Indemnity clause in case of mergers and acquisitions

Research Paper

By-Shruti Agrawal (23014582)

Table of Contents

Introduction

Indemnity agreements become an imperative device that buck up the distribution of risks and liabilities between the participating parties during M&A. This essay explores the subtle dynamics of the indemnity agreements negotiated during M&A deals, including in specific ways the negotiation approaches and the due diligence criteria (Maynard, 2021). It starts with the introduction of the importance of defines agreement in M&A transactions and also, roles of defense agreements for buyers and sellers as well in the risk mitigation. Following this, the subsequent parts will detail the important aspects of indemnity agreements, e.g. scope of liability, limitations, and methods of indemnification. When it comes to the fine print of mergers and acquisitions, it's the indemnity clauses that play a critical role in assigning risk and liability between the involved parties.

This paper begins with a thorough coverage of the tug-of-war behind indemnity clauses and due diligence strategies in M&A deals. Especially by a comprehensive analysis of the concrete practices of due diligence, legal standards and indemnity prescribed in different case studies, the study is aimed to contribute unique guidance and best practice recommendations on the proper placement and enforcement of indemnity clauses in M&A transaction. This paper intends to expose intricate issues behind indemnity clauses in M&A transactions including negotiation strategies and relating due diligence factors (Borselli, 2022). Through this inclusive methodology that is influenced from the industry best practices, legal precedents and cases, the article ensures that every stakeholder possesses the required understanding of indemnification in the deal.

Aims and Objectives

Aim:

The main research goal is to delve into the crucial function of the indemnity clauses in the context of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) processes in particular, so as to give a detailed explanation about the role of these indemnity provisions in the on-going negotiations, implementation and the crafting of the terms under which the parties will be mutually protecting themselves.

Objectives:

- The paper will focus on the application of indemnity clauses as concepts and their appropriateness when making M&A deals.
- The purpose of this paper will be to evaluate the essentials and variants of indemnity clauses in M&A agreements that we face frequently.
- This study explores the tactics used by negotiators on the parties' sides in M&A deals, which allowed for the indemnity clauses drafting and negotiation.
- To prevail in industry best practices and study the cases manifesting indemnity prevent implementation and compliance.

Literature review

Application of indemnity clauses as concepts and their appropriateness when making M&A deals.Indemnity injunction, which is the spine of the M&A deal process, is significant for protecting the parties involved against the risks which the deal brings along with regulating the share of liabilities. A risk mitigation mechanism is at the heart of the clauses of the indemnification as stipulated by Miller et al (2017), such that party A signing the document can agree to transfer liabilities or losses (as a result of the specified events) to party B. Indemnity clauses are there to either assure or protect both buyers and sellers, thereby assuaging parties' fears and uncertainties to some extent, during a Merger & Acquisition deal. This is the core function of indemnification clauses since these terms define the parameters of indemnification, such as, the types of risks, any limitations, and the process to be followed if one makes an indemnification claim. For this reason, every established business must have the same principle: clear expectations and absence of barriers during business processes. In addition to indemnity clauses that mitigate risks and fortify deal certainty by resolving key contingencies as well as create a safe harbour for contracting parties following closing. Yet, there exists debate in terms of the suitability of indemnity clauses in M&A transactions basing on the nature of the deal, degree of due diligence conducted, level of bargaining power of the parties, and prevailing market conditions.

The indemnity clause which in many M&A deals is one of the central risk management tools, provides the platform to deal with possible contingencies or unforeseen liabilities that might occur both during and after the deal. Such clauses are particularly vital in transactions involving the purchase of business where the buyer seeks guarantees for the target company financial health, legal compliance and if litigations survives or not and if there are violations of any regulatory bodies. In the words of Nozaki (2023), by negotiation and indemnity clauses to the purchase agreement, buyer can be protected against financial loss that might be associated with the economic value of the target company or the company itself. Analogously, a seller can benefit from an indemnity clause by the reduction of exposure to pre-existing issues or events that may surface after the buy-over.

