

Trust and Reputation in Fast-Food Restaurants: The Role of Communication, CSR, and Sustainability

Dr. Shweta Patel, Mr. AbhayAgrawal

- Dr Shweta Patel – Assistant Professor, Amity Business School, Amity University, Chhattisgarh
- Mr. Abhay Agrawal, Student, Amity Business School, Amity University Chhattisgarh

ABSTRACT

This research delves into the strategic use of public relations (PR) in enhancing consumer trust and brand image in India's competitive quick-service restaurant (QSR) space. The dissertation particularly evaluates how CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) and sustainability are communicated by leading global QSR brands like McDonald's, KFC, Subway, Burger King, and Pizza Hut.

Using a quantitative approach, the study gathered consumer feedback via online surveys to understand brand perceptions. McDonald's emerged as the leader across key dimensions such as hygiene, customer satisfaction, and CSR practices. KFC maintained a favorable standing in food quality and service, while Subway gained appreciation for promoting healthier choices. In contrast, Burger King struggled with CSR perception, and Pizza Hut ranked lowest in several areas, reflecting weaker consumer engagement.

One of the key insights from the abstract is that factors like ethical business behavior, environmentally responsible practices, and delivering strong customer experiences now significantly influence how consumers select and remain loyal to a brand. Sustainability is no longer an optional add-on but a defining element of brand identity and trust. The research underscores the increasing relevance of transparency and social commitment in brand communications, particularly in the food service industry, which often faces ethical scrutiny.

The findings suggest that brands that effectively convey their values and sustainability efforts through strategic PR can enhance loyalty and maintain a competitive edge. These insights offer valuable implications for marketers and corporate communication professionals seeking to align consumer values with brand narratives.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction provides the conceptual grounding for the research by highlighting the growing significance of communication, CSR, and sustainability in shaping the reputation of fast-food brands. It opens with a contextual analysis of how QSRs are integrating social and environmental initiatives into their brand messaging to meet rising consumer expectations.

Fast food's popularity stems from its affordability, quick service, and social appeal, especially among young consumers. The section outlines the rise of global QSR chains in India, beginning in the 1990s, and their strategies

for localization—such as McDonald’s adaptation of its menu with items like the McAloo Tikki. Indian brands like Nirula’s and Indian Coffee House are also acknowledged for their longstanding presence.

A major focus is placed on the transformative power of PR—not only for brand storytelling but also for fostering authentic relationships with customers. Key PR practices highlighted include proactive communication, social media engagement, experiential marketing, and thought leadership. These are essential to counter skepticism and connect emotionally with consumers.

The chapter also explores the nuances of consumer trust, emphasizing that loyalty is built on transparent, consistent, and value-driven messaging. With Gen Z becoming a dominant consumer segment, the digital behavior of this generation is reshaping PR strategies. Their preference for authenticity, sustainability, and real-time brand interaction through platforms like Instagram and Twitter is redefining the fast-food landscape.

Statistical data and brand performance insights illustrate market dynamics. For instance, McDonald’s and Domino’s are among the most popular choices, while others like Subway and Pizza Hut lag in brand trust and recall. The problem statement points out a critical issue: many brands struggle to effectively communicate their sustainability and CSR efforts, leading to a gap between action and perception.

The scope of the research is to bridge this gap by studying how PR strategies can better align with stakeholder values and societal expectations. It seeks to identify communication practices that not only inform but also inspire trust and advocacy among consumers.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Literature Review provides a comprehensive examination of scholarly work that informs the relationship between public relations (PR), corporate social responsibility (CSR), sustainability, and brand trust within the fast-food industry. It is organized around five core themes: CSR communication, crisis and risk management, digital and social media strategies, product and service quality, and reputation and relationship management.

