Plasma Decontamination of Pesticides and Pathogens: Investigating Plasma as an Eco-Friendly Method for Reducing Agricultural Pollutants

Punit Kumar
Department of Physics, University of Lucknow, Lucknow – 226007, India

Abstract

Modern agricultural systems extensively use chemical pesticides to protect crops, while also facing increasing challenges from microbial contamination. However, these practices pose significant risks to human health and environmental well-being. Conventional decontamination approaches such as chemical washing, heat treatments, or mechanical methods often fall short due to inefficiency, high energy demands, or the generation of harmful by-products. In this context, plasma technology, particularly non-thermal plasma (NTP), has emerged as a sustainable and effective alternative. NTP operates at near-room temperature and produces reactive species capable of breaking down complex pesticide molecules and neutralizing a broad spectrum of pathogens without damaging sensitive produce. This paper explores the underlying mechanisms through which plasma interacts with pesticides and microorganisms, reviews current technological advancements, and assesses the applicability of plasma-based solutions for agricultural decontamination. It also highlights both experimental findings and theoretical insights, while outlining future research needs and strategies for integrating plasma technology into eco-friendly and sustainable agricultural practices.

Keywords : Non-Thermal Plasma (NTP), Pesticide Degradation, Pathogen Inactivation, Plasma Agriculture, Eco-Friendly Decontamination, Sustainable Farming Technologies

1. Introduction

Modern agriculture plays a pivotal role in ensuring global food security, feeding over eight billion people worldwide. To meet the growing demand for high-yield and disease-free crops, farmers frequently use chemical pesticides to control pests and pathogens. These pesticides, although effective, often leave residues on food products and seep into the environment, causing health concerns and ecological imbalances (Aktar et al., 2009). Moreover, microbial contamination by bacteria (e.g., Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp.), fungi (e.g., Aspergillus), and viruses continues to challenge food safety, contributing to foodborne illnesses globally (Scallan et al., 2011). To address these challenges, post-harvest decontamination techniques such as washing, heating, irradiation, and chemical disinfection are commonly used. However, each of these methods comes with drawbacks. Washing alone cannot remove strongly adhered or internalized pesticide residues (Gil et al., 2009), while thermal processing may degrade the nutritional and sensory quality of fresh produce (Rico et al., 2007). Chemical treatments, though efficient in microbial reduction, raise concerns regarding chemical by-products and consumer safety (Sapers, 2001). These limitations necessitate the development of innovative, non-invasive, and sustainable technologies.

Plasma technology, particularly non-thermal or cold plasma (NTP), has emerged as a transformative approach to food decontamination. Plasma is often referred to as the fourth state of matter and comprises a quasi-neutral mixture of ions, electrons, neutral molecules, excited atoms, and reactive species such as ozone (O_3), nitric oxide (NO), reactive oxygen species (ROS), and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) (Misra et al., 2011). When generated under atmospheric or low-pressure conditions, non-thermal plasma can efficiently target contaminants without the need for high temperatures, making it ideal for treating heat-sensitive fruits and vegetables (Niemira, 2012).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

Non-thermal plasma operates by initiating a cascade of reactions that damage the cellular structure and metabolic functions of pathogens, leading to their inactivation. Reactive species produced in the plasma can oxidize cell walls, disrupt DNA/RNA, and impair essential enzymatic functions (Bourke et al., 2017). Studies have demonstrated its effectiveness against a wide range of microorganisms, including Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, and Botrytis cinerea, on surfaces of apples, strawberries, tomatoes, and other produce (Ercan & Wang, 2013; Basaran et al., 2008).

In terms of pesticide degradation, plasma interacts with organic molecules, breaking down complex pesticide compounds into simpler, often non-toxic, molecules through oxidative and photolytic mechanisms (Sivachandiran & Khacef, 2017). For instance, experiments have shown significant degradation of organophosphates and carbamates like chlorpyrifos and carbaryl on fruit surfaces following plasma treatment (Song et al., 2016). This technology thus offers a dual benefit: the reduction of harmful chemical residues and microbial load without compromising food quality.

An important advantage of plasma treatment lies in its adaptability. It can be applied using various configurations such as dielectric barrier discharges (DBDs), corona discharges, or plasma jets, each suitable for specific commodity types and surfaces (Schnabel et al., 2015). These systems can be scaled from laboratory units to industrial-level treatment chambers or conveyor-based setups, offering flexibility and integration with existing post-harvest infrastructure (Pankaj et al., 2014).