To evaluate the essentials and variants of indemnity clauses in M&A agreements that is faced frequently

In order to value indemnity clauses or exclusion clauses that may be encountered in an M&A agreement, it is advisable to look into the different dynamics that may impact the way in which these provisions are crafted. At the heart of indemnity clauses they endeavour to determine risks and liabilities to be borne by parties to the transaction, usually, the buyer and the seller. As per Snow (2023), the fundamentals of the indemnity clauses generally include defining the scope of notation litem, stating the types of losses/costs/liabilities covered and specifying any limitations/exclusions, and highlighting the procedures for filing indemnification claims. Variants of indemnity clauses may appear in a number of shapes through different claims stating the separate purposes or perceptions of the parties. Another common type of indemnities is general or survival ones that covers a variety of risk scenarios given for a limited period after the deal. The other common type is a specific indemnity that is meant to address a particular known risk that was identified and detailed during the due diligence. Another form of variation may take the form of 'basket' provisions where the indomitable losses below a certain threshold are not allowed to be claimed, and another form of variation may take the form of 'cap' provisions which limit the maximum liability of the indemnifying party. In the words of Thompson (2022), Furthermore, parties could enter into so-called "sandwich" clauses, under which the seller defends the buyer that indemnifies the acquirer for a certain amount of risks. The evaluation of these critical and additional demands helps parties design indemnity clauses that fit their allocation of risk, the objectives of the transaction, and risk appetite so as to realize effectiveness and enforceability of these clauses of M&A agreements.

The comprehensibly understanding of the fundamental that underlie and indemnity clauses in M&A agreements and its variants is made possible by the deeper indagation into the underlying objectives and considerations obtained from their inclusions. Indemnity clauses are favorable risk management instruments as they present a mean through which the parties can distribute and minimize pre-transaction or post-transaction liabilities that may present themselves in these kinds of agreements.

Explores the tactics used by negotiators on the parties' sides in M&A deals, which allowed for the indemnity clauses drafting and negotiation

The strategies utilized by both sides of the negotiators around the M&A deals reveal the complexity of agreeing on indemnity clauses. According to Eungoo (2022), On the buyer's side, the representatives may go ahead ensuring they get the broader indemnity and tough action of the claim which will protect their interest. Through their due diligence, they can bring out the hidden risks/liabilities. They then can shift their efforts on carefully favoring a detailed indemnity agreement that involves a wide range of contingencies (Ziehms, 2023). Furthermore, buyers' negotiators can turn the bargaining chips in the deal by pushing for smaller indemnification ceiling, higher basket thresholds, and more scalable carve out which will serve as safeguards for their pocket in the event of any unforeseen losses but guarantees the full compensation nevertheless.

Indemnification negotiations attend restoratively to purchaser’s representative as they aim to create a deal where they will have to present as little indemnity as possible and keep their financial stability after the transaction. Sellers' negotiators tactically communicate difficulties during due diligence process to neutralize the attendant prejudices turning them in their own favour, therefore making it possible for the seller to obtain preferable indemnity terms. Furthermore, sellers will most likely be against wide coverage indemnity clauses that encroach upon areas that they are not legally liable for (Ziehms, 2023). Instead, sellers will try to narrow the scope of indemnity to known risks or accidental breaches, thus limiting exposure.

Industry best practices and study the cases manifesting indemnity prevent implementation and compliance

Indemnity clauses serving as a hindrance to the completion of mergers and acquisitions call for hundreds of articles involving best practices in M&A deals, which could help reduce the cases of post-closing disputes (Lawrence, 2023). These involve a series of tactical, methodological and legal considerations that are solely geared towards improving the clauses on the indemnity. Practicing a thorough risk assessment will help the parties to determine the indemnifiable risks and liabilities that are associated with the transaction. As a result, they can define the indemnity clauses in accordance with a clear understanding of the risks and exposures involved in the transaction. According to Dimitrakiev et al. (2023), Moreover, indemnification clauses should be written in a careful manner, providing a clear scope of indemnification with limitations and procedures to overcome ambiguity and prevent disputes.

However, industry best practices encourage all parties involved to deal confidentially by enforcing the culture of negotiations in good faith and building transparency. This will in turn contribute toward trusting relationships that to the bottom line. This consists of maintaining a free and productive conversation; attentively listening to each other’s needs, and jointly resolving the treated matters to agree on the risk-sharing clauses which will indicate the parties’ risk distribution methods and their mutual purposes for the transaction.