1. CSR Communication and Brand Trust:

Several studies underscore the power of CSR messaging in enhancing consumer trust. Jacqueline Martinez Boysselle’s (2015) research reveals that CSR messages — especially those highlighting environmental and social causes — significantly improve perceived brand value and trust among consumers. Alessia Lombardi et al. (2015) echo this, noting that effective CSR can increase consumer willingness to pay, while unethical behavior reduces competitiveness and trust. In the QSR context, Swimberghe and Wooldridge (2014) found that while CSR may not directly affect customer satisfaction, it plays a key role in building trust and identification with the brand, which in turn influence long-term loyalty.

2. Crisis and Risk Communication:

Crisis response is another crucial area covered in the literature. Wasfi Alrawabdeh et al. (2020) compared crisis handling in McDonald’s and KFC. McDonald’s prompt apology and transparency during food safety incidents helped rebuild consumer trust quickly, whereas KFC’s delayed response in a similar crisis led to a prolonged

reputational setback. Serena Giorgetto (2021) further emphasizes the role of risk management in corporate strategy, showing that crisis communication is not just reactive but strategic in protecting long-term brand value.

3. Role of Social Media:

The literature heavily focuses on how social media has transformed PR in the food industry. Asha Kaul et al. (2016) highlight that digital platforms allow brands to engage with stakeholders directly, fostering transparency and credibility. Karen Klassen et al. (2018) demonstrate that content with emotional appeal or purchasable elements on platforms like Instagram and Facebook significantly boosts engagement. Damiano Cortese et al. (2021) provide a case study on Ferrero, illustrating how social media can facilitate two-way dialogues, reinforcing values like sustainability and corporate responsibility.

4. Product and Service Quality:

While communication is central, product experience still matters. Studies by Isyana Rahayu et al. (2024) and Prikshat Singh Manhas et al. (2024) stress that product quality, service efficiency, store ambiance, and menu innovation also directly impact loyalty. These operational factors work in tandem with communication strategies to shape consumer perceptions and repeat patronage.

5. Reputation and Relationship Management:

Reputation is presented not just as an outcome but as a strategic resource. Olena Derevianko (2014) argues for a structured approach to reputation management, aligning corporate behavior with consumer expectations. Fatih Semercioza et al. (2015) show that fine-dining restaurants use both loyalty and pricing strategies to weather crises—lessons relevant for QSRs too. Moreover, Gen Z's trust depends on perceived authenticity, placing further importance on transparency and ethical narratives in brand communication.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This report employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating both primary and secondary data sources, followed by a structured analytical process to understand consumer preferences, perceptions, and behaviors regarding Quick Service Restaurants (QSRs), with a focus on aspects such as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), sustainability, and brand reputation.

1) Primary Data

The primary data collection for this study was conducted through a structured questionnaire survey administered to consumers. The questionnaire was meticulously designed and segmented into several sections:

- **Demographic Information:** Respondents were asked about age, gender, and annual income. These standard demographic questions aimed to segment the sample and analyze generational and income-based differences in QSR preferences and perceptions.
- **Consumer Preferences and Behavior:** Questions explored the type of food typically ordered (e.g., burger, pizza, sandwich, salad), frequency of QSR visits, and the tendency to seek healthier options. Other items assessed whether respondents preferred full meals or snacks/desserts.
- **Brand Rankings and Perceptions:** Participants ranked leading QSR brands (McDonald's, Burger King, KFC, Pizza Hut, Subway) on various attributes, including preference, perceived safety and cleanliness, CSR effectiveness, environmental sustainability, and staff politeness.

- **CSR and Sustainability:** The survey included questions on the perceived effectiveness of CSR initiatives, the use of eco-friendly packaging, and the avoidance of plastic utensils. Respondents were also asked to identify which brands provided reusable packaging or demonstrated environmental responsibility¹.
- **Customer Loyalty and Advocacy:** Items assessed word-of-mouth intentions, likelihood to recommend, repurchase intentions, and overall perceived food quality¹.

