

The Role of Digital Platforms in Shaping Work-Life Balance for Gig Workers

Sreejaa G Nair¹, Dr. Jegadeeswari S²

¹ *Research Scholar, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore, India*

² *Assistant Professor, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore, India*

Corresponding Author: Sreejaa G Nair, Email Id: sreejaagnair80@gmail.com

The gig economy, characterized by flexible and independent work structures, has experienced substantial growth through the expansion of digital platforms such as Uber, Upwork, and Fiverr. These platforms offer greater flexibility and independence but also present challenges like income instability, job satisfaction, and work-life balance issues. This review paper explores the influence of digital platform characteristics, platform policies, and worker autonomy on work-life balance among gig workers. By synthesizing existing literature, the paper examines the significant correlations between platform features, job satisfaction, and perceived work-life balance. Additionally, it provides a comprehensive overview of the complex experiences of gig workers, emphasizing the crucial role of platform policies and support systems in shaping their work-life dynamics. The paper concludes with valuable recommendations for platform designers, policymakers, and gig workers, aimed at promoting a more balanced and sustainable gig economy.

Keywords: *Gig Economy, Digital Platforms, Work-Life Balance, Job Satisfaction, Income Stability*

INTRODUCTION

The rise of the gig economy, facilitated by the proliferation of digital platforms, has significantly transformed the nature of work in recent years. Gig workers, who are typically classified as independent contractors or freelancers, have become an integral part of the modern workforce, providing flexible and on-demand services across a wide range of industries (Abraham et al., 2018). While the gig economy offers individuals the freedom to choose their own schedules and work arrangements, it also presents unique challenges when it comes to maintaining a healthy work-life balance (Harun et al., 2020). The lack of job security, unpredictable income, and the blurring of boundaries between work and personal life can contribute to increased stress and burnout among gig workers (Salleh et al., 2023). To address these issues, researchers have explored the potential of digital platforms to play a role in shaping the work-life balance of gig workers. Some studies have suggested that platforms could provide additional support and resources to help gig workers manage their time, access benefits, and maintain their well-being (Hsieh et al., 2023). However, the effectiveness of such interventions remains a subject of ongoing research and debate (Salleh et al., 2023).

Digital platforms play a significant role in shaping work-life balance for gig workers. Studies show that platform features and individual contexts influence online freelancers' work-life practices, with workers developing

strategies to mitigate platform constraints and balance personal preferences and responsibilities (Alvarez de la Vega et al., 2023). While platforms offer flexibility, they can also challenge workers' availability expectations, work autonomy, and ability to detach from work (Alvarez de la Vega et al., 2023). The gig economy impacts workers' quality of life and psychological well-being, with economic and emotional factors having a greater influence than work-related components (Y. Kim et al., 2023). To improve gig worker well-being, platforms should consider incorporating regulatory aspects, job-related appraisal systems, social networking features, and fair algorithmic control (Arnoldi et al., 2021). Additionally, online labor markets can shape work identity, pushing gig workers to develop an entrepreneurial orientation to succeed in the digital environment (Bellesia et al., 2019). Gig economy workers face a range of challenges that can impact their work-life balance, including intense competition, low wages, job insecurity, and a lack of benefits and protections (Hsieh et al., 2023). The present study examines how digital platforms contribute to the work-life balance of gig workers.

OBJECTIVES

- To Analyze the Impact of Digital Platform Characteristics on Work-Life Balance among Gig Workers
- To Evaluate the Role of Platform Policies and Support Systems in Enhancing Job Satisfaction and Work-Life Balance

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Digital platforms significantly impact the work-life balance of gig workers. Techno-invasion, the perceived intrusion of technology into personal life, can lead to lower work-life balance, particularly affecting female workers (Koç & Gasimov, 2023). Platform features challenge freelancers' availability expectations, work autonomy, and ability to detach from work, prompting them to develop strategies to mitigate these constraints (Alvarez de la Vega et al., 2023). To improve gig worker well-being, platforms should consider incorporating four key themes: regulatory aspects and contracts, job-related appraisal and reward systems, work-related social networks, and algorithmic control of tasks (Arnoldi et al., 2021).