Further, plasma does not leave chemical residues and requires only minimal inputs like air, nitrogen, or noble gases. This eco-friendly attribute aligns well with global initiatives for sustainable agriculture and green food processing (Thirumdas et al., 2018). It has also gained regulatory attention, with studies underway to assess its safety, regulatory status, and consumer acceptance (Misra et al., 2016).

Despite the promise, several challenges remain. The efficacy of plasma is affected by factors such as treatment time, gas composition, distance from plasma source, and the type of food surface (Lu et al., 2014). Additionally, the cost of plasma equipment, uniformity of treatment on irregular surfaces, and potential for oxidative damage to certain nutrients require further investigation.

Thus, non-thermal plasma represents a cutting-edge solution to two of the most pressing issues in modern agriculture—pesticide residues and microbial contamination. By offering a non-invasive, scalable, and eco-friendly approach, plasma treatment holds the potential to revolutionize post-harvest food safety and quality assurance. Continued interdisciplinary research, pilot-scale trials, and regulatory harmonization are key to transitioning this technology from the lab to the field.

2. Mechanisms of Pesticide Degradation by Plasma

The increasing public concern over pesticide residues on food products has spurred interest in alternative decontamination methods that are both effective and environmentally friendly. Cold plasma, a non-thermal ionized gas comprising a mixture of charged particles, neutral species, UV photons, and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS), offers a promising solution for pesticide degradation on food surfaces. When plasma interacts with pesticide-contaminated surfaces, a cascade of physicochemical processes is initiated, leading to the breakdown of hazardous organic molecules into simpler, often less toxic compounds (Misra et al., 2011).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

2.1 Reactive Oxygen and Nitrogen Species (RONS)

The degradation process begins with the generation of RONS such as ozone (O_3), singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$), hydroxyl radicals (•OH), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), nitric oxide (NO), and peroxynitrite ($ONOO^-$) in the plasma environment (Sharma & Demir, 2020). These highly reactive species attack the pesticide molecules primarily through oxidative mechanisms, initiating molecular fragmentation or conversion into more polar, water-soluble compounds (Song et al., 2016).

Hydroxyl radicals, in particular, have a very high oxidation potential (~2.8 V), enabling them to break aromatic rings, cleave carbon-halogen bonds, and degrade organophosphates, carbamates, and chlorinated hydrocarbons (Sivachandiran & Khacef, 2017). For example, cold plasma exposure has been shown to degrade chlorpyrifos and malathion on apple and tomato surfaces by oxidizing their phosphate ester bonds (Zhang et al., 2018).

2.2 UV Radiation-Induced Photolysis

In addition to reactive species, the plasma environment emits UV photons, typically in the UVC range (200–280 nm), which play a significant role in breaking chemical bonds via photo-dissociation (Guo et al., 2020). Many pesticide molecules absorb UV light, leading to electronic excitation and homolytic cleavage of bonds, especially those involving halogens, nitrogen, or sulfur (Song et al., 2020).

The UV-induced photo-destruction of complex molecules like DDT, parathion, or carbaryl can initiate radical chain reactions that further amplify degradation in the presence of reactive species. This synergistic effect of UV light and RONS significantly enhances the efficiency of the plasma treatment (Pankaj et al., 2014).

2.3 Electron Impact and Ionization

Plasma contains high-energy electrons capable of directly interacting with pesticide molecules through electron impact ionization or dissociative electron attachment (Kovačević et al., 2018). These interactions lead to the formation of molecular ions and radicals, which can further undergo recombination, decomposition, or oxidation, thereby fragmenting the original pesticide structure. For example, in dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma systems, electrons can initiate the dealkylation or deamination of organophosphates, converting them into less toxic derivatives or facilitating their complete mineralization into CO_2 and H_2O (Schnabel et al., 2015).

2.4 Surface Reactions and Desorption

Pesticide degradation is also influenced by surface interactions between plasma species and the substrate. Reactive species diffuse onto the pesticide-contaminated surface, where adsorbed molecules undergo reactions such as oxidation, ring-opening, or hydrolysis (Sarangapani et al., 2017). These reactions result in the desorption of volatile byproducts or transformation of lipophilic pesticides into hydrophilic forms, enabling easier removal through washing, or evaporation.

In many studies, degradation products such as carboxylic acids, aldehydes, or alcohols have been identified as intermediate products, suggesting the occurrence of partial oxidation (Thirumdas et al., 2018). In the case of triazole fungicides, ring scission followed by carboxylation has been observed after plasma treatment (Li et al., 2019).