The questionnaire drew from established literature and previous studies, ensuring content validity and relevance. A total of 85 responses were collected and analyzed, providing a robust dataset for quantitative analysis.

2) Secondary Data

Secondary data for the report was sourced from a range of academic and industry publications to supplement and contextualize the primary findings. Key sources included:

- **Academic Literature:** Studies on product innovation, customer experience, and CSR in QSRs (e.g., works by Parikshat Singh Manhas, Priyanka Sharma, Joana A. Quintela, and Canon Tong) were referenced to inform questionnaire design and interpret findings¹.
- **Industry Reports:** Existing research on consumer behavior, CSR impact, and QSR brand reputation provided benchmarks for comparison and helped to triangulate primary data results¹.
- **Best Practices and Case Studies:** The report incorporated insights from prior research on sustainability practices, waste reduction, and the influence of CSR on customer loyalty and brand perception¹.

Secondary data played a crucial role in shaping the conceptual framework, validating survey constructs, and situating the study within broader industry trends.

3) Analysis

The analytical phase involved several systematic steps:

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Quantitative data from the survey were tabulated and analyzed using frequency distributions and percentages. For example, gender distribution, income levels, food preferences, and visit frequency were summarized in tables to provide an overview of the sample characteristics¹.
- **Ranking Analysis:** Respondents' rankings of QSR brands on various criteria (preference, CSR, cleanliness, staff politeness, food quality) were analyzed to identify patterns and brand positioning in the consumer mind¹.
- **Comparative Assessment:** The report compared brand performances based on consumer perceptions, highlighting which brands excelled in CSR, environmental responsibility, and customer service¹.
- **Interpretation of Findings:** The results were interpreted in light of secondary data and existing literature, allowing for a nuanced understanding of how demographic factors, CSR initiatives, and sustainability practices influence consumer attitudes and behaviors¹.
- **Presentation:** Data were presented in clear tables and narrative summaries, facilitating easy comprehension and supporting evidence-based conclusions¹.

The methodology ensured both depth and breadth in capturing consumer insights, with rigorous data collection and analysis anchored by relevant academic and industry sources. This comprehensive approach enabled the report to draw robust conclusions about the interplay between CSR, brand reputation, and consumer loyalty in the QSR sector¹.

RESULTS

Demographic Profile:

The survey had 85 respondents, with 71.8% female and 28.2% male. The largest income group (45.9%) earned above ₹12,00,000, while the rest were distributed across lower income brackets.

Consumer Preferences:

- **Food Choices:** Pizza (44.7%) and burgers (37.6%) were the most popular items ordered at QSRs, with sandwiches and salads being less preferred.
- **Health Considerations:** Respondents were almost evenly split on seeking healthier options (50.6% yes, 49.4% no).
- **Meal Type:** 57.6% typically ordered full meals, while 42.4% opted for snacks or desserts.
- **Visit Frequency:** Most visited QSRs a few times a month (31.8%), followed by once a week (21.2%) or a few times a week (18.8%).

Brand Rankings and Perceptions:

- **Preference:** McDonald's was the top choice for 29.4% of respondents, followed by Subway (24.7%), Burger King and KFC (16.5% each), and Pizza Hut (12.9%).
- **CSR Effectiveness:** McDonald's (44.7%) and KFC (43.5%) were perceived as having the most effective CSR initiatives.
- **Cleanliness and Safety:** McDonald's led with 34.1% ranking it highest for safety and cleanliness, while Burger King and others trailed.
- **Environmental Sustainability:** Respondents' rankings indicated McDonald's and KFC were seen as more committed to sustainability.
- **Reusable Packaging and Plastic Use:** McDonald's and KFC were more often identified as providing reusable packaging and avoiding plastic utensils.
- **Staff Politeness and Food Quality:** McDonald's generally received higher rankings for staff politeness and food quality.