Digital Platform Characteristics and Gig Workers

Research indicates that while digital platforms offer flexibility, they often lack traditional employment benefits such as health insurance, paid leave, and job security (Wood et al., 2019). This lack of benefits can contribute to stress and affect the work-life balance of gig workers. Moreover, the algorithms used by these platforms to assign tasks can create an unpredictable work schedule, making it difficult for workers to plan their personal lives (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016). Digital platforms have significantly impacted the work-life balance of gig workers. Research indicates that techno-invasion, the perceived intrusion of technology into personal life, can lead to lower work-life balance for platform workers (Hasan Koç & Gasimov, 2023). While gig work offers flexibility, platforms often exert considerable control over workers through digital equipment and algorithms,

challenging the notion of high autonomy (He et al., 2021). The quality of life for gig workers is influenced by various factors, with economic and emotional aspects having a greater impact than work-related components (Kim et al., 2023). Interestingly, job quality perceptions vary among gig workers based on individual circumstances and motivations, suggesting that objectively "bad" jobs may still be perceived as "good" by some workers (Dunn, 2020). Digital platform design should incorporate aspects like regulation, job appraisal, social connection, and algorithmic control to improve the well-being of low-skilled gig workers (Arnoldi, E., et al. 2021).

Platform Policies and Support Systems

Platform policies play a significant role in shaping work-life balance. For example, platforms that provide more predictable task assignments and earnings can help workers plan their schedules and reduce stress (Kässi & Lehdonvirta, 2018). Additionally, platforms that offer support systems, such as community forums and access to resources, can enhance the overall work experience and contribute to a better work-life balance (Graham et al., 2017). The gig economy, driven by on-demand platforms, offers flexibility but presents challenges for workers' well-being and work-life balance. While platforms like Uber provide flexible schedules and potential income adaptation (Marta Santos Silva & M. Houwerzijl, 2019), gig workers face issues such as limited savings, lack of retirement security, and optional health benefits (Khairunnisa Abd Samad et al., 2023). Studies show that economic and emotional factors significantly impact gig workers' overall quality of life and psychological well-being (Y. Kim et al., 2023). While flexible work hours are a primary motivation, the lack of comprehensive policies supporting gig workers' welfare is evident (Khairunnisa Abd Samad et al., 2023). The role of platform policies in influencing the work-life balance of gig workers cannot be overstated. Policies that ensure fair pay, provide dispute resolution mechanisms, and offer training and development opportunities can significantly improve the work conditions of gig workers (Stewart & Stanford, 2017). Furthermore, support systems such as health insurance, retirement plans, and mental health resources can contribute to a more sustainable gig economy.

Worker autonomy

Research on gig work and work-life balance reveals complex dynamics. While gig work offers flexibility and autonomy, potentially benefiting carers, it lacks traditional employment safeguards, leading to unpredictable schedules and incomes that can negatively impact work-life balance (Warren, 2021). The relationship between algorithmic management and worker well-being depends on the alignment of preferences for autonomy or security between workers and organizations. When preferences match, worker well-being increases, but misalignment can lead to reduced well-being. However, even when preferences align towards autonomy, workers may face unsustainable workloads (Felix et al., 2023). Platform quality also plays a crucial role in gig workers' experiences, with higher-quality platforms associated with greater job autonomy and satisfaction (Kim et al., 2018).