Research Methodology:

The study methodology calls for leading on the analysis and search for the legal matters pertaining the employment of negotiation tactics and indemnity agreements in M&A transactions. This requires an intensive review of academic papers, legal provisions, and related industry articles to conceptualize an executive knowledge base (Cruz-Sandoval et al., 2023). Furthermore, a comparative analysis between indemnity agreements in different jurisdictions and industries will be done to establish distinctions contract variations and due diligence practices. The study will be based on a systematic data collection and analysis that include both content analysis and comparison.

Research Approach:

This study is about the analytical method for measuring negotiating strategies and legal implications of indemnity agreements in M&A transactions. By scrutinizing the literature, legal frameworks and M&A practice, the aim of this research is to develop the possible patterns to tackle trend and offer the information that is actionable for the deal negotiators.

Research Design:

The study's design will be organized to help conduct a holistic examination of indemnity agreements in mergers and acquisitions deals. The methodology will cover a systematic review of academic literature, already set legal precedents, and industrial publications to build a reliable ground of knowledge (Habu et al., 2023). Along with this, comparative analysis of indemnity agreements across different states and industries will be done to realize variations in the approaches, due diligence as well as legislative frameworks. Such an inquiry methodology will contribute to discovering universal elements of good governance when applied to different contexts, in addition to the practical insights provided by the gathered knowledge.

Research Philosophy:

Corresponding to a pragmatic viewpoint, this research philosophy gives importance to the practical side and the application of the various findings in the actual world (Pal, 2023). The objective of this study is the delineation of actionable takeaways and realizable answers that will bridge the difference between normative knowledge and the practical usage of restrictive agreements in the area of M&A transactions. Problem solving is the focal point of the philosophy, with it being stressed that the recommendations and the guidance provided should be both understandable and applicable for the benefit of the stakeholders who find themselves at the centre of the complex M&A procedures.

Data Analysis:

Data analysis is a thorough and comprehensive process which sum up together and interpret the conclusions from the literature review and comparative analysis. The data collected from different perspectives and standpoints through analytical methods such as thematic analysis, pattern recognition, and comparative analysis will be used to unravel the prominent themes, exposing the developing trends and revealing the undeniable patterns. Through an in-depth assessment of gathered data, this research seeks draw a conclusion about the efficiency of indemnities and their provisions in various aspects of merger and acquisition transactions- negotiation tactics, legal issues, and way of proper conducting of the business transactions. Thematic analysis will be taken into consideration.

Data Collection:

Data gathering involves assembling all necessary sources including literature, legalities, case studies, and industry reports relevant to indemnity clauses in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) deals. The multinational approach will be used to accumulate information from academic databases, law databases, industry publications, and other reliable platforms to ensure the bright view of the subject and enable in-depth research (Kumari et al., 2023). The mixed use of sources will fill the gaps in understanding and hence enrich the research using practical examples and real-word illustrations. This research seeks to achieve this objective through the application of this methodology, so as to offer valuable insights and actionable recommendations to the stakeholders involved in the negotiation processes for mergers and acquisition deals, so as to give them the ability to manage the indemnification processes effectively and thereby mitigate the risks that are commonly associated with merger and acquisition deals.

Result & Discussion

Theme 1-Legal Framework of indemnity agreements in M&A transactions

The legal structure in the field of indemnity clauses in mergers and acquisitions is marked by a complex set of relationships between contractual obligations, statutory constraints, and judicial A). Under this agreement, the parties specify the risk and liability split between them associated with the specifics of the deal. Indemnity clauses, one can say, must be in agreement with the principles of contract law in order to be valid as offer, acceptance, consideration and intention to establish a legal relationship are the important elements of contract law (Mihajlovna, 2023). Consequently, they must also match with the present regulations aimed at transferring company shares and regulating the concentrations (or monopolies), securities laws, and corporate governance requirements being the most relevant for M&A transactions. Beside that, court judgment and precedents are very vital in ensuring the legal enforceability and interpretation of indemnity clauses, that the courts generally look at aspects such as parties' intentions, the clauses' language, and the circumstances. All in all, the legal system regarding indemnity agreements within M&A ventures is fluid and multilayered, which means that contractual, statutory, and judicial aspects should be analyzed thoroughly in order to establish its enforceability and compliance.