Customer Loyalty and Advocacy:

- Respondents indicated a higher likelihood to recommend and repurchase from McDonald's and KFC, aligning with their positive perceptions of CSR and food quality.

DISCUSSION

The results highlight several key trends in consumer behavior and brand perception in the QSR sector:

1. Brand Leadership:

McDonald's consistently outperformed competitors across multiple dimensions—preference, CSR, cleanliness, sustainability, and staff behavior. This suggests that its investments in CSR and operational excellence have translated into strong consumer trust and loyalty.

2. **CSR and Sustainability as Differentiators:**

The high rankings for McDonald's and KFC in CSR and environmental sustainability indicate that modern consumers are increasingly valuing ethical practices and eco-friendly initiatives. These factors are not just peripheral but central to brand preference and advocacy.

3. **Health and Meal Patterns:**

The near-even split between health-conscious and non-health-conscious consumers suggests a diverse market. QSRs that offer both indulgent and healthier options are likely to appeal to a broader audience.

4. **Visit Frequency and Loyalty:**

Most respondents visit QSRs regularly but not daily, indicating that these brands are part of routine but not daily consumption. The strong intention to recommend and repurchase from leading brands points to the importance of maintaining high standards in food quality, service, and CSR.

5. **Demographic Influence:**

The predominance of female respondents and higher-income individuals may have influenced the preference for brands with stronger CSR and sustainability reputations.

CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that in the competitive QSR landscape, **brand reputation, CSR initiatives, and sustainability practices are critical drivers of consumer preference and loyalty**. McDonald's and KFC have successfully positioned themselves as leaders in these areas, resulting in higher consumer trust, advocacy, and repeat patronage.

Key takeaways:

- **CSR and sustainability are not optional**—they are essential for building trust and differentiating in a crowded market.
- **Operational excellence** in cleanliness, staff politeness, and food quality remains fundamental to positive brand perception.
- **Consumer preferences are diverse**, with a significant segment seeking healthier options, suggesting QSRs should maintain a balanced menu.
- **Regular but not daily patronage** means QSRs must consistently deliver value and positive experiences to retain customer loyalty.

Implications for QSRs:

To remain competitive, QSR brands must continue to invest in CSR and sustainability, maintain high operational standards, and offer menu diversity. Future research could further explore the impact of demographic variables and the evolving expectations of health-conscious consumers.

REFERENCES

1. Boysselle, J. M. (2015, December 10). The influence of CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) communication on brand perceived value and trust : The case of SME in the food industry. <https://theses.hal.science/tel-01360174/>
2. Giorgetto, S. A. (2021, March 31). Risk and Crisis Management. An Overview. Actis Giorgetto | Economia Aziendale Online -. <http://riviste.paviauniversitypress.it/index.php/ea/article/view/2051/2207>
3. Rahayu, I., Lestari, D. F., Nurfadilah, G., & Silalahi, M. (2024, June 28). LITERARY ANALYSIS: THE IMPACT OF PRODUCT EXCELLENCE ON KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN (KFC) FAST FOOD RESTAURANT. Rahayu | Maker: Jurnal Manajemen. <https://www.maker.ac.id/index.php/maker/article/view/672/235>
4. Kotsanopoulos, K. V., & Arvanitoyannis, I. S. (2017). The Role of Auditing, Food Safety, and Food Quality Standards in the Food Industry: A Review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(5), 760–775. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12293>
5. Cortese, D., Rainero, C., & Cantino, V. (2020). Stakeholders' social dialogue about responsibility and sustainability in the food sector. *British Food Journal*, 123(3), 1287–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bfj-11-2019-0826>
6. Klassen, K. M., Borleis, E. S., Brennan, L., Reid, M., McCaffrey, T. A., & Lim, M. S. (2018). What People “Like”: Analysis of Social Media Strategies Used by Food Industry Brands, Lifestyle Brands, and Health Promotion Organizations on Facebook and Instagram. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(6), e10227. <https://doi.org/10.2196/10227>
7. Schröder, M. J., & McEachern, M. G. (2005c). Fast foods and ethical consumer value: a focus on McDonald's and KFC. *British Food Journal*, 107(4), 212–224. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070700510589503>
8. Kaul, A., Chaudhri, V., Cherian, D., Freberg, K., Mishra, S., Kumar, R., Pridmore, J., Lee, S. Y., Rana, N., Majmudar, U., & Carroll, C. E. (2015). Social Media: The New Mantra for Managing Reputation. *Vikalpa the Journal for Decision Makers*, 40(4), 455–491. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0256090915618029>
9. Swimberghe, K. R., & Wooldridge, B. R. (2014). Drivers of Customer Relationships in Quick- Service Restaurants. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 55(4), 354–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965513519008>
10. Manhas, P. S., Sharma, P., & Quintela, J. A. (2024b). Product Innovation and Customer Experience: Catalysts for Enhancing Satisfaction in Quick Service Restaurants. *Tourism and Hospitality*, 5(3), 559–576. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tourhosp5030034>
11. Tong, C., Wong, A., & RESEARCH ORGANISATION. (2016). The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility of Fast-food Restaurants on Corporate Reputation. *Journal of Marketing and HR*, 3(1). <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/267835004.pdf>