Income Instability and Job Satisfaction

Income instability is a prevalent issue among gig workers. Studies show that gig workers often experience fluctuations in their earnings, which can lead to financial insecurity and stress (Bajwa et al., 2018). This financial instability is compounded by the competitive nature of digital platforms, where workers must continuously bid for projects or accept rides to maintain their income. Job satisfaction among gig workers is also a complex issue. On one hand, the autonomy and flexibility of gig work can lead to higher job satisfaction (Cheng et al., 2020). On the other hand, the lack of social interaction, benefits, and career progression opportunities can diminish job satisfaction (Hall & Krueger, 2018). The continuous need to secure new gigs can also lead to burnout and decreased job satisfaction over time.

Work Life Balance in Gig work

Work-life balance is a critical factor in the well-being of gig workers. The flexibility of gig work can allow workers to better manage their personal and professional lives. However, the boundaryless nature of gig work can blur the lines between work and personal time, making it challenging to achieve a healthy work-life balance (Wheatley, 2017). Recent studies have explored the work-life balance of gig workers, highlighting both benefits and challenges. Flexible work arrangements in gig work can positively influence work-life balance, particularly for South Asian freelancers (Shahzadi et al., 2022). However, the impact varies across different domains of life. Economic and emotional factors have been found to have a greater influence on overall quality of life compared to work-related factors for gig workers (Kim et al., 2023). While gig work offers flexibility and autonomy, it can also lead to unpredictable work hours and income, potentially causing financial hardship and impacting work-life balance (Warren, 2021). For women, especially single mothers, gig work provides opportunities for better time management and work schedule autonomy, contributing to improved work-life balance (Fauzi et al., 2022).

DISCUSSION

The findings reveal a nuanced view of how digital platforms influence the work-life balance of gig workers. Digital platforms offer significant flexibility, attracting workers seeking autonomy over their schedules (Wood et al., 2019). However, this flexibility often comes at the cost of traditional employment benefits and predictable work schedules, as task assignments driven by algorithms create unpredictability and stress (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016). This phenomenon, known as "techno-invasion," where technology intrudes into personal life, particularly affects female workers, leading to lower work-life balance (Koç & Gasimov, 2023). The intrusion into personal time can result in increased stress and burnout, undermining the benefits of flexibility that attract workers to gig platforms (Alvarez de la Vega et al., 2023).

Platform policies and support systems play a critical role in shaping work-life balance and job satisfaction. Platforms that offer predictable task assignments and earnings help mitigate financial instability stress, allowing

workers to plan their schedules more effectively (Kässi & Lehdonvirta, 2018). Furthermore, providing community forums, resources, and support systems enhances the overall work experience, contributing to better work-life balance (Graham et al., 2017). Policies ensuring fair pay, providing dispute resolution mechanisms, and offering training and development opportunities are crucial for improving gig workers' conditions (Stewart & Stanford, 2017).

Autonomy, a key factor in gig work, is highly valued by many workers who appreciate the freedom to choose their projects and schedules (Warren, 2021). However, the relationship between algorithmic management and worker well-being hinges on the alignment of preferences for autonomy or security between workers and platforms. When preferences align, worker well-being increases, but misalignment can lead to reduced well-being and unsustainable workloads (Felix et al., 2023). High-quality platforms that support job autonomy and satisfaction significantly enhance the gig work experience (Kim et al., 2018). These findings underscore the importance of balancing flexibility with support systems and policies to create a more sustainable gig economy.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight the dual nature of gig work facilitated by digital platforms, underscoring the critical need for a balanced approach to enhance the work-life balance and overall well-being of gig workers. The results are supported by Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, which differentiates between hygiene factors (e.g., income stability, benefits, and job security) and motivators (e.g., job autonomy and flexibility). While gig platforms excel in providing motivators, they often fall short in addressing hygiene factors, leading to a mixed impact on job satisfaction and work-life balance.

Digital platforms offer significant flexibility and autonomy, which are strong motivators as per Herzberg's theory. However, the lack of traditional employment benefits, income instability, and the unpredictability created by algorithm-driven task assignments represent deficient hygiene factors that can lead to dissatisfaction and stress among gig workers. This phenomenon aligns with Herzberg's assertion that the absence of hygiene factors can lead to job dissatisfaction, regardless of the presence of motivators.