Figure 1:Legal: Examining the Legal Frameworks Surrounding Indemnity Agreements

(Faster capital, 2023)

This, in turn, guarantees that the commitments of the parties are dubitable and contained in a court-enforceable manner. Firstly, within the domestic of statute law of corporate law, indemnity agreements must conform to the statutory constraints on mergers, acquisition, and corporate governance (Arakcheev, 2023). Such duties could be a case of board of directors' and shareholders' rights, up to the disclosure obligations and conflicts of interest.

Theme 2- Comparative analysis of indemnity agreements in various jurisdictions and industries to highlight differences in negotiation tactics and due diligence procedures

A comparative analysis of indemnity agreements across different jurisdictions and industries reveals notable differences in negotiation tactics and due diligence procedures, reflecting varying legal frameworks, market practices, and cultural norms. In jurisdictions with a common law tradition, such as the United States and the United Kingdom, negotiation tactics often emphasize detailed and specific indemnity provisions to address potential risks comprehensively (Stark, 2024). Conversely, in civil law jurisdictions like continental Europe, negotiation tactics may prioritize broader principles of good faith and reasonableness, with less emphasis on detailed contractual provisions. Furthermore, due diligence procedures vary significantly depending on industry-specific regulations and market standards. For instance, in highly regulated sectors such as healthcare or finance, due diligence procedures may be more rigorous and extensive, focusing on compliance with industry-specific regulations and identifying potential legal liabilities. In contrast, in less regulated industries such as technology or consumer goods, due diligence procedures may be more focused on evaluating intellectual property rights, market positioning, and strategic synergies (Ziehms, 2023). Overall, the comparative analysis underscores the importance of considering jurisdictional and industry-specific factors in negotiating indemnity agreements and conducting due diligence, ensuring alignment with legal requirements and market practices to mitigate risks effectively in M&A transactions.

Theme 3- Analysis of negotiation tactics and legal considerations related to indemnity agreements within M&A deals

The intricacies of the negotiation process and its important legal ramifications such as indemnity agreements are discussed in this M&A deal analysis. This provides a multi-faceted view that is affected by a variety of factors. Tendencies in negotiations generally resemble the art of balancing risk-sharing somewhere between the sellers and the buyers as well as fulfilling legal rules and regulations to what is considered a minimum standard of practice in the market A typical bargaining technique would involve scrupulous research in order to uncover identified risks and liabilities and provide a solid future for the reason of negotiating responsibility provisions (Klausner et al., 2024). Partners might use the results from such diligence to strive and capture a larger indemnification and the other partners (seller) may want to they limit risk or to cap their provisions.

Furthermore, the negotiation strategy can be made more complex given the bargaining power of the involved sides and the competitiveness of the deal. As it is a competitive process in bidding situations, it is probably that the buyers may include indemnity clauses in the contract that are more favourable than others, to make their bid stand out and make the deal a success. On one side, if the seller is in a more commanding position in comparison with the buyer, they may put more pressure in order to get a more good deal and reduce their obligation to indemnify.

Besides this the determinant factor in the formulation of negotiation approach and indemnity agreement is consideration of law (Day, 2023). The Party should be fully obliged to make sure that indemnity clauses are in line with the appropriate legal and regulatory frameworks for M&A activities, which primarily includes corporate law, security regulations and antitrust laws.

Discussion

The debate on indemnity clauses in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) deals takes deep into this issue since no deal is risk free and one of the responsibilities of the merging companies is to manage such risks better so as to achieve a successful outcome of the deal. Indemnity clauses, contractual tools that allow the allocation of responsibility for the potential of possible losses or liabilities that could become incurred before or after the closing of the transaction, are the parties' negotiating tool (Perry, 2023). The subject of whether indemnity clauses warrant a real need is multifaceted and entails, among other items, its purpose and impact, negotiation strategies, due diligence issues and their practical significance in M&A.

To begin with, the essay displays the purpose and significance of contractual clauses, used in mergers and acquisitions. Indemnity clauses are a major preservation of the rights of the parties by allowing for redress in case of perchance unanticipated incidents like breaches of representations and warranties, undisclosed liabilities, or any other disputes arising from the contract (Arakcheev, 2023). They play as a buffer zones on the risks and uncertainties in the deal and therefore, foster confiidence in the prospective buyers on the financial soundness and the legal compliance of the target company. Moreover, the parties selling are protected by the buyers from possible post-deal liabilities.