12. Sadom, N. Z. M., Yusoff, S. H. M., & Sauh, S. M. (2023). The Relationship Between Social Media Marketing, Trust, Reputation and Purchase Intention: Empirical Evidence from Fast- Food Industry. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08974438.2023.2246957>
13. Omidvar, M., & Deen, A. (2023). The Effect of CSR on Restaurants' Brand Image and Customers' Brand Attitudes as Evidenced by Their Purchase Intentions. *Studia Periegetica*. <https://doi.org/10.58683/sp.576>
14. Liu, P., Tse, E. C., & He, Z. (2022). Influence of Customer Satisfaction, Trust, and Brand Awareness in Health-related Corporate Social Responsibility Aspects of Customers Revisit Intention: A Comparison between US and China. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 25(4), 700–726. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008x.2022.2135163>
15. Ali, W., Danni, Y., Latif, B., Kouser, R., & Baqader, S. (2021). Corporate Social Responsibility and Customer Loyalty in Food Chains—Mediating Role of Customer Satisfaction and Corporate Reputation. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 8681. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13168681>
16. Team, C. (2024, September 16). Hierarchy of effects. Corporate Finance Institute. <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/management/hierarchy-of-effects/>
17. Lim, W. M. (2024). What is quantitative research? An overview and guidelines. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14413582241264622>
18. Bhat, A. (2024, August 20). Survey Data Collection: Methods with Examples and Analysis. QuestionPro. <https://www.questionpro.com/blog/survey-data-collection/>
19. https://mospi.gov.in/sites/default/files/publication_reports/Elderly%20in%20India%202021.pdf
20. Statista.(2025, May 2). Most preferred QSR in India 2023. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1472097/india-most-preferred-qsr/>
21. Tchedo Technologies. (2022, September 28). Indian fast food: origin and growth. Student Center. <https://tchedo.com/blog/indian-fast-food-origin-and-growth/>
22. Pr, B. (2023, March 13). Bolt PR Expert Insights: Does your QSR need PR? Bolt PR. <https://www.boltpr.com/blog/bolt-pr-expert-insights-does-your-qsr-need-pr>
23. Hoyt, L. (2023, August 2). Council Post: How to tailor your PR strategies for Gen Z. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesagencycouncil/2023/08/02/how-to-tailor-your-pr-strategies-for-gen-z/>
24. Beaton, K. (2022, September 16). Study: Gen Z flocking to Fast-Casual Restaurants - The Food Institute. The Food Institute. <https://foodinstitute.com/focus/study-gen-z-flocking-to-fast-casual-restaurants/>