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

2.5 Dehalogenation and Detoxification

Halogenated pesticides, such as DDT, lindane, and endosulfan, are particularly resistant to conventional degradation methods. Plasma treatment facilitates dehalogenation, primarily through hydroxyl radical attack and UV cleavage of carbon-halogen bonds (Vaze et al., 2020). Removal of halogen atoms from these structures reduces their toxicity and bioaccumulation potential. Plasma also facilitates detoxification, as evidenced by the disappearance or significant reduction in toxicity measured via bioassays and chromatographic analyses post-treatment (Niemira, 2012).

2.6 Factors Affecting Degradation Efficiency

Several variables influence the efficacy of pesticide degradation:

Plasma Configuration: Systems like DBD, plasma jets, or gliding arcs vary in energy density and exposure modes. DBD is suitable for large flat surfaces, while jets are more localized (Schnabel et al., 2015).

Gas Composition: Air, oxygen, nitrogen, or argon as feed gases influence the type and abundance of reactive species. Oxygen-rich plasmas tend to favor oxidative degradation (Ehlbeck et al., 2011).

Exposure Time: Longer treatment times generally increase degradation efficiency but may risk damaging food texture or nutrients (Bourke et al., 2017).

Pesticide Type: Molecules with simpler aliphatic chains degrade faster than those with aromatic or halogenated rings (Liao et al., 2021).

Plasma technology employs a multifaceted mechanism, combining chemical oxidation, photolysis, electron impact, and surface interaction to degrade and detoxify pesticide residues. Continued optimization and mechanistic understanding are essential for tailoring plasma systems to specific pesticide classes and food products.

3. Pathogen Inactivation Using Plasma

In the global food industry, microbial contamination is a major concern affecting food quality, shelf life, and safety. Pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Listeria monocytogenes, Aspergillus, Fusarium, and various viruses cause significant post-harvest losses and public health risks (Scallan et al., 2011). Traditional disinfection methods—including chemical washes, thermal treatments, and irradiation—often have limitations related to effectiveness, chemical residues, nutrient degradation, or high energy input (Rico et al., 2007). Cold plasma, a non-thermal, residue-free, and dry sterilization technique, has emerged as a highly promising tool for broad-spectrum pathogen inactivation on food surfaces and packaging materials (Misra et al., 2011).

Plasma, often referred to as the fourth state of matter, is a partially ionized gas composed of electrons, ions, neutral species, UV photons, and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS), such as ozone (O_3), hydroxyl radicals (•OH), nitric oxide (NO), and singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) (Fridman, 2008). These components act synergistically to inactivate pathogens by attacking critical biomolecules and structural components. The mechanisms of microbial inactivation via plasma can be grouped into three primary categories: cell membrane disruption, DNA/RNA damage, and protein/enzyme oxidation.

3.1 Cell Membrane Disruption by Reactive Species

One of the primary mechanisms of microbial inactivation is the disruption of the cell envelope by reactive species generated during plasma discharge. These include ROS (e.g., •OH, O_3, H_2O_2) and RNS (e.g., NO, NO_2), which interact with phospholipids and fatty acids in the microbial membrane, leading to lipid peroxidation (Ziuzina et al., 2015). The peroxidation of membrane components compromises membrane integrity, leading to increased permeability, leakage of cellular contents, and eventual cell lysis (Bourke et al., 2017).

For Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli, the outer membrane rich in lipopolysaccharides (LPS) is particularly susceptible to oxidative attack, while in fungi such as Aspergillus, the chitin-rich cell wall is targeted by ozone and atomic oxygen (Los et al., 2019). Plasma has been shown to effectively reduce microbial counts on various fresh produce including lettuce, tomatoes, and apples (Jiang et al., 2014; Misra et al., 2014).

3.2 DNA/RNA Damage through UV Photons and Oxidative Stress

Plasma emits UV photons, particularly in the UVC range (200–280 nm), which can directly cause pyrimidine dimers and other photochemical changes in nucleic acids, resulting in mutations, strand breaks, and inhibition of replication and transcription (Niemira, 2012). Additionally, reactive species such as •OH can oxidize nucleic acids, leading to base modifications and DNA fragmentation (Lu et al., 2014).

The combined oxidative and photolytic stress makes it difficult for pathogens to recover, especially when DNA repair systems are overwhelmed. Studies have shown that Salmonella enterica and Listeria monocytogenes are inactivated within seconds of plasma exposure (Guo et al., 2021). In viruses, the plasma-generated RONS disrupt capsid proteins and oxidize viral RNA, effectively deactivating the virus (Aboubakr et al., 2015).