On the other hand the talk highlights the strategies to settling the clauses indemnity. Concerning the negotiations of indemnity clauses, it is always advisable to take time and consider various factors that include the limits of indemnification, exceptions, exclusions, and financial levels. In such cases, prospective buyers usually need the insurance to cover all the risks they possibly can encounter, while sellers usually try to minimize their obligations by carving out certain risks that the buyer have to pay for, imposing caps on these risks, or including a surviving period clause that can further the seller's responsibility (Machfudiyanto, 2023). Strong negotiating tactics involve carrying out careful necessaries checks to mention and forejudice potential risks, making market models accessible and understanding what precedents there and carrying collaborative talks to get at common ground that suits all parties.

Case Study

To revitalise its animation branch, the media corporation Disney purchased Pixar Animation Studios in 2006, which was known for ground-breaking blockbusters including Toy Story and Finding Nemo. The acquisition presented issues with talent retention and cultural alignment in addition to the difficulty of maintaining Pixar's distinct creative culture while integrating with Disney. Disney's approach was to give Pixar the flexibility to be creatively independent while using its know-how to resurrect its animation studio, which produced hits like Frozen and Moana. In the end, Pixar's creative abilities strengthened Disney's animation portfolio and solidified its market position, making the acquisition a huge success.

Exploration of Regulatory Factors

In M&A transactions, corporations often use indemnity provisions to protect themselves from excessive risk. An indemnity clause delineates the process by which one company, typically the buyer, is entitled to reimbursement from another for losses sustained in the context of a merger or acquisition. Despite being typically meticulously drafted clauses in agreements, risk mitigation is gaining increased focus in the current volatile economic environment. All parties involved in the transaction must possess a thorough understanding of the associated risks in order to craft indemnity terms that are suitable for the situation. It is crucial to consider risks that are inherent in the businesses being acquired or the assets being exchanged.

Considering the global viewpoint

Firms must continuously seek innovative strategies to stay competitive in the ever-changing business environment. Mergers and acquisitions have proven to be effective strategies for companies seeking to expand into new markets. Consolidating the assets and liabilities of multiple companies via mergers and acquisitions (M&A) could enhance market penetration, broaden product portfolios, or obtain state-of-the-art technologies. This article delves into the role of mergers and acquisitions as a strategic approach for companies looking to broaden their presence in global markets, with a particular emphasis on the US market. By engaging in Mergers and Acquisitions, companies can realize economies of scale, incorporate diverse operational tactics, and expand their customer reach. These considerations are crucial for businesses aiming to establish a presence in highly competitive global markets such as the United States.

Establishing a client base, distribution network, and local market expertise from the ground up can be challenging. Mergers and acquisitions provide a way to gain these advantages.

The United States offers a compelling opportunity for foreign companies because of its large consumer base, strong infrastructure, and favorable business climate. Several multinational corporations aiming to grow through mergers and acquisitions have identified the U.S. market as an attractive destination in the era of globalization and digital transformation.

Examining the scenario involving Fiat, an Italian manufacturer of luxury vehicles. Fiat Chrysler Automobiles was formed in 2014 through the merger of Chrysler Group and Fiat S.p.A. This strategic decision saved Chrysler from bankruptcy and facilitated Fiat's entry into the lucrative American automotive market. The text demonstrates how mergers and acquisitions can enhance product variety, increase market entry, and generate value for both companies.

Various theories have been suggested to explain the rationale behind mergers and acquisitions (M&As). Efficiency theories posit that mergers are aimed at capitalizing on economies of scale or synergies. Consolidation is believed to create benefits for oligopolies based on market power theories. As per agency theories, mergers and acquisitions may serve as a solution to agency issues by eliminating ineffective managers or as an indication of agency problems where managers engage in unwise acquisitions due to hubris or a desire to expand their influence. Arguments have been put forward suggesting that tax benefits or diversification advantages play a role in M&A activity. Various theories attempt to explain mergers and acquisitions, with many of them supported by empirical evidence.

