.*
11. Lynch, C. A. (2003). Institutional repositories: Essential infrastructure for scholarship in the digital age. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 3(2), 327–336. https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2003.0039
12. OpenAIRE. (2024). *About the Infrastructure.*
13. Pinfield, S. (2015). Making open access work: The 'state-of-the-art' in providing open access to scholarly literature. *Online Information Review*, 39(5), 604–636.
14. Pinfield, S., et al. (2014). *Developing Institutional Repositories: Requirements and Challenges.* Journal of Librarianship and Information Science.
15. Pinfield, S., Salter, J., & Bath, P. A. (2014). *The 'total cost of publication' in a hybrid open-access environment*. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 67(7), 1751–1766.
16. Piron, F., Régulus, M., Dibounje Madiba, G., & Adebayo, A. (2020). *Open access in Francophone Africa: Structuring a sustainable and inclusive ecosystem for scholarly communication*. Revue Francophone sur la Recherche en Éducation, 9(2), 1–22.

17. Shearer, K., Chan, L., Kuchma, I., & Belli, S. (2020). *Fostering bibliodiversity in scholarly communications: A call for action*. Communications in Research and Libraries, 1(1).

18. Shearer, K., et al. (2020). *Fostering bibliodiversity in scholarly communications: A call for action*. Communications in Research and Libraries, 1(1).

19. SPARC. (2023). *Advancing Open Knowledge Infrastructure: Guiding Principles and Practices*. Retrieved from https://sparcopen.org

20. SPARC. (2023). *Infrastructure for Scholarly Communication.*

21. Suber, P. (2012). *Open Access.* MIT Press.

22. Tennant, J. P., et al. (2016). *The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: An evidence-based review.* F1000Research.

23. Tennant, J. P., et al. (2016). *The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: An evidence-based review*. F1000Research, 5, 632. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8460.3

24. UNESCO. (2021). *UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science*. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org