3.3 Protein Oxidation and Enzyme Deactivation

Another key target of plasma is proteins, including membrane-bound enzymes, transporters, and ribosomal proteins. Reactive species modify amino acid side chains, especially sulfur-containing residues (cysteine, methionine), leading to loss of protein function and aggregation (Segat et al., 2016). For spore-forming bacteria and fungal spores, the oxidation of protective proteins and membrane-associated enzymes is crucial in reducing their resistance (Sarangapani et al., 2017).

Plasma has also been shown to reduce the activity of mycotoxigenic fungi (Fusarium, Penicillium) by disrupting metabolic enzymes and stopping mycotoxin synthesis (Ouf et al., 2015). This multi-targeted mode of action gives plasma a significant advantage over single-mechanism disinfectants like ethanol or hypochlorite.

3.4 Advantages Over Conventional Decontamination Methods

Plasma treatment has several advantages that make it ideal for delicate food products:

Non-Thermal: Plasma operates at or near room temperature, preserving the sensory and nutritional qualities of heat-sensitive produce like berries, leafy vegetables, and sprouts (Liao et al., 2021).

Residue-Free: Unlike chemical sanitizers, plasma treatment does not leave behind harmful residues, as most reactive species revert to air or water post-treatment (Misra et al., 2016).

Dry and Rapid: Plasma is a dry method, eliminating the risk of microbial recontamination from water-based washing systems, and it typically requires shorter treatment durations (Zhang et al., 2017).

Broad-Spectrum Action: Plasma is effective against bacteria, fungi, viruses, and spores, making it a versatile tool for food safety applications (Ehlbeck et al., 2011).

3.5 Limitations and Future Perspectives

Despite its promise, plasma technology faces challenges such as ensuring uniform exposure, scalability, and optimization of treatment parameters (gas type, power, time). Additionally, studies are needed to assess long-term effects on nutritional quality, regulatory acceptance, and consumer perception (Thirumdas et al., 2018).

Recent advancements in plasma-activated water (PAW) and hybrid plasma systems (e.g., plasma + ultrasound or plasma + UV) aim to overcome these barriers and enhance decontamination efficiency. As technology matures, cold plasma is expected to become a cornerstone of sustainable, safe, and chemical-free food processing.

4. Experimental Approaches and Case Studies

Plasma technology has emerged as a highly versatile tool in agriculture, particularly for the decontamination of fresh produce, seeds, grains, and agricultural tools. Over the past decade, numerous experimental studies have validated the efficiency of plasma treatments in reducing both chemical contaminants, like pesticide residues, and biological contaminants, such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses. These investigations demonstrate the broad applicability of plasma systems, including Cold Atmospheric Plasma (CAP), Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) plasma, and Plasma-Activated Water (PAW), each tailored for specific agricultural matrices.

4.1 Cold Atmospheric Plasma (CAP) for Pesticide Degradation

CAP systems, operating at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, have shown remarkable potential in degrading pesticide residues on fruits and vegetables. For example, Song et al. (2016) demonstrated that CAP treatment reduced chlorpyrifos residues on apples by over 90% within 5 minutes. Similarly, Sharma and Demir (2020) showed that CAP effectively degraded dimethoate and malathion on tomato surfaces with minimal impact on sensory attributes. Zhang et al. (2018) studied the degradation pathways of various organophosphate pesticides under CAP exposure and found evidence of ring-cleavage, phosphate bond scission, and mineralization to non-toxic end products. These findings confirm the suitability of CAP for post-harvest treatments that preserve food quality while ensuring safety.

4.2 Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) for Fungal Spore Inactivation

Dielectric Barrier Discharge plasma, one of the most studied configurations for food and seed surface treatment, operates by generating plasma between two electrodes separated by an insulating layer. This setup produces reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that are highly effective against fungal pathogens. Basaran et al. (2008) reported that DBD plasma could eliminate over 99% of Aspergillus parasiticus spores on nut surfaces within seconds. Similarly, Los et al. (2019) demonstrated the complete inactivation of Botrytis cinerea on strawberries using a DBD plasma device without visible damage to the fruit. For grains and seeds, DBD has been used to disinfect fungal spores such as Fusarium and Alternaria, both of which are significant contributors to seed-borne diseases and mycotoxin contamination. Sharma et al. (2021) showed that short plasma exposure could reduce spore viability while maintaining seed germination rates above 90%.