Conclusion

Taken all together, the debate on the indemnity clauses use in the M&A deals demonstrates the principle importance of these clauses in risk management, assuring the certainty of the deals, and resolving controversies in interests of all parties involved. The discussion has focused on multiplicity of issues involving indemnity clause ranging from its role to some of the legal issues that implicate the provision in the Maine corporate Ace. These paragraphs contain reciprocated undertakings for transparency as to the two party’s monetary probity, the fulfilment of regulative laws and the clearing of the future environmental liabilities. Actually, it has unveiled the crucial legal aspect of hold-harmless agreements common in mergers and acquisitions (M&A). Legal considerations, including contractual obligations, statutory constraints, and judicial precedents, highlight the compliance to such laws and also enforceability, which may be a key to the success of the business. To complete a well-informed overview of the legal landscape is equally important in formulation of clauses granting immunity, which also withstand legal review and achieve their desired purpose. Furthermore, get to see a wide ranging comparison of indemnity agreements among governments and industries which works more like insight into how the negotiation tactics and due diligence procedures work in these different entities. Factors like legal systems, market conditions, and cultural norms make the negotiation process unique in diverse contexts. This implies that a similar to different indemnity clauses might be legal ambiance, market practices, and cultural norms changes depending on the context.

References:

Arakcheev, A., Minimum Set of Laws, Legal Terms, and Agreements for Entry-level Entrepreneurs. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Artem-Arakcheev-2/publication/371807666_Minimum_Set_of_Laws_Legal_Terms_and_Agreements_for_Entry-level_Entrepreneurs/links/64961f68c41fb852dd2950ff/Minimum-Set-of-Laws-Legal-Terms-and-Agreements-for-Entry-level-Entrepreneurs.pdf

Borselli, A., 2022. Insurance in M&A Transactions. In The Governance of Insurance Undertakings: Corporate Law and Insurance Regulation (pp. 199-215). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/54011/978-3-030-85817-9.pdf?sequence=1#page=206

Cruz-Sandoval, M., Vázquez-Parra, J.C. and Carlos-Arroyo, M., 2023. Complex thinking and social entrepreneurship. An approach from the methodology of compositional data analysis. Heliyon, 9(2). https://www.cell.com/heliyon/pdf/S2405-8440(23)00622-9.pdf

Day, K., 2023. Publishing Contracts and the Post Negotiation Space: Lifting the Lid on Publishing's Black Box of Aspirations, Laws and Money. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=oJavEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT12&dq=Explores+the+tactics+used+by+negotiators+on+the+parties%27+sides+in+M%26A+deals,+which+allowed+for+the+indemnity+clauses+drafting+and+negotiation&ots=lTa7L-T4dp&sig=SbLxhtDmkCj0H1Oawb6_6qmh1SM

Dimitrakiev, D., Atanasova, C. and Kostadinov, O., CONDITIONS FOR ISSUING A "CLEAN ON BOARD" BILL OF LADING; ISSUANCE OF A 'CLEAN ON BOARD" BILL OF LADING AGAINST RECEIPT OF A "LETTER OF INDEMNITY" FROM THE CONSIGNOR. Sessions Schedule & Abstracts Програма & Резюмета, p.227. https://conf.uni-ruse.bg/bg/docs/Booklet%20SC'23_web.pdf#page=228

Eungoo, K.A.N.G., The Significance of Contract Law for Efficient Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) Procedure. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eungoo-Kang/publication/377181462_The_Significance_of_Contract_Law_for_Efficient_Mergers_an d_Acquisitions_MA_Procedure/links/659842de2468df72d3fd2313/The-Significance-of-Contract-Law-for-Efficient-Mergers-and-Acquisitions-M-A-Procedure.pdf

Habu, A.A. and Henderson, T., 2023. Data subject rights as a research methodology: A systematic literature review. Journal of Responsible Technology, p.100070. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666659623000136

Klausner, M. and Subramanian, G., 2024. Deals: The Economic Structure of Business Transactions. Harvard University Press. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=TuroEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Explores+the+tactics+used+by+negotiators+on+the+parties%27+sides+in+M%26A+deals,+which+allowed+for+the+indemnity+clauses+drafting+and+negotiation&ots=Pqeb4pACcB&sig=oaQTDKvJwGncRobKRJhsslr8X6U

Kumari, S.K.V., Lavanya, K., Vidhya, V., Premila, G.A.D.J.S. and Lawrence, B., 2023. Research methodology (Vol. 1). DARSHAN PUBLISHERS. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=obG1EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA9&dq=research+methodology&ots=-hZ8YptFIK&sig=JvDE2M9tFLIPhM_Lr_pBjwXluKQ