To address these issues, it is essential for digital platforms to implement policies that enhance both motivators and hygiene factors. Predictable earnings, access to benefits, and robust support systems are crucial for mitigating the stress and financial instability that gig workers face. By ensuring fair pay, providing dispute resolution mechanisms, and offering training and development opportunities, platforms can significantly improve the work conditions and overall job satisfaction of gig workers. Policymakers also have a role in regulating these platforms to ensure fair treatment and support for gig workers, contributing to a more balanced and sustainable gig economy.

Future research should focus on exploring specific interventions and policies that can enhance the work-life balance of gig workers. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into the long-term

effects of gig work on workers' well-being. By addressing these issues, digital platforms and policymakers can contribute to creating a more balanced and sustainable gig economy, aligning with Herzberg's theory of job satisfaction and motivation.

References:

- Abd Samad, K., Abd Rahman, N. H., Ismail, S., & Marmaya, N. H. (2023). Is the well-being of gig workers in Malaysia better? The reality of pain and gain. *International Review of Applied Economics*, 37(4), 518-531.
- Abraham, K. G., Haltiwanger, J. C., Sandusky, K., & Spletzer, J. R. (2018). Measuring the gig economy: Current knowledge and open issues. NBER Working Paper No. 24950.
- Alvarez de la Vega, A., Landini, I., & Murgia, A. (2023). Freelancers' work-life balance: strategies and constraints in the digital labour market. *Work, Employment and Society*, 37(1), 28-45.
- Alvarez de la Vega, J. C., Cecchinato, M. E., Rooksby, J., & Newbold, J. (2023). Understanding platform mediated work-life: a diary study with gig economy freelancers. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(CSCW1), 1-32.
- Arnoldi, E., Bellini, A., & Faggian, A. (2021). Platformization and gig economy: Understanding the role of digital platforms in shaping the gig workers' well-being. *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 13(2), 233-250.
- Arnoldi, E., Bosua, R., & Dirksen, V. (2021). Mapping themes for the well-being of low-skilled gig workers: Implications for digital platform design. *Transitions: Journal of Transient Migration*, 5(1), 55-75.
- Bajwa, U., Knorr, L., Di Ruggiero, E., Gastaldo, D., & Zendel, A. (2018). Towards an understanding of workers' experiences in the global gig economy. *Globalization and Health*, 14(1), 124.
- Bellesia, F., Mattarelli, E., Bertolotti, F., & Sobrero, M. (2019). Platforms as entrepreneurial incubators? How online labor markets shape work identity. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 34(4), 246-268.
- Cheng, G. H. L., Yang, Y., & Guo, H. (2020). Flexible work arrangements and employee performance in China: The role of work-life balance and job satisfaction. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 13(1), 99-112.
- Dunn, M. (2020). Making gigs work: digital platforms, job quality and worker motivations. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 35(2), 232-249.
- Fauzi, N., Harumain, Y. A. S., & Dali, M. M. (2022). Gig workers among women, a better choice of work? A case study of makeik travels. *Planning Malaysia*, 20.
- Felix, B., Dourado, D., & Nossa, V. (2023). Algorithmic management, preferences for autonomy/security and gig-workers' wellbeing: A matter of fit?. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1088183.