4.3 Plasma-Activated Water (PAW) for Leafy Vegetables

PAW is an emerging approach in which water is treated with plasma, enriching it with reactive species like hydrogen peroxide, nitrates, nitrites, and ozone. PAW retains these reactive species for a limited time, offering a portable, chemical-free disinfectant solution. Oehmigen et al. (2010) found that PAW could inactivate E. coli and Listeria monocytogenes on lettuce surfaces more effectively than chlorinated water. Another study by Sarangapani et al. (2017) applied PAW to fresh spinach and reported 2–4 log CFU/g reduction in bacterial counts without significant changes in color or texture. Additionally, Xiang et al. (2021) demonstrated that PAW could degrade pesticide residues like imidacloprid and carbendazim on leafy greens, making it a dual-purpose wash for microbial and chemical decontamination.

4.4 Applications to Agricultural Tools and Surfaces

Besides produce and seeds, plasma has also been applied to sanitize agricultural tools and contact surfaces. Schnabel et al. (2015) demonstrated that atmospheric pressure plasma could disinfect stainless-steel surfaces contaminated with Salmonella enterica and Listeria, achieving over 5-log reduction in bacterial load. Deilmann et al. (2008) applied plasma jets to disinfect cutting boards and packaging materials, highlighting the utility of plasma in hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) systems.

4.5 Combined Approaches and Scalability

Several case studies have explored the scalability and integration of plasma technology into existing agricultural workflows. For instance, Pankaj et al. (2014) developed a conveyor-belt-based plasma system for continuous treatment of apples, which maintained throughput while reducing microbial loads significantly. Similarly, researchers at the Institute of Plasma Physics (China) have tested plasma treatment chambers for bulk vegetable processing, achieving uniform exposure and high disinfection rates (Jiang et al., 2014).

4.6 Safety, Quality, and Regulatory Considerations

Quality retention is a critical parameter in plasma-based studies. Liao et al. (2021) emphasized that optimized plasma treatments preserved color, texture, and antioxidant levels in fruits like strawberries, blueberries, and grapes. Moreover, recent toxicological studies found no formation of hazardous by-products or residues after plasma treatment (Misra et al., 2016).

Overall, experimental evidence supports the efficacy, safety, and versatility of plasma-based technologies across different agricultural applications, marking it as a future-ready solution for safe and sustainable food systems.

5. Safety, Environmental Impact, and Limitations

Plasma-based decontamination technologies are gaining significant attention in agriculture and food processing due to their effectiveness, non-thermal nature, and minimal environmental impact. One of the most compelling advantages of cold plasma is its safety profile. Unlike chemical sanitizers such as chlorine or peracetic acid, plasma treatment does not leave behind toxic residues on food surfaces. This makes it particularly suitable for organic produce and products where residue-free processing is required (Niemira, 2012). Additionally, most of the reactive species generated during plasma treatment, including ozone, hydroxyl radicals, and hydrogen peroxide have short lifespans and naturally revert to harmless components

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

such as oxygen and water post-treatment (Oehmigen et al., 2010). Consequently, there is minimal risk of chemical accumulation or environmental contamination following plasma application.

Nonetheless, the reactive species that confer plasma its antimicrobial and pesticidal properties must be carefully controlled, particularly in applications involving fresh produce, seeds, and delicate commodities. Overexposure to high concentrations of ozone or reactive oxygen species (ROS) can result in oxidative damage to food tissues, manifesting as discoloration, surface pitting, or textural changes (Misra et al., 2014). For instance, prolonged treatment has been shown to affect anthocyanin stability in berries and degrade certain vitamins and antioxidants in sensitive fruits (Liao et al., 2021). Thus, process optimization including control of gas composition, exposure time, voltage, and flow rate is critical to balance microbial inactivation with quality retention.

From an environmental perspective, plasma technologies are a sustainable alternative to conventional methods. They drastically reduce the need for chemical sanitizers, pesticides, and large volumes of water traditionally used in post-harvest washing and decontamination. For example, plasma-activated water (PAW) can replace chlorinated water in fresh-cut produce washing, thereby lowering chemical runoff and wastewater toxicity (Sarangapani et al., 2017). Moreover, plasma systems typically require only ambient air or small quantities of inert gases like argon, reducing the need for toxic gas precursors. This low-input approach aligns well with the goals of green food processing and circular economy principles (Bourke et al., 2017).