Lawrence, G.M., 2023. Due Diligence in Business Transactions. Law Journal Press. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=jFEk7DSQiu0C&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Application+of+indemnity+clauses+as+concepts+and+their+appropriateness+when+making+M%26A+deals&ots=oGCj4LfkQZ&sig=mGvv0CPoEzTUXB5Ku9OfHgKaoes

Machfudiyanto, R.A., Kim, S., Latief, Y., Rachmawati, T.S.N. and Laksono, N.B., 2023. Analysis of design-for-safety implementation factors in the Indonesian construction industry: A two-staged SEM-artificial neural network approach. Heliyon, 9(11). https://www.cell.com/heliyon/pdf/S2405-8440(23)08481-5.pdf

Maynard, T.H., 2021. Mergers and acquisitions: cases, materials, and problems. Aspen Publishing. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ouodEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT23&dq=Indemnity+clause+in+case+of+mergers+and+acquisitions&ots=E6mNMD6zmH&sig=KtLPaht-vRSK9kGFfa4aSpTPGTg

Mihajlovna, M.P., 2023. M&A deals and investments in the global fashion market: legal analysis and current perspectives. https://thelawbrigade.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Pranay-Singha-JLSR.pdf

Miller Jr, E.L. and Segall, L.N., 2017. Mergers and Acquisitions,+ Website: A Step-by-Step Legal and Practical Guide. John Wiley & Sons. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315578255/due-diligence-peter-howson

Nozaki, R., Effects of warranty and indemnity insurance on merger acquisition agreement. http://jsrsai.jp/Annual_Meeting/PROG_54/ResumeD/D07-4.pdf

Pal, S., 2023. A paradigm shift in research: Exploring the intersection of artificial intelligence and research methodology. International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Multidisciplinary Physical Sciences, 11(3). https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Subharun-Pal/publication/371503770_A_Paradigm_Shift_in_Research_Exploring_the_Intersection_of_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Research_Methodology/links/648779a2b3dfd73b77810bb1/A-Paradigm-Shift-in-Research-Exploring-the-Intersection-of-Artificial-Intelligence-and-Research-Methodology.pdf

Perry, R.P., Third-Party Rights in Construction Contracts: Developments since Murphy v Brentwood. https://www.voloco.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/LLM-Dissertation-Richard-Perry.pdf

Snow, B., 2023. Mergers & acquisitions for dummies. John Wiley & Sons. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VbK7EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=Indemnity+clause+in+case+of+mergers+and+acquisitions&ots=Fql0A_PUYp&sig=CxZcNu0Vg-CtpT_zbcWxAUjDWRc

Stark, T.L. and Llorente, M., 2024. Drafting contracts: How and why lawyers do what they do. Aspen Publishing. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=4c_2EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT22&dq=To+evaluate+the+essentials+and+variants+of+indemnity+clauses+in+M%26A+agreements+that+is+faced+frequently&ots=GAg3HJoBhk&sig=C3MxjJns9joM6-0fraaivH84SNY

Thompson, R.B., 2022. Mergers and acquisitions: law and finance. Aspen Publishing. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=lvJbEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT18&dq=Indemnity+clause+in+case+of+mergers+and+acquisitions&ots=3yAmsEtkSr&sig=tFRGzl0d1oZPUf1JJ9Qp5paWb7I

Ziehms, H.D., 2023. M&A Disputes: How They Happen, How to Resolve Them, How to Avoid Them. Kluwer Law International BV. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VgXlEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT3&dq=Application+of+indemnity+clauses+as+concepts+and+their+appropriateness+when+making+M%26A+deals&ots=0yt7QGYufH&sig=hbf0JT_k9LWR2LOpXUG32RDhZAs

Ziehms, H.D., 2023. M&A Disputes: How They Happen, How to Resolve Them, How to Avoid Them. Kluwer Law International BV. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VgXlEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT3&dq=Explores+the+tactics+used+by+negotiators+on+the+parties%27+sides+in+M%26A+deals,+which+allowed+for+the+indemnity+clauses+drafting+and+negotiation&ots=0yt7QGYwdJ&sig=u6FT4J36h39aMyhNyl-jPQ7oTPo