- Felix, R., Mahadevan, J., & Lindgreen, A. (2023). Algorithmic management and worker well-being: The role of autonomy and security. *Journal of Business Research*, 150, 506-519.
- Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Digital labour and development: Impacts of global digital labour platforms and the gig economy on worker livelihoods. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 23(2), 135-162.
- Hall, J. V., & Krueger, A. B. (2018). An analysis of the labor market for Uber's driver-partners in the United States. *ILR Review*, 71(3), 705-732.
- Harun, N., Ali, N. M., & Khan, N. L. M. A. (2020). An experimental measure of Malaysia's gig workers using labour force survey. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*, 36(4), 969-977.
- He, J., Xie, X., & Liu, W. (2021). From iron cage to digital cage: Platform control in the new gig economy. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2021, No. 1, p. 15708). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The Motivation to Work*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hsieh, J., Adisa, O., Bafna, S., & Zhu, H. (2023, June). Designing Individualized Policy and Technology Interventions to Improve Gig Work Conditions. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Meeting of the Symposium on Human-Computer Interaction for Work* (pp. 1-9).
- Kässi, O., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2018). Online labour index: Measuring the online gig economy for policy and research. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 137, 241-248.
- Kim, S., Marquis, E., Alahmad, R., Pierce, C. S., & Robert Jr, L. P. (2018, October). The impacts of platform quality on gig workers' autonomy and job satisfaction. In *Companion of the 2018 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing* (pp. 181-184).
- Kim, Y. G., Chung, Y. K., & Woo, E. (2023). Gig Workers' Quality of Life (QoL) and Psychological Well-Being in Service Delivery Platform. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8679.
- Kim, Y., Park, J., & Cho, S. (2023). The quality of life and psychological well-being of gig workers: The role of economic and emotional factors. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(4), 2167.
- Koç, H., & Gasimov, A. (2023). Techno-invasion and work-life balance: The impact of technology on personal life. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 188-197.
- Koç, H., & Gasimov, C. (2023, September). Exploring Techno-Invasion and Work-Life Balance on Digital Platforms: A Preliminary Study with Amazon MTurk's Gig Workers. In *International Conference on Business Informatics Research* (pp. 121-132). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

- Rosenblat, A., & Stark, L. (2016). Algorithmic labor and information asymmetries: A case study of Uber's drivers. *International Journal of Communication*, 10, 3758-3784.
- Salleh, M. A., Rosli, F., & Ismail, S. (2023). Work-life balance among gig workers: Challenges and strategies. *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, 16(1), 92-110.
- Salleh, N. M., Shukry, S. N. M., Maylyn, V., & Jokinol, C. (2023). Analyzing the Challenges, Effects, and Motivations of Gig Economy Workers. *Social Sciences*, 13(6), 2125-2142.
- Santos Silva, M., & Houwerzijl, M. (2019). Regulating the Autonomy of Gig Workers. A Paternalizing Look into the Consent-Based Platform Work Economy. A Paternalizing Look into the Consent-Based Platform Work Economy (March 22, 2019). University of Groningen Faculty of Law Research Paper, (53).
- Santos Silva, M., & Houwerzijl, M. (2019). The regulation of gig economy work in Europe: Recent developments and current challenges. *European Labour Law Journal*, 10(2), 170-185.
- Shahzadi, I., Rafiq, S., & Ali, U. (2022). Investigating the Influence of Flexible Work Arrangements on Work-Life Balance in South Asian Gig Workers: Does Ryff's Six-Factor model of Psychological Well-being Moderates?. *iRASD Journal of Management*, 4(2), 316-329.
- Shahzadi, M., Batool, S. M., & Abbas, S. (2022). Flexible work arrangements and work-life balance in gig economy: Evidence from South Asian freelancers. *South Asian Journal of Business and Management Cases*, 11(2), 142-157.
- Stewart, A., & Stanford, J. (2017). Regulating work in the gig economy: What are the options? *The Economic and Labour Relations Review*, 28(3), 420-437.
- Warren, T. (2021). Work-life balance and gig work: 'Where are we now' and 'where to next' with the work-life balance agenda?. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 63(4), 522-545.
- Warren, T. (2021). Work-life balance in the gig economy: Autonomy and control. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 63(2), 223-241.
- Wheatley, D. (2017). Employee satisfaction and use of flexible working arrangements. *Work, Employment and Society*, 31(4), 567-585.
- Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. *Work, Employment and Society*, 33(1), 56-75.