However, several technical limitations remain before plasma can be universally adopted in industrial settings. One major challenge is the scalability of plasma systems. Most studies and prototypes have focused on small-batch or laboratory-scale applications, and uniform plasma exposure across large volumes or irregular surfaces is difficult to achieve (Pankaj et al., 2014). Another concern is energy efficiency. While cold plasma systems consume less energy than thermal methods, continuous operation, especially in larger chambers, may require significant power inputs, particularly if additional gas or cooling systems are needed (Guo et al., 2021). Cost-benefit analyses and system integration into existing infrastructure will be essential for commercial feasibility.

Thus, cold plasma presents a safe, environmentally friendly, and versatile solution for agricultural decontamination, with the potential to reduce dependence on water and chemicals. Addressing current technical and scalability challenges through research and engineering innovation will be key to realizing its full potential in sustainable food production.

6. Integration into Agricultural Practices

The successful integration of plasma technology into mainstream agricultural practices requires a strategic blend of engineering innovation, economic feasibility, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. Over recent years, researchers and industry stakeholders have made significant progress in developing portable plasma units, automated conveyor-based systems, and in-field plasma generators tailored to real-world agricultural environments. Portable devices, especially those based on dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) and plasma jets, offer on-site application for decontaminating seeds, tools, and freshly harvested produce at farms or storage sites (Misra et al., 2016). Such units are ideal for small to mid-scale farmers in rural areas, enabling them to access residue-free disinfection without relying on chemical agents.

In industrial food processing, automated conveyor-based plasma systems are being designed to treat high volumes of fruits and vegetables efficiently. These systems integrate plasma sources above and below the conveyor to ensure uniform treatment, maintaining high throughput without compromising microbial safety or food quality (Pankaj et al., 2014). For example, large-scale facilities handling leafy greens, tomatoes, or

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

apples can apply cold plasma either as a standalone process or in combination with water sprays or vacuum treatments. Another emerging area is plasma-activated water (PAW) systems, which can be deployed in existing washing lines as a direct replacement for chlorine-based disinfectants, enhancing microbial reduction while reducing chemical load in wastewater (Sarangapani et al., 2017).

However, cost-effectiveness and user-friendliness remain key factors influencing commercial adoption. Manufacturers are exploring low-cost power supplies, ambient air-based feed gases, and modular designs to reduce setup and maintenance costs. Additionally, regulatory frameworks must evolve to include clear safety guidelines, permissible exposure limits, and approval processes for plasma-treated products. Regulatory acceptance by authorities such as FSSAI in India, EFSA in Europe, and FDA in the U.S. will greatly influence the pace of plasma adoption across markets (Niemira, 2012).

Ultimately, the path forward requires interdisciplinary collaboration among plasma physicists, agricultural engineers, microbiologists, and food safety regulators. Joint pilot studies, technology demonstrations, and stakeholder training programs will bridge the gap between lab-scale innovation and field-level application. As technological advancements continue, plasma is poised to become a cornerstone of sustainable agricultural practices, offering a green, efficient, and scalable solution for enhancing food safety and reducing chemical inputs.

7. Future Directions and Research Needs

While plasma-based technologies have shown tremendous promise for decontamination and food safety in agriculture, several key research directions must be pursued to enable their broader adoption and optimization. One of the foremost needs is the standardization of treatment protocols across various crop types, contaminants, and plasma devices. Since different fruits, vegetables, and seeds exhibit variable surface properties and sensitivities, a “one-size-fits-all” plasma treatment approach is not feasible. Research must define optimal plasma conditions such as exposure time, gas composition, and discharge type for specific produce and contaminants, including both chemical residues (e.g., pesticides) and biological agents (e.g., E. coli, Aspergillus, Salmonella).

Additionally, the development of hybrid systems that combine cold plasma with other eco-friendly technologies can enhance efficacy and scalability. For example, plasma could be integrated with ozone nebulization, UV-C light, electrolyzed water, or ultrasound treatment to create synergistic effects that improve microbial kill rates while minimizing quality loss. Research into such hybrid approaches could lead to more energy-efficient and sustainable processing chains, particularly in regions with limited access to cold storage or clean water.

Long-term studies on nutritional, biochemical, and sensory outcomes of plasma-treated foods are also essential. While initial studies suggest minimal changes to color, flavor, and antioxidants after short plasma exposure, comprehensive evaluations are required to assess cumulative impacts over storage periods. This includes examining possible oxidation of sensitive nutrients (e.g., vitamin C, anthocyanins) and determining consumer acceptance through sensory panels and market studies.

Another critical area involves the techno-economic assessment of plasma systems. Although many pilot-scale demonstrations have proven successful, it is vital to analyze costs related to power consumption, maintenance, throughput capacity, and return on investment in commercial environments. Real-world case studies will provide insights into the feasibility of integrating plasma systems into small farms, food cooperatives, and large-scale processing lines.

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

Lastly, advances in plasma source design, real-time diagnostics, and computational modeling will drive innovation. Next-generation plasma systems must offer better control of reactive species generation, uniform treatment coverage, and real-time feedback for dose optimization. Computational tools like plasma-fluid interaction modeling and kinetic simulations can help understand plasma behavior at micro and macro scales, accelerating device design and regulatory approval.

Together, these research pathways will shape the evolution of plasma as a core technology for sustainable, residue-free agriculture in the 21st century.

8. Conclusion

Plasma-based decontamination represents a transformative advancement in agricultural and food safety practices, offering a compelling alternative to conventional chemical and thermal methods. Its unique ability to generate a cocktail of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, UV photons, and charged particles enables it to effectively degrade pesticide residues and inactivate a wide spectrum of microbial pathogens, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Unlike traditional techniques that often involve high temperatures, water-intensive washing, or the use of hazardous chemicals, cold plasma operates at ambient conditions and leaves no toxic residues, making it especially suitable for the treatment of sensitive produce such as leafy greens, berries, and tomatoes.

The eco-friendly nature of plasma technology aligns well with the global push toward sustainable agriculture by reducing chemical inputs, conserving water, and minimizing post-harvest losses due to microbial spoilage. Moreover, innovations such as plasma-activated water (PAW) and portable plasma units are expanding its applicability beyond laboratories into real-world agricultural settings. Whether it's disinfecting seeds before sowing, decontaminating fresh produce during processing, or sanitizing tools and surfaces, plasma is proving to be a versatile and adaptable solution.

However, to fully realize its potential, ongoing research must address key challenges such as treatment standardization, energy efficiency, equipment scalability, and long-term effects on food quality. Collaborative efforts between plasma physicists, food technologists, regulatory agencies, and the agricultural community will be essential in translating scientific breakthroughs into commercially viable solutions. As these hurdles are overcome, plasma is poised to become an integral part of the future agricultural toolkit, offering a sustainable, safe, and scientifically grounded method to enhance food security, reduce environmental impact, and meet growing consumer demand for residue-free produce.

References

Aboubakr, H. A., Gangal, U., Youssef, M. M., Goyal, S. M., & Bruggeman, P. J. (2015). Inactivation of virus and bacteria using plasma-activated water. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 48(49), 494002. https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/48/49/494002

Aktar, M. W., Sengupta, D., & Chowdhury, A. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: Their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*, 2(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7

Basaran, P., Basaran-Akgul, N., & Oksuz, L. (2008). Elimination of *Aspergillus parasiticus* from nut surface with low pressure cold plasma (LPCP) treatment. *Food Microbiology*, 25(4), 626–632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2008.02.003

Bourke, P., Ziuzina, D., Han, L., Cullen, P. J., & Gilmore, B. F. (2017). Microbiological interactions with cold plasma. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 123(2), 308–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13429

Deilmann, M., Halfmann, H., Bibinov, N., Wunderlich, J., & Awakowicz, P. (2008). Low-pressure RF plasma treatment of polyethylene surfaces for adhesion improvement. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 202(20), 5003–5007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2008.05.021

Ehlbeck, J., et al. (2011). Low temperature atmospheric pressure plasma sources for microbial decontamination. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 44(1), 013002. https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/44/1/013002

Ercan, N., & Wang, B. (2013). Cold plasma treatment of ready-to-eat meat products for microbial inactivation and quality retention. *Food Control*, 32(2), 512–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.01.016

Fridman, A. (2008). *Plasma Chemistry*. Cambridge University Press.

Gil, M. I., Selma, M. V., López-Gálvez, F., & Allende, A. (2009). Fresh-cut product sanitation and wash water disinfection: Problems and solutions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 134(1-2), 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.05.021

Guo, J., Song, Y., & Bruggeman, P. (2021). Cold plasma disinfection of food: Mechanisms and applications. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 114, 355–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.06.012

Guo, J., Song, Y., & Zhou, J. (2020). Mechanisms of pesticide degradation by plasma: A review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 382, 122944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122944

Jiang, B., et al. (2014). Review on the antimicrobial activity and mechanisms of cold atmospheric plasma. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 54(7), 1010–1024. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.578468

Kovačević, V. V., Dojčinović, B. P., & Obradović, B. M. (2018). Degradation of pesticides by non-thermal plasma: A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(22), 22024–22042. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2595-5

Li, Y., Zhang, C., & Li, J. (2019). Atmospheric pressure plasma-induced degradation of triazole fungicide: Mechanism and intermediates. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 369, 100–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.02.033

Liao, X., et al. (2021). Cold plasma as an innovative nonthermal technology for food preservation and processing. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 20(5), 5432–5459. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12889

Los, A., Ziuzina, D., & Bourke, P. (2019). Inactivation of *Aspergillus niger* and *Botrytis cinerea* spores using cold plasma. *Food Control*, 96, 471–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.09.021

Lu, H., et al. (2014). Bacterial inactivation using a portable dielectric barrier discharge plasma device. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 47(41), 415402. https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/41/415402

Misra, N. N., et al. (2014). Cold plasma in modified atmospheres for post-harvest preservation of strawberries. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7(10), 2486–2494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-014-1271-2

Misra, N. N., Jo, C., & Mahendran, R. (2016). Applications of cold plasma technology in food packaging. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 55, 24–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.06.009

ISSN: 2583-6129
DOI: 10.55041/ISJEM04777

Misra, N. N., Tiwari, B. K., Raghavarao, K. S. M. S., & Cullen, P. J. (2011). Nonthermal plasma inactivation of food-borne pathogens. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 3(3), 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12393-011-9034-4

Niemira, B. A. (2012). Cold plasma decontamination of foods. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, 3, 125–142. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-022811-101132

Oehmigen, K., et al. (2010). The role of acidification for antimicrobial activity of atmospheric pressure plasma in liquids. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 7(3-4), 250–257. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppap.200900079

Ouf, S. A., et al. (2015). Decontamination of *Fusarium verticillioides* and its mycotoxins in barley using atmospheric pressure cold plasma. *Food Control*, 50, 395–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.09.024

Pankaj, S. K., Wan, Z., & Keener, K. M. (2014). Effects of cold plasma on food quality: A review. *Food Control*, 44, 149–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.035

Rico, D., Martín-Diana, A. B., Barat, J. M., & Barry-Ryan, C. (2007). Extending and measuring the quality of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables: A review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18(7), 373–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2007.03.011

Sapers, G. M. (2001). Efficacy of washing and sanitizing methods for disinfection of fresh fruit and vegetable products. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, 39(4), 305–311.

Sarangapani, C., Misra, N. N., Milosavljević, V., Bourke, P., O'Regan, F., & Cullen, P. J. (2017). Pesticide degradation and detoxification using cold plasma. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 69, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.08.017

Scallan, E., et al. (2011). Foodborne illness acquired in the United States—Major pathogens. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 17(1), 7–15. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1701.P11101

Schnabel, U., et al. (2015). Decontamination of food surfaces by cold atmospheric plasma. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 12(11), 1347–1359. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppap.201500057

Sharma, V., & Demir, E. (2020). Plasma-generated reactive species in pesticide degradation. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 40(6), 1471–1490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11090-020-10113-2

Sharma, R., Thirumdas, R., & Annapure, U. S. (2021). Application of cold plasma for fungal spore inactivation and seed decontamination. *Food Research International*, 140, 109839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109839

Sharma, V., & Demir, E. (2020). Plasma-generated reactive species in pesticide degradation. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 40(6), 1471–1490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11090-020-10113-2

Sivachandiran, L., & Khacef, A. (2017). Degradation of pesticide in aqueous solution by non-thermal plasma. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 322, 248–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.04.041

Song, Y., et al. (2016). Degradation of chlorpyrifos residues on apple by dielectric barrier discharge plasma. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 301, 193–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.08.050

Thirumdas, R., Sarangapani, C., & Annapure, U. S. (2018). Cold plasma: A novel non-thermal technology for food processing. *Food Biophysics*, 13(2), 129–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11483-017-9508-1

Vaze, N., Misra, N. N., & Cullen, P. J. (2020). Dehalogenation of pesticide residues by plasma: Evidence and mechanisms. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 20, 101070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101070

Xiang, Q., et al. (2021). Plasma-activated water for pesticide degradation and microbial safety in fresh produce. *Food Control*, 126, 108049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108049

Yusof, N. S. M., et al. (2020). Recent developments in cold plasma decontamination of fruits and vegetables. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 99, 136–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.02.012

Zhang, Q., et al. (2018). Cold plasma treatment for food decontamination. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 77, 56–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.05.003